

The postcard will be date-stamped and returned to the commenter.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

2002-04-03 Fokker Services B.V.:

Amendment 39-12660. Docket 2001-NM-332-AD.

Applicability: Model F27 Mark 050 series airplanes, as listed in Fokker Service Bulletin SBF50-53-055, dated May 25, 2001, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the

requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent cracking of the structure of the fuselage pressure vessel in the area of the Global Positioning System (GPS) antenna, leading to reduced structural integrity of the fuselage pressure vessel, which could result in depressurization of the airplane, accomplish the following:

Reinforcement of Structural Provisions for GPS Antenna

(a) Within 6,000 flight cycles since installation of structural provisions for the GPS antenna per Fokker Service Bulletin SBF50-34-047, or Fokker Engineering Report FS-N399 or FS-N364, as applicable; or within 60 days after the effective date of this AD; whichever occurs later: Reinforce the structural provisions for the GPS antenna by replacing existing fasteners with new fasteners, and installing conical washers and a doubler plate at stringer 26, as applicable, per Fokker Service Bulletin SBF50-53-055, dated May 25, 2001.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM-116.

Special Flight Permits

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Incorporation by Reference

(d) The actions shall be done in accordance with Fokker Service Bulletin SBF50-53-055, dated May 25, 2001. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Fokker Services B.V., P.O. Box 231, 2150 AE Nieuw-Vennep, the Netherlands. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in Dutch airworthiness directive 2001-092, dated July 31, 2001.

Effective Date

(e) This amendment becomes effective on March 8, 2002.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on February 11, 2002.

Ali Bahrami,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 02-3850 Filed 2-20-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2000-NM-390-AD; Amendment 39-12659; AD 2002-04-02]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A300 F4-605R Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Airbus Model A300 F4-605R airplanes, that requires installation of external doublers at frames 29 and 33. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent fatigue cracking of certain circumferential joints, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the fuselage in the vicinity of the upper deck cargo door.

DATES: Effective March 28, 2002.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 28, 2002.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim Backman, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2797; fax (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Airbus Model A300 F4–605R airplanes was published in the *Federal Register* on April 30, 2001 (66 FR 21292). That action proposed to require installation of external doublers at frames 29 and 33.

Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comment received.

Request To Expand Applicability of AD

The commenter, the manufacturer, says that French airworthiness directive 2000–456–323(B), issued by the Direction Générale de l'Aviation Civile (DGAC), applies to both Airbus Model A300 F4–605R production freighters and Model A300 series airplanes that have been converted to freighter configurations by supplemental type certificates (STCs) approved by the DGAC. However, the notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) issued by the FAA does not apply to airplanes that have been converted to freighter configurations. The commenter requests that the applicability of the AD be expanded to include Airbus Model A300 series airplanes which have been converted to freighter configurations by STC's approved by the FAA.

The FAA does not concur. The service bulletins cited by the DGAC in the French airworthiness directive apply only to production freighters and not to airplanes converted to freighter configurations in accordance with STCs. To address these converted airplanes, the FAA plans to work with the holders of STCs for those airplanes to evaluate the upper fuselage circumferential joints for fatigue. Depending upon the results, the FAA may issue further rulemaking addressing those airplanes.

Conclusion

After careful review of the available data, including the comment noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 32 Model A300 F4–605R airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 85 work hours per airplane to accomplish the required actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts will cost approximately \$1,820 per

airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$221,440 or \$6,920 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted. The cost impact figures discussed in AD rulemaking actions represent only the time necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up, planning time, or time necessitated by other administrative actions.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

2002–04–02 Airbus Industrie: Amendment 39–12659. Docket 2000–NM–390–AD.

Applicability: Model A300 F4–605R airplanes, certificated in any category, except those on which Airbus production Modification 12081 or the modification specified by Airbus Service Bulletin A300–53–6119, Revision 01, dated September 25, 2000, has been installed.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent fatigue cracking of certain circumferential joints, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the fuselage in the vicinity of the upper deck cargo door, accomplish the following:

Installation of Doublers

(a) Before the airplane accumulates 10,000 total flight cycles, or within 6 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later: Install external doublers at frames 29 and 33, in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A300–53–6119, Revision 01, dated September 25, 2000.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM–116.

Special Flight Permits

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Incorporation by Reference

(d) The installation shall be done in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin

A300-53-6119, Revision 01, dated September 25, 2000. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in French airworthiness directive 2000-456-323(B), dated November 15, 2000.

Effective Date

(e) This amendment becomes effective on March 28, 2002.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on February 11, 2002.

Vi L. Lipski,

*Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate,
Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 02-3849 Filed 2-20-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-NM-298-AD; Amendment 39-12658; AD 2002-04-01]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9, DC-9-80, and C-9 Series Airplanes; Model MD-88 Airplanes; and Model MD-90 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment supersedes an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9, DC-9-80, and C-9 series airplanes; Model MD-88 airplanes; and Model MD-90 airplanes, that currently requires a visual check to determine the part and serial numbers of the upper lock link assembly of the nose landing gear (NLG); repetitive inspections of certain upper lock link assemblies to detect fatigue cracking; and modification of the NLG. The existing AD also provides for terminating action for the repetitive inspections. This amendment requires, among other actions, expanding the applicability of the existing AD, revising compliance times; and adding new inspection requirements. This amendment is prompted by a report indicating that an NLG upper lock link fractured prior to landing and jammed

against the NLG shock strut, restricting the NLG from fully extending. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent the upper lock link assembly from fracturing due to fatigue cracking, and the NLG consequently failing to extend fully; this condition could result in injury to passengers and flight crew, and damage to the airplane.

DATES: Effective March 28, 2002.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 28, 2002.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Aircraft Group, Long Beach Division, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Data and Service Management, Dept. C1-L5A (D800-0024). This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brent Bandle, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120L, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712-4137; telephone (562) 627-5237; fax (562) 627-5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) by superseding AD 97-02-10, amendment 39-9895 (62 FR 3781, January 27, 1997), applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9, DC-9-80, and C-9 series airplanes; Model MD-88 airplanes; and Model MD-90 airplanes, was published as a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) in the **Federal Register** on February 14, 2001 (66 FR 10243). That supplemental NPRM would have, among other actions, revised a list of suspect parts; delayed accomplishment of a certain replacement; and revised the initial compliance time proposed by the original NPRM.

Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

Request for Credit for Previously Accomplished Actions

One commenter requests that the FAA revise the proposed AD to specify that operators will be given "credit" for having previously accomplished the actions required by AD 97-02-10 per McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC9-32-315 and Boeing Service Bulletin MD90-32-033, Revision 01, both dated October 24, 2000. The commenter states that both of those service bulletins contain statements that they have been approved as an alternative methods of compliance (AMOC) with the requirements of AD 97-02-10. The commenter notes that paragraph (f)(2) of the proposed AD states, "Alternative methods of compliance, approved previously in accordance with AD 97-02-10, amendment 39-9895, are approved as alternative methods of compliance with paragraph (f)(1) of this AD."

The FAA partially agrees. Operators are given credit for work previously performed by means of the phrase in the "Compliance" section of the AD that states, "Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously." Therefore, in the case of this AD, if the required actions have been accomplished before the effective date of this AD, this AD does not require those actions to be repeated. However, we find that clarification with regard to paragraph (f)(2) of this AD is necessary. AMOCs approved previously in accordance with AD 97-02-10 are approved as AMOCs with this AD, not just paragraph (f)(1) of this AD as referenced in the proposed AD. Therefore, we have revised paragraph (f)(2) of this AD accordingly.

Request To Revise Applicability Statement

One commenter requests that the applicability of the proposed AD be revised to apply to affected airplanes on which upper lock link, part number (P/N) 3914464, has been installed.

The FAA agrees that revising the applicability would clarify that the AD affects those applicable airplanes equipped with upper lock links, P/N 3914464-1, -501, or -503. We have revised the applicability of the final rule to "Model DC-9, DC-9-80, and C-9 series airplanes; Model MD-88 airplanes; and Model MD-90 airplanes; as listed in Boeing Service Bulletins DC9-32-315, and MD90-32-033, both Revision 01, dated October 24, 2000; certificated in any category; equipped with upper lock links, P/N 3914464-1, -501, or -503." In addition, we have revised the P/N of those links in paragraphs (a) and (c)(2)(iii) of the final