

The petitioners allege that the Department should recalculate Eurodif's general and administrative (G&A) expense, by using Eurodif's, rather than Cogema's, cost of goods sold as the denominator in the calculation. The respondent argues that the petitioners' allegation is a substantive issue that cannot be treated under the ministerial error provision.

In accordance with section 735(e) of the Act, we agree that a ministerial error in the calculation of the G&A expense ratio was made in our final margin calculation. For a detailed analysis of this allegation, and the Department's determination, see the January 10, 2001, Memorandum to Bernard T. Carreau from Constance Handley, regarding the *Amended Final Determination in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Low Enriched Uranium from France: Ministerial Error Allegations* on file in room B-099 of the Main Commerce building. This determination is based on a reexamination of the G&A expense calculation.

We are amending the final determination of the antidumping duty investigation of low enriched uranium from France to correct the ministerial error. The revised final weighted-average dumping margins are shown below.

**Antidumping Duty Order**

On February 4, 2002, in accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, the International Trade Commission (ITC) notified the Department that a U.S. industry is materially injured within the meaning of section 735(b)(1)(A) of the Act by reason of imports of low enriched uranium from France.

Therefore, antidumping duties will be assessed on all unliquidated entries of low enriched uranium from France entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after July 13, 2001, the date on which the Department published its preliminary affirmative antidumping duty determination in the **Federal Register** (66 FR 36743), and before January 9, 2002, the date the Department instructed the U.S. Customs Service to discontinue the suspension of liquidation in accordance with section 733(d) of the Act, and on all entries and withdrawals of subject merchandise made on or after the date of publication of this antidumping duty order in the **Federal Register**. Section 733(d) states that the suspension of liquidation pursuant to a preliminary determination may not remain in effect for more than four months, unless exporters representing a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise request that the period be extended to

not more than 6 months. As noted in the preliminary determination (66 FR 36743), the respondent made such a request on July 2, 2001. Therefore, entries of low enriched uranium made on or after January 9, 2002, and prior to the date of publication of this order in the **Federal Register**, are not liable for the assessment of antidumping duties due to the Department's discontinuation, effective January 9, 2002, of the suspension of liquidation.

In accordance with section 736 of the Act, the Department will direct U.S. Customs officers to reinstitute the suspension of liquidation for low enriched uranium from France effective the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** and to assess, upon further advice by the Department pursuant to section 736(a)(1) of the Act, antidumping duties equal to the amount by which the normal value of the merchandise exceeds the export price or constructed export price of the merchandise for all relevant entries of low enriched uranium from France.

On or after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, U.S. Customs officers must require, at the same time as importers would normally deposit estimated duties on this merchandise, a cash deposit equal to the rates noted below. The All Others rate applies to all producers and exporters of low enriched uranium from France not specifically listed below. The cash deposit rates are as follows:

Exporter/manufacturer	Weighted-average margin percentage
Cogema/Eurodif .....	19.95
All Others .....	19.95

The all others rate applies to all entries of the subject merchandise except for entries from exporters/producers that are identified individually above.

This notice constitutes the antidumping duty order with respect to low enriched uranium from France, pursuant to section 736(a) of the Act. Interested parties may contact the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Commerce building, for copies of an updated list of antidumping duty orders currently in effect.

This order is issued and published in accordance with section 736(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.211.

Dated: February 6, 2002.

**Bernard T. Carreau,**  
*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 02-3538 Filed 2-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A-357-810]

**Notice of Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Oil Country Tubular Goods, Other Than Drill Pipe, From Argentina**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results of Administrative Review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** (Insert date of publication in Federal Register)

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Fred Baker at (202) 482-2924 or Robert James at (202) 482-0649; Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Office Eight, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue N.W., Washington, DC 20230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**The Applicable Statute and Regulations**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Tariff Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce (the Department) regulations refer to the regulations codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (April 2001).

**Background**

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2), on August 31, 2001, the Department received a timely and properly filed request from United States Steel LLC, petitioner in the original investigation, for a review of the imports by producer Acindar Industria Argentina de Aceros, S.A. Also on August 31, 2001, the Department received a request from North Star Steel Ohio, a domestic producer of oil country tubular goods, for a review of the imports by producer Siderca S.A.I.C. On October 1, 2001, the Department published a notice of initiation of this

administrative review covering the period August 1, 2000 through July 31, 2001. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 66 FR 49924 (October 1, 2001).

#### Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act, the Department shall issue preliminary results in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Tariff Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend that 245-day period to 365 days if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period.

In the course of this proceeding interested parties have raised questions regarding submitted financial statement reconciliations, cost calculations, and the accuracy of the no-shipment claim by Siderca S.A.I.C. Due to the need to analyze these questions, it is not practicable to complete this review by the current deadline of May 3, 2002.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act, the Department is extending the time limit for the preliminary results by 120 days, until no later than August 31, 2002. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act.

February 7, 2002

**Barbara E. Tillman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.*

[FR Doc. 02-3539 Filed 2-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-583-831]

#### Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip From Taiwan; Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review of stainless steel sheet and strip from Taiwan.

**SUMMARY:** On August 8, 2001, the Department of Commerce ("the

Department") published in the Federal Register the preliminary results and partial rescission of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip from Taiwan (66 FR 41509). This review covers imports of subject merchandise from Yieh United Steel Corporation ("YUSCO"), Tung Mung Development Corporation ("Tung Mung"), Chia Far Industrial Factory Co., Ltd. ("Chia Far") and Ta Chen Stainless Pipe, Ltd. ("Ta Chen"). The period of review ("POR") is January 4, 1999 through June 30, 2000.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the margin calculations for YUSCO and Tung Mung. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results of review. The final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed firms are listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of the Review." In addition, we are rescinding the review with respect to Ta Chen.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 13, 2002.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steve Bailey ("YUSCO"), Mesbah Motamed ("Tung Mung"), Stephen Shin ("Chia Far"), Doreen Chen ("Ta Chen"), or Laurel LaCivita, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1102, (202) 482-1382, (202) 482-0413, (202) 482-0408 or (202) 482-4243, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### THE APPLICABLE STATUTE

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

#### Background

On August 8, 2001, the Department published Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Taiwan: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 66 FR 41509 (August 8, 2001) ("Preliminary Results"). We invited parties to comment on these preliminary results. The review covers imports of subject merchandise from YUSCO, Tung Mung, Chia Far and Ta Chen. The POR is June 8, 1999, through June 30, 2000.

We received written comments on September 21, 2001, from Chia Far and

from petitioners<sup>1</sup> concerning YUSCO, Tung Mung and Ta Chen and on September 26, 2001, concerning Chia Far. On September 28, 2001, we received rebuttal comments from YUSCO, Tung Mung, Chia Far and from petitioners concerning Chia Far.

As we stated in that notice, we preliminarily rescinded this review with respect to Ta Chen, pursuant to its claim of no shipments of the subject merchandise during the POR. On September 28, 2000, October 4, 12, and 31, 2000, Ta Chen reported that it had no entries of subject merchandise during the period of review. Ta Chen further stated that its U.S. affiliate, Ta Chen International's ("TCI") had resales of SSSS from Taiwan during the POR, but these sales were from inventory that was entered into the United States prior to the suspension of liquidation. Ta Chen also certified that all resales of Taiwanese merchandise made from TCI's U.S. warehouse inventory during the POR were entered into the United States prior to the POR. The Department's Customs inquiry indicates that such merchandise did not enter the United States after the suspension of liquidation.

On September 21, 2001, petitioners submitted a case brief arguing that this review should not be rescinded with respect to Ta Chen. Since no information has been developed on the record demonstrating that Ta Chen made any shipments during the POR we are now rescinding this review with respect to Ta Chen. We are now completing the administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

#### Scope of the Review

For purposes of this administrative review, the products covered are certain stainless steel sheet and strip in coils. Stainless steel is an alloy steel containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. The subject sheet and strip is a flat-rolled product in coils that is greater than 9.5 mm in width and less than 4.75 mm in thickness, and that is annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled. The subject sheet and strip may also be further processed (*e.g.*, cold-rolled, polished, aluminized, coated, *etc.*) provided that it maintains the specific dimensions of sheet and strip following such processing.

<sup>1</sup> Allegheny Ludlum, AK Steel Corporation (formerly Armco, Inc.), J&L Specialty Steel, Inc., North American Stainless, Butler-Armco Independent Union, Zanesville Armco Independent Union, and the United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO/CLC.