

enjoins the Federation from directly or indirectly "making any recommendation to competing physicians about any actual or proposed payer contract or contract term or whether to accept or reject any such payer contract or contract term." Moreover, Section IV(A)(2) of the proposed Final Judgment enjoins the Federation from directly or indirectly "participating in, encouraging, or facilitating any agreement or understanding between competing physicians to deal with any payer exclusively through a messenger rather than individually or through other channels." Consequently, any Federal recommendation that competing providers' concerted termination of their contracts in retaliation against payers' declination to communicate with them through the Federation would violate the proposed Final Judgment.

These injunctive provisions prevent the Federation from engaging in the sort of conduct addressed by the comment: retaliation against payers that refuse to deal with the Federation. Therefore, the proposed modification is not necessary to provide an effective and appropriate remedy for the antitrust violation alleged in the complaint.

IV. Conclusion

The United States has concluded that the proposed Final Judgment reasonably and appropriately addresses the harm alleged in the Complaint. Therefore, following publication of this response to comments, pursuant to the APPA, and submission of the United States' certification of compliance with the APPA, the United States intends to request entry of the proposed Final Judgment once the Court determines that entry is in the public interest.

Dated: January 31, 2002.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven Kramer,
Richard S. Martin,
Scott Scheele,
Adam J. Falk,

Attorneys, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, 325 Seventh St NW., Ste. 400, Washington, DC 20530, Tel: (202) 307-0997, Fax: (202) 514-1517.
Virginia Gibson-Mason,
Assistant U.S. Attorney, Chief, Civil Division, 1201 Market Street, Suite 1100, Wilmington, DE 19801, (302) 573-6277.

[FR Doc. 02-3396 Filed 2-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention

[OJP(OJJDP)-1345]

Drug-Free Communities Support Program

AGENCY: Office of National Drug Control Policy, Executive Office of the President, and Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Programs, Justice.

ACTION: Notice of funding availability.

SUMMARY: The Executive Office of the President, Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP), and the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), are requesting applications for the fiscal year 2002 Drug-Free Communities Support Program to reduce substance abuse among youth and, over time, among adults.

Approximately 70 grants of up to \$100,000 each will be awarded to community coalitions that are working to prevent and reduce substance abuse among youth.

DATES: Applications must be received by April 24, 2002.

ADDRESSES: All applications must be mailed or delivered to the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, c/o Juvenile Justice Resource Center, 2277 Research Boulevard, Mail Stop 2K, Rockville, MD 20850; 301-519-5535. Faxed or e-mailed applications will not be accepted. Interested applicants can obtain the *FY 2002 Drug-Free Communities Support Program Application Package*, which includes the Program Announcement, required forms, and instructions on how to apply at OJJDP's Web site at <http://www.ojjdp.ncjrs.org> (click on "Grants & Funding").

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: One of the following Program Managers at the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention:

- Tom Bell, Northwest Region, at 202-616-3664 or e-mail bell@ojp.usdoj.gov
- Mark Morgan, Southwest Region, at 202-353-9243 or e-mail morganm@ojp.usdoj.gov
- Jay Mykytiuk, Midwest/West Region, at 202-514-1351 or e-mail mykytiuk@ojp.usdoj.gov
- Judy Poston, Southeast Region, at 202-616-1283 or e-mail poston@ojp.usdoj.gov
- James Simonson, Northeast/East Region, at 202-353-9313, or e-mail simonson@ojp.usdoj.gov

- Gwen Williams, Central Region, at 202-616-1611, or e-mail williamg@ojp.usdoj.gov

[These are not toll-free numbers.]

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Drug-Free Communities Support Program was established by the Drug-Free Communities Act of 1997 (Pub. L. 105-20). On December 14, 2001, Pub. L. 107-82 reauthorized the program for 5 years. The program is designed to strengthen community antidrug coalitions and reduce substance abuse among youth.

Grantees will receive up to \$100,000 in funding and training and technical assistance to reduce substance abuse among youth by addressing the factors in a community that serve to increase or decrease the risk of substance abuse and establish and strengthen collaboration among communities, including Federal, State, local, and tribal governments and private nonprofit agencies to support community coalition efforts to prevent and reduce substance abuse among youth.

Eligible applicants are community coalitions whose members have worked together on substance abuse reduction initiatives for a period of not less than 6 months. The coalition will use entities such as task forces, subcommittees, community boards, and any other community resources that will enhance the coalition's collaborative efforts. With substantial participation from community volunteer leaders, the coalition will implement multisector, multistrategy, long-term plans designed to reduce substance abuse among youth. Coalitions may be umbrella coalitions serving multicounty areas.

Dated: February 6, 2002.

Gregory L. Dixon,

Administrator, Drug-Free Communities Support Program, Office of National Drug Control Policy.

Dated: February 6, 2002.

Terrence S. Donahue,

Acting Administrator, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.

[FR Doc. 02-3312 Filed 2-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents

summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) issued during the period of January, 2002.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-39,961; WRS Motion Picture and Video Lab, Pittsburgh, PA

TA-W-39,438; United Veil Dyeing and Finishing, Jersey City, NJ

TA-W-40,605; Powerbrace Corp., Kenosha, WI

TA-W-40,478; Dimension Carbide, Inc., Guys Mills, PA

TA-W-40,480; Flambeau Corp., Sun Prairie, WI

TA-W-40,058; Belco Tool and Manufacturing, Inc., Meadville, PA

TA-W-39,925; Baker Enterprises, Inc., Alpena, MI

TA-W-40,528; Syst-A-Matic Tool and Design, Inc., Meadville, PA

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-40,360 & A; Repron Electronics, Repron Manufacturing Services, Tampa, FL and Gaylord, MI

TA-W-40,288; Compaq Computer Corp., CCM6 Plant, Houston, TX

TA-W-40,256; Lucent Technologies (now known as Celestica), Columbus Works, Columbus, OH

The investigation revealed that criteria (2) has not been met. Sales or

production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

TA-W-40,636; King Manufacturing Co., Inc., Corinth, MS

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date followed the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

TA-W-40,043; Steelcase Architectural Walls, Inc., a/k/a Clestra Hauserman, Inc., Solon, OH: August 24, 2000.

TA-W-40,184; Parker Hannifin Corp., Belleville, NJ: September 26, 2000.

TA-W-40,431 & A,B: Acme Steel Co., Riverdale, IL, Acme Coke Plant, Chicago, IL and Acme Furnace Plant, Chicago, IL: November 28, 2000.

TA-W-40,499; Swift Spinning Mills, Main Mill and Open End Spin Plant, Columbus, GA: December 19, 2000.

TA-W-40,541; Americold, A Div. Of AB Electrolux, Cullman, AL: November 28, 2000.

TA-W-40,554; Beltex Underwear Co., LLC, Formerly Beltex Corp., Belmont, NC: December 20, 2000.

TA-W-40,593; TRW, Inc., Steering Product Center, Rogersville, TN: October 18, 2000.

TA-W-40,660; Mettler Toledo Process Analytical, Inc., Woburn, MA: December 3, 2000.

TA-W-40,690; Willacy Apparel, Div. Of Indiana Knitwear Corp., Lyford, TX: October 23, 2000.

TA-W-40,356; Littonian Shoe Co., Littlestown, PA: January 25, 2002.

TA-W-38,887; Schlage Lock Co., San Jose, CA: March 8, 2000.

TA-W-39,410; North Star Steel, Wilton, IA: May 22, 2000.

TA-W-39,625; Kimlor Mills, Inc., Orangeburg, SC: June 30, 2000.

TA-W-39,845; R.B. and W. Manufacturing, LLC, Coraopolis, PA: August 6, 2000.

TA-W-40,088; R&V Industries, Inc. d/b/a Shape Global Technology, Sanford, ME: April 15, 2000.

TA-W-40,450; A.O. Smith, Electrical Products Co., Lexington, TN: November 28, 2000.

TA-W-40,517; Artex International, Boiling Springs, NC: November 23, 2000.

TA-W-40,524; Intermetro Industries, Corp., Douglas, GA: November 19, 2000.

TA-W-40,568; Carlisle Engineered Products, Erie, PA: October 25, 2000.

TA-W-40,698; 3M San Marcos, Formerly JM Outfitters, San Marcos, CA: November 2, 2000.

Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA) and in accordance with section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA issued during the month of January, 2002.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,

(3) That imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increases imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(4) That there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFTA-TAA-05556A; Alfa Laval, Inc., Formerly known as Tri-Clover, Kenosha, WI: All workers engaged in the production of pumps are denied.

NAFTA-TAA-05717; National Oilwell, McAlester, OK

NAFTA-TAA-05204; Baker Enterprises, Inc., Alpena, MI

NAFTA-TAA-05240; Valley Machining, Rock Valley, IA
 NAFTA-TAA-05271; Belco Tool and Manufacturing, Inc., Meadville, PA
 NAFTA-TAA-05504; Flambeau Corp., Sun Prairie, WI
 NAFTA-TAA-05544; Powerbrace Corp., Kenosha, WI
 NAFTA-TAA-05568; Dimension Carbide, Inc., Guys Mills, PA
 NAFTA-TAA-04799; B.F. Goodrich Performance Materials, Taylors Plant, Taylors, SC

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

NAFTA-TAA-05686; Road Machinery Co., Baynard/Chino Branch, Bayard, NM
 NAFTA-TAA-05661; Tree Machine Tools, Inc., Div. of Excel Machine Tools Ltd, Franklin, WI

Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

NAFTA-TAA-05556; Alfa Laval, Inc., Formerly Known as Tri-Clover Kenosha, WI: November 19, 2000. All workers engaged in the production of fittings.
 NAFTA-TAA-05678; Swift Spinning Mills, Main Mill and Open End Spin Plant, Columbus, GA: December 19, 2000.
 NAFTA-TAA-05515; Carlisle Engineered Products, Erie, PA: October 23, 2000.
 NAFTA-TAA-05580; Intermetro Industries Corp., Douglas, GA: November 19, 2000.

NAFTA-TAA-05643; A.O. Smith, Electrical Products Co., Lexington, TN: November 30, 2000.
 NAFTA-TAA-05697; R.B. and W. Manufacturing, LLC, Coraopolis, PA: December 16, 2000.
 NAFTA-TAA-05711; FCI USA, Inc., Emigsville, PA: January 7, 2001.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of January, 2002. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: February 1, 2002.
Edward A. Tomchick,
 Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.
 [FR Doc. 02-3399 Filed 2-12-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been field with the Secretary of Labor under section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment

and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than February 25, 2002.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than February 25, 2002.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room C-5311, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 22nd day of January, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,
 Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

APPENDIX

[Petitions instituted on 01/22/2002]

TA-W	Subject firm (Petitioners)	Location	Date of petition	Product(s)
40,589	Agere Systems (IBEW)	Breinigsville, PA	09/06/2001	Fiber-optic devices.
40,590	Alfa Laval, Inc (Wrks)	Kenosha, WI	10/18/2001	Fittings, pumps and valves.
40,591	Parker Hannifin Corp (Co.)	Sarasota, FL	10/17/2001	Hydraulic valves and gear pumps.
40,592	Spectrian (Wrks)	Sunnyvale, PA	10/30/2001	Power amplifiers.
40,593	TRW, Inc (Co.)	Rogersville, TN	10/17/2001	Rack tubes—rack and pinion steering.
40,594	Alcoa Fujikura Ltd (Wrks)	El Paso, TX	10/25/2001	Wire harnesses assemblies.
40,595	Elkem Metals Co (PACE)	Alloy, WV	10/30/2001	Silicon and ferrosilicon alloys.
40,596	Tyco Electronics Power (CWA)	Mesquite, TX	10/22/2001	Power supplies.
40,597	Huhtamaki Food Service (Wrks)	Mt. Carmel, PA	10/29/2001	Plastic containers, lids.
40,598	Parker Hannifin Corp. (Wrks)	Eaton, OH	10/25/2001	Tube fittings.
40,599	Erie Concrete and Steel (Co.)	Erie, PA	10/19/2001	Structural steel beams and plates.
40,600	FiberTech Group, Inc (Co.)	Landisville, NJ	10/18/2001	Non-woven roll goods.
40,601	ArvinMeritor, Inc. (Co.)	Fayette, AL	10/19/2001	Automotive exhaust components.
40,602	Chemwest Systems, Inc. (Wrks)	Portland, OR	11/02/2001	Plastic storage cabinets.
40,603	Tiffany Knits, Inc. (Wrks)	Schuylkill Have, PA	11/05/2001	Circular knit fabrics.
40,604	Matsushita Kotobuki (Co.)	Vancouver, WA	11/13/2001	Electronics.
40,605	Powerbrace Corp (Wrks)	Kenosha, WI	11/13/2001	Railcar gates, lock rods for trucks.
40,606	Hibbing Taconite Co (Wrks)	Hibbing, MN	11/16/2001	Taconite pellets.
40,607	Xerox Corp. (UNITE)	Farmington, NY	11/27/2001	Ink jet printhead cartridges.
40,608	Boeing Defense and Space (Wrks)	Oak Ridge, TN	11/21/2001	Commercial aircraft wings.
40,609	Lebold Vacuum USA, Inc (Wrks)	Export, PA	12/07/2001	Dry vacuum pumps.
40,610	Goodyear Tire and Rubber (USWA)	East Gadsden, AL	11/16/2001	Radial passenger and truck tires.
40,611	Hammond Power Solutions (Co.)	Baraboo, WI	01/11/2002	Dry type electrical transformers.