

(202) 208-1415, by fax at (202) 208-2425, and by e-mail at mike.miller@ferc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Description

The energy information collection submitted to OMB for review contains:

1. Collection of Information: FERC Form 2 "Annual Report of Major Natural Gas Companies"
2. Sponsor: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
3. Control No.: OMB No. 1902-0028. The Commission is now requesting that OMB approve a three-year extension of the current expiration date, with no proposed changes to the existing collection. There is an adjustment to the reporting burden due to an additional respondent since the Commission's last submission in 1998. In addition, the availability of Form 2 submission software for all filers for the 2001 filing year, will the Commission believes, reduce the burden as respondents will benefit from user support at the Commission and from filing the FERC Form 2 electronically through the Commission's gateway on its website. This is a mandatory information collection requirement.

4. Necessity of Collection of Information: Submission of the information is necessary to enable the Commission to carry out its responsibilities in implementing the provisions of the Natural Gas Act (NGA). Under the NGA the Commission may prescribe a system of accounts for jurisdictional companies, and after notice and hearing, may determine the accounts in which particular outlays and receipts will be entered, charged or credited. The FERC Form 2 is designed to collect financial information from "Major Natural Gas Companies". A company is defined as a "Major Natural Gas Company" if its combined gas transported or stored exceeded 50 million dekatherms (dth) in each of the three previous calendar years. The form collects general corporate information: summary financial information, balance sheet and income statement supporting information, gas plant, operating expenses and statistical data. The information collected is used by the Commission, state regulatory agencies and others in the review of the financial condition of the regulated companies, in various rate proceedings and audit programs and in the assessment of annual charges which are necessary to recover the Commission's costs.

5. Respondent Description: The respondent universe currently comprises on average, 57 companies

subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.

6. Estimated Burden: 84,360 total burden hours, 57 respondents, 1 response annually, 1,480 hours per response (average).

7. Estimated Cost Burden to Respondents: 84,360 hours ÷ 2,080 hours per year × \$117,041 per year = \$ 4,746,913 average cost per respondent = \$83,279.

Statutory Authority: Sections 8 and 10 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), 15 U.S.C. 717g-717i.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-2973 Filed 2-6-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. ER02-199-000, ER02-218-000, ER02-219-000, ER02-220-000, ER02-221-000, ER02-222-000, ER02-223-000, ER02-224-000, ER02-225-000, ER02-226-000, ER02-227-000, ER02-228-000, ER02-229-000, ER02-230-000, ER02-498-000, ER02-788-000, EL02-50-000]

Mississippi Power Company, Southern Company Services, Inc., Georgia Power Company, Alabama Power Company, Gulf Power Company, Southern Company Services, Inc.; Notice Specifying Time for Submission of State Commission Comments

February 1, 2002.

Take notice that on January 30, 2002, the Commission issued an order in this proceeding that set these dockets for a trial-type, evidentiary hearing, but held the hearing in abeyance. Because the triennial review process of the underlying settlements did not explicitly invite state commission comments, the Commission explained in its January 30 order that it wished to solicit comments and views as to the reasonableness of the formula rates at issue in these dockets from the state commissions for the states where the retail customers of the entities which are purchasers under the rate schedules at issue in these dockets are located. *Mississippi Power Co., et al.*, 98 FERC ¶ 61,065 (2002).

Accordingly, the Commission invites comments and views as to the reasonableness of the formula rates at issue in these dockets from the state commissions for the states where the retail customers of the entities which are purchasers under the rate schedules at issue in these dockets are located.

Such comments and views shall be filed on or before February 28, 2002, and should reference the above dockets.

The Commission does not intend to permit answers to the state commissions' comments and views.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-2972 Filed 2-6-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP98-40-029]

Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company; Notice of Refund Report

February 1, 2002.

Take notice that on January 25, 2002, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle) filed a Refund Report in the above-referenced docket pursuant to a settlement approved by the Commission on September 13, 2001. On December 28, 2001, Panhandle refunded to its jurisdictional customers their allocated share of the refunds of Kansas ad valorem taxes Panhandle received from its producer suppliers in accordance with the settlement.

Panhandle states that Schedules 1 and 2 show the refunds Settling Working Interest Owners made, the Jurisdictional/Non-Jurisdictional allocation, and the derivations of the Jurisdictional Sales Customer refund amounts. These schedules reflect the Missouri Public Service Commission's (MoPSC) election to opt-out off discrete portions of the settlement. Panhandle adjusted the jurisdictional customer distribution allocation to reflect MoPSC's election. Schedule 3 includes refund statements for large and small first sellers, that show the refund amounts due, including additional interest for the period February 1, 2001 to October 15, 2001. Schedule 4 lists the Non-Settling First Sellers that have not provided refunds under the settlement. Panhandle provided copies of its filing to all parties and respective State Regulatory Commissions.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed on or before February 22, 2002. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will

not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-2976 Filed 2-6-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP93-541-010]

Young Gas Storage Company, Ltd.; Notice of Application

February 1, 2002.

Take notice that on January 28, 2002, Young Gas Storage Company, Ltd. (Young), Post Office Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado, 80944, filed an application pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act and Rules 207 and 2001, *et seq.*, for the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure to amend the orders issued on June 22, 1994 at Docket Nos. CP93-541-000 and 001, October 5, 1995 at Docket Nos. CP93-541-004 and 006, August 30, 1996 at Docket No. CP93-541-007, September 16, 1997 at Docket No. CP93-541-008, and May 8, 1998 at Docket No. CP93-541-009. Young seeks amended authorization to modify the current maximum volumes of working gas and base gas that it may store. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

The Young gas storage field was initially developed for 5,271 MMcf of working gas and 4,674 MMcf of base gas. Young seeks to amend its certificate to remove 519 MMcf of base gas and add 519 MMcf to its working gas inventory, to maintain its certificated total capacity of 9,945 MMcf. Young, also, seeks to amend its approved Tariff by modifying the following: (i) ADWQ; (ii) reservoir integrity limit curve; (iii) average daily

injection quantity; and (iv) maximum daily injection and withdrawal quantities. Young states the change in working and base gas will allow it to maximize the effectiveness of the storage field, the proposed changes will not affect existing shipper rates, and there will be no landowner or environmental impacts because the proposed changes are operational in nature.

Any questions regarding the application should be directed to Robert T. Tomlinson, Director, Regulatory Affairs, Colorado Interstate Gas Company, as Operator for Young Gas Storage Company, Ltd., Post Office Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado, 80944; telephone 719-520-3788.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before February 11, 2002, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be

placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-2971 Filed 2-6-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P