

Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Review

This rule has been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget in accordance with Executive Order 12866.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

I certify that this regulation will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because it affects only certain Federal employees.

List of Subjects in 5 CFR Part 330

Armed forces reserves, Government employees.

Office of Personnel Management.

Kay Coles James,

Director.

Accordingly, the interim rule amending 5 CFR parts 330, 332, 351 and 353 which was published at 66 FR 29895 on June 4, 2001, as adopted as a final rule without change.

[FR Doc. 02-2674 Filed 2-4-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-38-M

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT**5 CFR Part 351**

RIN 3206-AJ14

Reduction in Force Retreat Rights

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) is issuing a final regulation that clarifies a released employee's potential right to "Retreat" to another position in a reduction in force. This regulation states that an agency determines the potential grade range of a released employee's retreat right solely upon the position held by the employee on the effective date of the reduction in force rather than the grade range of the position to which the employee may have a right to retreat. **DATES:** This regulation is effective on February 5, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas A. Glennon, FAX 202-606-2329.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On October 20, 2000, OPM published an interim regulation at 65 FR 62991 that clarifies OPM's longstanding policy on the procedure that an agency uses to determine a released employee's potential right to "Retreat" to another position in a reduction in force.

The interim regulation stated that an agency determines the grade or grade-interval range of a released employee's retreat rights solely on the basis of the official position of record held by the employee on the effective date of the reduction in force. The regulation also stated that an agency does not consider the grade or grade-interval range of the position to which the employee may have a retreat right.

The interim regulation was effective upon publication in the **Federal Register**. Interested parties could submit written comments to OPM concerning the regulation in the 60 day period following publication.

Comments

OPM did not receive any comments on the regulation.

Final Regulation

The interim regulation OPM published at 65 FR 62991 is published as a final regulation without further revision.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

I certify that this regulation will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because it affects only certain Federal employees.

Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Review

This regulation has been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget in accordance with Executive Order 12866.

List of Subjects in 5 CFR Part 351

Administrative practice and procedure, Government employees.

Office of Personnel Management.

Kay Coles James,

Director.

Accordingly, the interim regulation published at 65 FR 62991 on October 20, 2000, is adopted as final without change.

[FR Doc. 02-2673 Filed 2-4-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-38-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Federal Aviation Administration****14 CFR Part 23**

[Docket No. CE172; Special Conditions No. 23-110-SC]

Special Conditions: GROB-WERKE, Burkhurt Grob e.k., Unternehmensbereich Luft-und Raumfahrt, Model G120A Airplane, Protection of Systems for High Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF)

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final special conditions, request for comments.

SUMMARY: These special conditions are issued to GROB-WERKE, Burkhurt Grob e.k., Unternehmensbereich Luft-und Raumfahrt (GROB-WERKE), for a type certificate for the G120A airplane. This airplane will have novel and unusual design features when compared to the state of technology envisaged in the applicable airworthiness standards. These novel and unusual design features include the installation of an electronic attitude direction indicator for which the applicable regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate airworthiness standards for the protection of these systems from the effects of high intensity radiated fields (HIRF). These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to the airworthiness standards applicable to these airplanes.

DATES: The effective date of these special conditions is January 29, 2002. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) must receive any comments on this rule on or before March 7, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments to FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. CE172, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. You may view any comments at this location between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karl Schletzbaum, Aerospace Engineer, Standards Office (ACE-110), Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone 816-329-4146; facsimile 816-329-4149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA has determined that notice and opportunity for prior public comment hereon are impracticable because these

procedures would significantly delay issuance of the approval design and thus delivery of the affected aircraft. In addition, the substance of these special conditions has been subject to the public comment process in several prior instances with no substantive comments received. The FAA, therefore, finds that good cause exists for making these special conditions effective upon issuance.

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to submit such written data, views, or arguments, as they may desire. Communications should identify the regulatory docket or notice number and be submitted in duplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered by the Administrator. The special conditions may be changed in light of the comments received. All comments received will be available in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons, both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerning this rulemaking will be filed in the docket. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must include a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. CE172." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Background

On February 6, 2001, GROB-WERKE, Burkhardt Grob e.k., Unternehmensbereich Luft-und Raumfahrt, Lettenbachstrasse 9, 86874, Tussenhausen-Mattsies, Germany, made an application to the FAA for a type certificate for the G120A airplane. The proposed modification incorporates a novel or unusual design feature, such as electronic attitude direction indicator that is vulnerable to HIRF external to the airplane.

Type Certification Basis

Under the provisions of 14 CFR part 21, § 21.17, GROB-WERKE must show that the G120A airplane meets the following provisions, or the applicable regulations in effect on the date of application, 14 CFR part 23 at Amendment 23-54.

Discussion

If the Administrator finds that the applicable airworthiness standards do not contain adequate or appropriate

safety standards because of novel or unusual design features of an airplane, special conditions are prescribed under the provisions of § 21.16.

Special conditions are normally issued in accordance with § 11.19 as required by and become a part of the type certification basis in accordance with § 21.17 (a)(2).

Special conditions are initially applicable to the model for which they are issued. Should the applicant apply for a supplemental type certificate to modify any other model already included on the same type certificate to incorporate the same novel or unusual design feature, the special conditions would also apply to the other model under the provisions of § 21.101(a)(1).

Novel or Unusual Design Features

GROB-WERKE plans to incorporate certain novel and unusual design features into an airplane for which the airworthiness standards do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for protection from the effects of HIRF. These features include an electronic attitude direction indicator, which is susceptible to the HIRF environment, which was not envisaged by the existing regulations for this type of airplane.

Protection of Systems From High Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF)

Recent advances in technology have given rise to the application in aircraft designs of advanced electrical and electronic systems that perform functions required for continued safe flight and landing. Due to the use of sensitive solid state advanced components in analog and digital electronics circuits, these advanced systems are readily responsive to the transient effects of induced electrical current and voltage caused by the HIRF. The HIRF can degrade electronic systems performance by damaging components or upsetting system functions.

Furthermore, the HIRF environment has undergone a transformation that was not foreseen when the current requirements were developed. Higher energy levels are radiated from transmitters that are used for radar, radio, and television. In addition, the number of transmitters has increased significantly. There is also uncertainty concerning the effectiveness of airframe shielding for HIRF. Furthermore, coupling to cockpit-installed equipment through the cockpit window apertures is undefined.

The combined effect of the technological advances in airplane design and the changing environment has resulted in an increased level of

vulnerability of electrical and electronic systems required for the continued safe flight and landing of the airplane.

Effective measures against the effects of exposure to HIRF must be provided by the design and installation of these systems. The accepted maximum energy levels in which civilian airplane system installations must be capable of operating safely are based on surveys and analysis of existing radio frequency emitters. These special conditions require that the airplane be evaluated under these energy levels for the protection of the electronic system and its associated wiring harness. These external threat levels, which are lower than previous required values, are believed to represent the worst case to which an airplane would be exposed in the operating environment.

These special conditions require qualification of systems that perform critical functions, as installed in aircraft, to the defined HIRF environment in paragraph 1 or, as an option to a fixed value using laboratory tests, in paragraph 2, as follows: The applicant may demonstrate that the operation and operational capability of the installed electrical and electronic systems that perform critical functions are not adversely affected when the aircraft is exposed to the HIRF environment defined below:

Frequency	Field strength (volts per meter)	
	Peak	Average
10 kHz-100 kHz	50	50
100 kHz-500 kHz	50	50
500 kHz-2 MHz	50	50
2 MHz-30 MHz	100	100
30 MHz-70 MHz	50	50
70 MHz-100 MHz	50	50
100 MHz-200 MHz	100	100
200 MHz-400 MHz	100	100
400 MHz-700 MHz	700	50
700 MHz-1 GHz	700	100
1 GHz-2 GHz	2000	200
2 GHz-4 GHz	3000	200
4 GHz-6 GHz	3000	200
6 GHz-8 GHz	1000	200
8 GHz-12 GHz	3000	300
12 GHz-18 GHz	2000	200
18GHz-40 GHz	600	200

The field strengths are expressed in terms of peak root-mean-square (rms) values, over the complete modulation period.

or,

(2) The applicant may demonstrate by a system test and analysis that the electrical and electronic systems that perform critical functions can withstand a minimum threat of 100 volts rms per meter, electrical field strength, from 10 kHz to 18 GHz. When using this test to show compliance with the HIRF

requirements, no credit is given for signal attenuation due to installation.

A preliminary hazard analysis must be performed by the applicant, for approval by the FAA, to identify either electrical or electronic systems that perform critical functions. The term "critical" means those functions whose failure would contribute to, or cause, a failure condition that would prevent the continued safe flight and landing of the airplane. The systems identified by the hazard analysis that perform critical functions are candidates for the application of HIRF requirements. A system may perform both critical and non-critical functions. Primary electronic flight display systems, and their associated components, perform critical functions such as attitude, altitude, and airspeed indication. The HIRF requirements apply only to critical functions.

Compliance with HIRF requirements may be demonstrated by tests, analysis, models, similarity with existing systems, or any combination of these. Service experience alone is not acceptable since normal flight operations may not include an exposure to the HIRF environment. Reliance on a system with similar design features for redundancy as a means of protection against the effects of external HIRF is generally insufficient since all elements of a redundant system are likely to be exposed to the fields concurrently.

Applicability

As discussed above, these special conditions are applicable to the G120A airplane. Should GROB-WERKE apply at a later date for a design approval to modify any other model on the same type certificate to incorporate the same novel or unusual design feature, the special conditions would apply to that model as well under the provisions of § 21.101(a)(1).

Conclusion

This action affects only certain novel or unusual design features on the specified airplane model(s). It is not a rule of general applicability and affects only the applicant who applied to the FAA for approval of these features on the airplane.

The substance of these special conditions has been subjected to the notice and comment period in several prior instances and has been derived without substantive change from those previously issued. It is unlikely that prior public comment would result in a significant change from the substance contained herein. For this reason, and because a delay would significantly affect the certification of the airplane,

which is imminent, the FAA has determined that prior public notice and comment are unnecessary and impracticable, and good cause exists for adopting these special conditions upon issuance. The FAA is requesting comments to allow interested persons to submit views that may not have been submitted in response to the prior opportunities for comment described above.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 23

Aircraft, Aviation safety, Signs and symbols.

Citation

The authority citation for these special conditions is as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113 and 44701; 14 CFR part 21, §§ 21.16 and 21.101; and 14 CFR part 11, 11.19.

The Special Conditions

Accordingly, by the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the following special conditions are issued as part of the type certification basis for the G120A airplane manufactured by GROB-WERKE, which includes an electronic attitude direction indicator.

1. Protection of Electrical and Electronic Systems from High Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF). Each system that performs critical functions must be designed and installed to ensure that the operations, and operational capabilities of these systems to perform critical functions, are not adversely affected when the airplane is exposed to high intensity radiated electromagnetic fields external to the airplane.

2. For the purpose of these special conditions, the following definition applies: Critical Functions: Functions whose failure would contribute to, or cause, a failure condition that would prevent the continued safe flight and landing of the airplane.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on January 29, 2002.

Marvin R. Nuss,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 02-2719 Filed 2-4-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 02-ASO-3]

Amendment to Class D Airspace; Eglin AFB, FL; Correction

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Correcting amendments.

SUMMARY: This document contains corrections to the final rule (99-ASO-19), which was published in the **Federal Register** on December 14, 1999, (64 FR 69631), amending Class D airspace at Eglin AFB, FL. This action corrects errors in the legal description for the Class D airspace at Eglin AFB, FL. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** 0901 UTC, April 18, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Walter R. Cochran, Manager, Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320; telephone (404) 305-5586.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Federal Register Document 99-32347, Airspace Docket No. 99-ASO-19, published on December 14, 1999, (64 FR 69631), amends Class D airspace at Eglin AFB, FL. Errors were discovered in the legal description, describing the Class D airspace area. One word, "of" has been changed to "to", and the word "east" has been inserted to more clearly describe the airspace boundaries. These actions correct the errors.

Designations for Class D airspace areas extending upward from the surface of the earth are published in Paragraph 5000 of FAA Order 7400.9J, dated August 31, 2001, and effective September 16, 2001, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class D airspace designation listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

Need for Correction

As published, the final rule contains errors which incorrectly describe the geographical boundaries of the Class D airspace area. Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the legal description for the Class D airspace area at Eglin AFB, FL, incorporated by reference at § 71.1, 14 CFR 71.1, and published in the **Federal Register** on December 14, 1999, (64 FR 69631), is corrected by making the following correcting amendment.