comments directly to the IRS Internet site at http://www.irs.ustreas.gov/tax—
regs/reglist.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Concerning the proposed regulations, 
Stephen Tackney of the Office of Division Counsel/Associate Chief 
Counsel (Tax Exempt and Government Entities), (202) 622–6040; concerning 
submissions of comments, the hearing, and/or to place on the building 
access list to attend the hearing, Treena 
Garrett of the Regulations Unit, 
Associate Chief Counsel (Income Tax 
and Accounting), (202) 622–7180 (not 
toll-free numbers).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background
A notice of proposed rulemaking and 
notice of public hearing that appeared in the Federal Register on November 14, 
2001, (66 FR 57023), announced that a 
public hearing on the proposed regulations relating to incentive stock 
options and options granted under 
employee stock purchase plans would 
be held on March 7, 2002, in the IRS 
Auditorium, Internal Revenue Building, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., 
Washington, DC. Subsequently, the date 
of the public hearing has changed to 
May 14, 2002, at 10 a.m. in the IRS 
Auditorium. Outlines of oral comments 
must be received by April 23, 2002.

LaNita Van Dyke, 
Acting Chief, Regulations Unit, Associate 
Chief Counsel, (Income Tax and Accounting).

[FR Doc. 02–2047 Filed 1–25–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

30 CFR Part 917
[KY–231–FOR]

Kentucky Regulatory Program

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining 
Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; public comment 
period.

SUMMARY: We, the Office of Surface 
Mining Reclamation and Enforcement 
(OSM), are announcing the proposed 
removal of a required amendment to the 
Kentucky regulatory program (the 
“Kentucky program”) at 30 CFR 
917.16(f) under the Surface Mining 
Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 
(SMCA or the Act). This document 
gives the times and locations that the 
Kentucky program and proposed 
amendment to that program are 
available for your inspection, the 
comment period during which you may 
submit written comments on the 
amendment, and the procedures that we 
will follow for the public hearing, if one 
is requested.

DATES: We will accept written 
comments on this amendment until 4:00 
p.m., e.s.t. February 27, 2002. If 
requested, we will hold a public hearing 
on the amendment on February 22, 
2002. We will accept requests to speak 
at a hearing until 4 p.m., e.s.t. on 
February 12, 2002.

ADDRESSES: You should mail or hand 
deliver written comments and requests 
to speak at the hearing to William J. 
Kovacic at the address listed below.

You may review copies of the 
Kentucky program, this amendment, a 
listing of any scheduled public hearings, 
and all written comments received in 
response to this document at the 
addresses listed below during normal 
business hours, Monday through Friday, 
excluding holidays. You may receive 
one free copy of the amendment by 
contacting OSM’s Lexington Field 
Office.

William J. Kovacic, Lexington Field 
Office, Office of Surface Mining 
Reclamation and Enforcement, 2675 
Regency Road, Lexington, Kentucky 
40503, Telephone: (859) 260–8400, 
e-mail: bkovacic@osmre.gov.

Department of Surface Mining 
Reclamation and Enforcement, 2 
Hudson Hollow Complex, Frankfort, 
Kentucky 40601, Telephone: (502) 
564–6940.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: 
William J. Kovacic, Telephone: (859) 
260–8400. Internet: bkovacic@osmre.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background on the Kentucky Program

II. Description of the Proposed Amendment

III. Public Comment Procedures

Under the provisions of 30 CFR 
732.17(h), we are seeking your 
comments on whether the amendment 
satisfies the applicable program 
approval criteria of 30 CFR 732.15. If we 
approve the amendment, it will become 
part of the State program.

Written Comments

Send your written or electronic 
comments to OSM at the address given 
above. Your written comments should 
be specific, pertain only to the issues 
proposed in this rulemaking, and 
include explanations in support of your 
recommendations. We will not consider 
respond or pay to your comments when 
developing the final rule if they are 
received after the close of the comment 
period (see DATES). We will make every 
attempt to log all comments into the 
administrative record, but comments 
delivered to an address other than the 
Lexington Field Office may not be 
logged in.

Electronic Comments

Please submit Internet comments as 
an ASCII or Word file avoiding the use 
of special characters and any form of 
encryption. Please also include “Attn: 
SPATS No. KY–231–FOR” and your 
name and return address in your 
Internet message. If you do not receive 
a confirmation that we have received 
your Internet message, contact the 
Lexington Field Office at (859) 260– 
8400.
Availability of Comments

We will make comments, including names and addresses of respondents, available for public review during normal business hours. We will not consider anonymous comments. If individual respondents request confidentiality, we will honor their request to the extent allowable by law. Individual respondents who wish to withhold their name or address from public review, except for the city or town, must state this prominently at the beginning of their comments. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public review in their entirety.

Public Hearing

If you wish to speak at the public hearing, contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT by 4 p.m., e.s.t. February 12, 2002. If you are disabled and need special accommodations to attend a public hearing, contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. We will arrange the location and time of the hearing with those persons requesting the hearing. If no one requests an opportunity to speak, we will not hold a hearing.

To assist the transcriber and ensure an accurate record, we request, if possible, that each person who speaks at the public hearing provide us with a written copy of his or her comments. The public hearing will continue on the specified date until everyone scheduled to speak has been given an opportunity to be heard. If you are in the audience and have not been scheduled to speak and wish to do so, you will be allowed to speak after those who have been scheduled. We will end the hearing after everyone scheduled to speak and others present in the audience who wish to speak, have been heard.

Public Meeting

If only one person requests an opportunity to speak, we may hold a public meeting rather than a public hearing. If you wish to meet with us to discuss the amendment, please request a meeting by contacting the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. All such meetings are open to the public and, if possible, we will post notices of meetings at the locations listed under ADDRESSES. We will make a written summary of each meeting a part of the administrative record.

IV. Procedural Determinations

Executive Order 12630—Takings

This rule does not have takings implications. This determination is based on the analysis performed for the counterpart Federal regulation.

Executive Order 12866—Regulatory Planning and Review

This rule is exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866.

Executive Order 12988—Civil Justice Reform

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 and has determined that this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments because each program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(b)(10), decisions on proposed State regulatory programs and program amendments submitted by the States must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR Parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

Executive Order 13132—Federalism

This rule does not have Federalism implications. SMCRA delineates the roles of the Federal and State governments with regard to the regulation of surface coal mining and reclamation operations. One of the purposes of SMCRA is to “establish a nationwide program to protect society and the environment from the adverse effects of surface coal mining operations.” Section 503(a)(1) of SMCRA requires that State laws regulating surface coal mining and reclamation operations be “in accordance with” the requirements of SMCRA. Section 503(a)(7) requires that State programs contain rules and regulations “consistent with” regulations issued by the Secretary pursuant to SMCRA.

Executive Order 13211—Regulations That Significantly Affect the Supply, Distribution, or Use of Energy

On May 18, 2001, the President issued Executive Order 13211 which requires agencies to prepare a Statement of Energy Effects for a rule that is (1) considered significant under Executive Order 12866, and (2) likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. Because this rule is exempt from review under Executive Order 12866 and is not expected to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy, a Statement of Energy Effects is not required.

National Environmental Policy Act

This rule does not require an environmental impact statement because section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that agency decisions on proposed State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 et seq.).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). The State submittal, which is the subject of this rule, is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the counterpart Federal regulations.

Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act

This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C.804(2), the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act. This rule: (a) Does not have an annual effect on the economy of $100 million; (b) Will not cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local governmental agencies or geographic regions; and (c) Does not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of U.S.-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises. This determination is based upon the fact
that the State submittal, which is the subject of this rule, is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an analysis was prepared and a determination made that the Federal regulation was not considered a major rule.

Unfunded Mandates

This rule will not impose an unfunded mandate on State, local, or tribal governments or the private sector of $100 million or more in any given year. This determination is based upon the fact that the State submittal, which is the subject of this rule, is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an analysis was prepared and a determination made that the Federal regulation did not impose an unfunded mandate.

List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 917

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: January 9, 2002.

Allen D. Klein,
Regional Director, Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center.

[FR Doc. 02–1944 Filed 1–25–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–05–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 254–0318b; FRL–7132–2]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District (YSAQMD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern oxides of nitrogen (Nox) emissions from stationary internal combustion engines. We are proposing to approve the local rule to regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990.

DATES: Any comments on this proposal must arrive by February 27, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR–4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

You can inspect copies of the submitted SIP revisions and EPA’s technical support document (TSD) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted SIP revisions at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board,
Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 “I” Street, Sacramento, CA 95812
Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District, 1947 Galileo Court, Suite 103, Davis, CA 95616.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposal addresses the local rule: YSAQMD Rule 2.32. In the Rules and Regulations section of this Federal Register, we are approving this local rule in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe these SIP revisions are not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rules and address the comments in subsequent action based on these proposed rules. We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.


Jack Broadbent, Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 02–2008 Filed 1–25–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

RIN 1018–AH95

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Proposed Determination of Critical Habitat for the Newcomb’s Snail

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), propose designation of critical habitat for the Newcomb’s snail (Erinia newcombi) pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). The proposed critical habitat consists of nine stream segments and associated tributaries, springs and seeps on the island of Kauai, Hawaii, totaling approximately 26.29 kilometers (16.35 miles).

If this proposal is made final, section 7 of the Act requires Federal agencies to ensure that actions they fund, authorize, or carry out do not destroy or adversely modify critical habitat for the survival and recovery of the species.

Section 4 of the Act requires us to consider economic and other impacts of specifying any particular area as critical habitat. We solicit data and comments from the public on all aspects of this proposal, including data on economic and other impacts of the designation.

We may revise or further refine critical habitat boundaries described in this proposal after taking into consideration the comments or any new information received during the comment period, and such information may lead to a final regulation that differs from this proposal.

DATES: We will consider comments from all interested parties received by March 29, 2002. Requests for public hearing must be received by March 14, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments and requests for public hearing to Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 300 Ala Moana Boulevard, Room 3–122, Box 50088, Honolulu, Hawaii 96850.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Henson, Field Supervisor, Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office, at the above address (telephone: 808/541–3441; facsimile: 808/541–3470).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Hawaiian archipelago consists of eight main islands and the numerous shoals and atolls of the northwestern Hawaiian Islands. The islands were formed sequentially by basaltic lava that emerged from the earth’s crust located near the current southeastern coast of the island of Hawaii (Stearns 1983). Ongoing erosion has formed steep-walled valleys with well-developed soils and stream systems throughout the chain. Kauai, geologically the oldest and most northwesterly of the eight main islands, is characterized by deep valleys, high rainfall, abundant vegetation, and numerous streams and springs.

The island of Kauai is 1,430 square kilometers (km²) (552 square miles (mi²)) in size, the fourth largest of the main Hawaiian islands. Most of the land mass of Kauai was formed between 5.6 and 3.6 million years ago from one or more large shield volcanoes. More recent, secondary eruptions occurred over the eastern portion of the island as