

Under Article 2, issued January 18, 2002, for the Horseshoe Bend Project (FERC No. 5376-062). Copies of the EA can be viewed at the Commission's Public Reference Room, Room 2A, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, or by calling (202) 208-1371. The EA may also be viewed on the Web at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm>. Call (202) 208-2222 for assistance.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-1828 Filed 1-24-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of Application Accepted for Filing and Soliciting Motions to Intervene and Protests

January 18, 2002.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

a. *Type of Application:* New Minor License.

b. *Project No.:* 2782-006.

c. *Date filed:* October 30, 2001.

d. *Applicant:* Parowan City.

e. *Name of Project:* Red Creek Hydroelectric Project.

f. *Location:* On Red Creek near the City of Paragonah, in Iron County, Utah. The project occupies 19.06 acres of lands of the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 791 (a)-825(r).

h. *Applicant Contact:* Travis S. Taylor, P.E., Sunrise Engineering, Inc., 25 East 500 North, Fillmore, Utah 84631, (435) 743-6151.

i. *FERC Contact:* Gaylord W.

Hoisington, (202) 219-2756 or gaylord.hoisington@FERC.fed.us.

j. *Deadline for filing motions to intervene and protests:* 60 days from the issuance date of this notice.

All documents (original and eight copies) should be filed with: Linwood A. Watson, Jr., Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426.

The Commission's Rules of Practice require all intervenors filing documents with the Commission to serve a copy of that document on each person on the official service list for the project. Further, if an intervenor files comments or documents with the Commission relating to the merits of an issue that may affect the responsibilities of a particular resource agency, they must

also serve a copy of the document on that resource agency.

Motions to intervene and protests may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site (<http://www.ferc.gov>) under the "e-Filing" link.

k. This application has been accepted, but is not ready for environmental analysis at this time.

l. The existing Red Creek Hydroelectric Project consists of: (1) (a) The South Fork 8-foot-high, 29-foot-long concrete overflow type diversion dam; a radial gate and trash racks incorporating an intake structure connected to a 4,263-foot-long, 10-inch-diameter steel penstock extending from the diversion structure to a pump-house located at the junction of the South Fork and the Red Creek Canyon penstock; and (b) the Red Creek Canyon 8-foot-high, 48-foot-long concrete overflow type diversion dam; a radial gate and trash racks incorporating an intake structure connected to a 16,098-foot-long steel penstock that consists of 7,838-foot, 18-inch-diameter 12 gauge; 1,408-foot, 18-inch-diameter 10-gauge; 2,620-foot, 16-inch-diameter 10-gauge; and 4,232-foot, 16-inch-diameter 7-gauge steel pipe, (2) a pump station, at the junction of the South Fork penstock and the Red Creek penstock, housing a 15 horsepower and a 20 horsepower pump with control equipment, (3) a 27-foot by 32-foot concrete block powerhouse housing a 500-kilowatt (kW) generator having a total installed capacity of 500 kW; and (3) appurtenant facilities.

m. A copy of the application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link—select "Docket #" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). A copy is also available for inspection and reproduction at the address in item h above.

n. Anyone may submit a protest or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, 385.211, and 385.214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any protests or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified deadline date for the particular application.

All filings must (1) bear in all capital letters the title "PROTEST" or "MOTION TO INTERVENE;" (2) set

forth in the heading the name of the applicant and the project number of the application to which the filing responds; (3) furnish the name, address, and telephone number of the person protesting or intervening; and (4) otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 385.2001 through 385.2005. Agencies may obtain copies of the application directly from the applicant. A copy of any protest or motion to intervene must be served upon each representative of the applicant specified in the particular application.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-1830 Filed 1-24-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RM98-1-000]

Regulations Governing Off-the-Record Communications; Public Notice

January 18, 2002.

This constitutes notice, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.2201(h), of the receipt of exempt and prohibited off-the-record communications.

Order No. 607 (64 FR 51222, September 22, 1999) requires Commission decisional employees, who make or receive an exempt or a prohibited off-the-record communication relevant to the merits of a contested on-the-record proceeding, to deliver a copy of the communication, if written, or a summary of the substance of any oral communication, to the Secretary.

Prohibited communications will be included in a public, non-decisional file associated with, but not part of, the decisional record of the proceeding. Unless the Commission determines that the prohibited communication and any responses thereto should become part of the decisional record, the prohibited off-the-record communication will not be considered by the Commission in reaching its decision. Parties to a proceeding may seek the opportunity to respond to any facts or contentions made in a prohibited off-the-record communication, and may request that the Commission place the prohibited communication and responses thereto in the decisional record. The Commission will grant such requests only when it determines that fairness so requires. Any person identified below as having made a prohibited off-the-record communication should serve the

document on all parties listed on the official service list for the applicable proceeding in accordance with Rule 2010, 18 CFR 385.2010.

Exempt off-the-record communications will be included in the decisional record of the proceeding, unless the communication was with a cooperating agency as described by 40 CFR 1501.6, made under 18 CFR 385.2201(e)(1)(v).

The following is a list of exempt and prohibited off-the-record communications received in the Office of the Secretary within the preceding 14 days. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. The documents may be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

Exempt

1. Project Nos. 20, 2401 and 472, 01-08-02, John G. Carter
2. Project No. 2000-036, 01-08-02, David L Dickinson
3. CP01-361-000, 01-08-02, Susan Smillie
4. Project No. 10942-001, 01-08-02, John Phipps
5. Project No. 2342, 01-08-02, Loree Randall
6. Project No. 2055, 01-10-02, Susan Pengilly Neitzel
7. Project No. 2342, 01-14-02, Jim Rhoads
8. Project No. 2342, 01-14-02, Jerry Smith
9. Project Nos. 10461 and 10462, 01-16-02, Janet Hutzel

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-1822 Filed 1-24-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6625-9]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact

statements (EISs) was published in FR dated May 18, 2001 (66 FR 27647).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-USA-D11032-PA Rating EC2, Fort Indiantown Gap National Guard Training Center, To Enhance Training and Operations, Pennsylvania National Guard (PANG), Annville, Dauphin and Lebanon Counties, PA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding wetlands, noise and prime and unique farmland issues. EPA requested that the FEIS include wetlands delineation, the type and quality of wetland habitat and functions/values. In addition, EPA recommended the use of a noise map that depicts the land use areas below the noise contours (including sensitive receptors), the acreage of land affected by noise and the number of people living within the impacted area. Regarding farmland issues, EPA requested that prime and unique farmland impacted by the project be delineated.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-J65343-MT, North Elkhorns Vegetation Project, Elkhorn Wildlife Management Unit, Implementation, Strawberry Butte Area, Helena National Forest, Jefferson County, MT.

Summary: EPA did not identify potential environmental impacts requiring substantive changes to the selected alternative.

ERP No. F-AFS-J65347-MT, Gold/Boulder/Sullivan (GBS), Implementation of Timber Harvest and Associated Activities Prescribed Burning, Kootenai National Forest, Rexford Ranger District, Lincoln County, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to watersheds and wildlife habitat and security from proposed timber harvest and road management, with particular concern over exceedances of Forest Standards for open road density.

ERP No. F-BLM-L65318-OR, Southeastern Oregon Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Comprehensive Framework of Managing Public Land, Malheur, Jordan and Andrew Resource Areas, Vale and Burns Districts, Malheur, Harney and Grant Counties, OR.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-HUD-K89062-CA North Hollywood Arts and Entertainment District Project, Construction and Operation, North Hollywood Redevelopment Project, City of Los Angeles, and Los Angeles County, CA.

Summary: EPA found the FEIS adequately addresses most of the issues raised in its comment letter on the DEIS. However, EPA

ERP No. F-UAF-D11048-VA Initial F-22 Operational Wing Beddown Replacing the Existing F-15C at Langley (AFB) or one of the Four Alternative Locations, VA.

Summary: EPA has determined that the United States Air Force has adequately addressed its comments within the FEIS.

ERP No. FS-COE-K36098-CA Prado Dam Water Conversion Plan, Implementation, New Information Concerning New Modified Flood Protection Features, Remaining Features of the Santa Ana River Project (SARP) and Stabilization of the Bluff Toe at Norco Bluffs, Riverside, Orange and San Bernardino Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed continuing environmental concerns regarding potential impacts associated with toxic air contaminants (due to project construction), mitigation for toxic air contaminants and criteria air pollutants, consistency with the Clean Water Act section 404, and analyzing cumulative impacts under the National Environmental Policy Act.

Dated: January 22, 2002.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 02-1883 Filed 1-24-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6625-8]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed January 14, 2002 Through January 18, 2002

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 020022, FINAL EIS, AFS, MT, Dry Fork Vegetation Restoration Project, To Improve Forest and Watershed Health and Sustainability, King Hill Ranger District, Lewis and Clark National Forest, Cascade and Judith Basin Counties, MT, Wait Period Ends: February 25, 2002, Contact: Jennifer Johnsten (406) 791-7765.

EIS No. 020023, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, AFS, ID, North Lochsa Face Ecosystem Management Project,