

sounding of the locomotive horn shall cease pursuant to the terms of this order and shall serve such notice on the BNSF with a copy sent to the Associate Administrator for Safety, FRA, at least 14 days prior to the date on which cessation is planned;

(b) All highway-rail grade crossing warning devices installed at the crossing shall operate properly and in accordance with the provisions of 49 CFR part 234. In the event of a warning system malfunction as defined in 49 CFR 234.5, an engineer operating a train through the crossing is not responsible for sounding the locomotive horn until he or she has been informed of the warning system malfunction; and

(c) Advance warning signs, as approved by the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commissioner and in conformance with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices issued by the Federal Highway Administration, shall be posted and maintained by the City advising motorists that locomotive horns will not be sounded.

Unless rescinded by the FRA Associate Administrator for Safety at an earlier date, this order is in effect until the effective date of a final rule issued pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 20153, provided that the Associate Administrator for Safety determines that data developed during the initial demonstration period confirms the effectiveness of the subject engineering improvements and periodic monitoring continues to confirm this effectiveness.

Nothing in this order is intended to prohibit an engineer from sounding the locomotive horn to provide a warning to vehicle operators, pedestrians, trespassers or crews on other trains in an emergency situation if, in the engineer's sole judgment, such action is appropriate in order to prevent imminent injury, death or property damage. This order does not require that such warnings be provided nor does it impose a legal duty to sound the locomotive horn in such situations.

Nothing in this order excuses compliance with sections 214.339, 234.105, 234.106, and 234.107 of title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, concerning use of the locomotive horn under circumstances therein described. Nothing in this order is intended to prohibit an engineer from sounding the locomotive horn or whistle to provide necessary communication with other trains and train crew members if other means of communication are unavailable.

Any violation of this order shall subject the person committing the violation to a civil penalty of up to \$22,000. 49 U.S.C. 21301. FRA, may

through the Attorney General, also seek injunctive relief to enforce this order. 49 U.S.C. 20112.

Issued in Washington, DC on January 10, 2002.

Allan Rutter,

Federal Railroad Administrator.

[FR Doc. 02-1254 Filed 1-16-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

[Docket No. FRA-2000-7257; Notice No. 26]

Railroad Safety Advisory Committee ("RSAC"); Working Group Activity Update

AGENCY: Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Announcement of Railroad Safety Advisory Committee (RSAC) working group activities.

SUMMARY: FRA is updating its announcement of RSAC's working group activities to reflect their current status.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Trish Butera or Lydia Leeds, RSAC Coordinators, FRA, 1120 Vermont Avenue, NW., Mailstop 25, Washington, DC 20590, (202) 493-6213 or Grady Cothen, Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development, FRA, 1120 Vermont Avenue, NW., Mailstop 25, Washington, DC 20590, (202) 493-6302.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice serves to update FRA's last announcement of working group activities and status reports on April 6, 2001, (66 FR 18352). The seventeenth full Committee meeting was held April 23, 2001, at the Mayflower Hotel in the Colonial Ballroom in Washington, DC. The eighteenth meeting is scheduled for February 13, 2002.

Since its first meeting in April of 1996, the RSAC has accepted seventeen tasks. Status for each of the tasks is provided below:

Task 96-1—Revising the Freight Power Brake Regulations. This Task was formally withdrawn from the RSAC on June 24, 1997. FRA published an NPRM on September 9, 1998, reflective of what FRA had learned through the collaborative process. Two public hearings were conducted and a technical conference was held. The date for submission of written comments was extended to March 1, 1999. The final rule was published on January 17, 2001 (66 FR 4104). An amendment extending

the effective date of the final rule until May 31, 2001 was published on February 12, 2001, (66 FR 9905). In addition, the FRA is reviewing petitions for reconsideration of the final rule and has published amendments to Subpart D of the final rule (66 FR 36983; 8/1/01). Contact: Thomas Hermann (202) 493-6036.

Task 96-2—(Completed) Reviewing and recommending revisions to the Track Safety Standards (49 CFR Part 213). This task was accepted April 2, 1996, and a Working Group was established. Consensus was reached on recommended revisions and an NPRM incorporating these recommendations was published in the **Federal Register** on July 3, 1997, (62 FR 36138). The final rule was published in the **Federal Register** on June 22, 1998 (63 FR 33991). The effective date of the rule was September 21, 1998. A task force was established to address Gage Restraint Measurement System (GRMS) technology applicability to the Track Safety Standards. A GRMS amendment to the Track Safety Standards was approved by the full RSAC in a mail ballot during August 2000. The GRMS final rule amendment was published January 10, 2001 (66 FR 1894) and the Roadway Maintenance Machines NPRM was published January 10, 2001 (66 FR 1930). On January 31, 2001, FRA published a notice extending the effective date of the GRMS amendment to April 10, 2001 (66 FR 8372). On February 8, 2001, FRA published a notice delaying the effective date until June 9, 2001 in accordance with the Regulatory Review Plan (66 FR 9676). Contact: Al MacDowell (202) 493-6236.

Task 96-3—(Completed) Reviewing and recommending revisions to the Radio Standards and Procedures (49 CFR Part 220). This Task was accepted on April 2, 1996, and a Working Group was established. Consensus was reached on recommended revisions and an NPRM incorporating these recommendations was published in the **Federal Register** on June 26, 1997 (62 FR 34544). The final rule was published on September 4, 1998 (63 FR 47182), and was effective on January 2, 1999. Contact: Gene Cox (202) 493-6319.

Task 96-4—Reviewing the appropriateness of the agency's current policy regarding the applicability of existing and proposed regulations to tourist, excursion, scenic, and historic railroads. This Task was accepted on April 2, 1996, and a Working Group was established. The Working Group monitored the steam locomotive regulations task. Planned future activities involve the review of other regulations for possible adaptation to

the safety needs of tourist and historic railroads. Contact: Grady Cothen (202) 493-6302.

Task 96-5—(Completed) Reviewing and recommending revisions to Steam Locomotive Inspection Standards (49 CFR Part 230). This Task was assigned to the Tourist and Historic Working Group on July 24, 1996. Consensus was reached and an NPRM was published on September 25, 1998 (63 FR 51404). A public hearing was held on February 4, 1999, and recommendations were developed in response to comments received. The final rule was published on November 17, 1999 (64 FR 62828). The final rule became effective January 18, 2000. Contact: George Scerbo (202) 493-6349.

Task 96-6—(Completed) Reviewing and recommending revisions to miscellaneous aspects of the regulations addressing Locomotive Engineer Certification (49 CFR Part 240). This Task was accepted on October 31, 1996, and a Working Group was established. Consensus was reached and an NPRM was published on September 22, 1998. The Working Group met to resolve issues presented in public comments. The RSAC recommended issuance of a final rule with the Working Group modifications. The final rule was published November 8, 1999 (64 FR 60966). Contact: John Conklin (202) 493-6318.

Task 96-7—Developing Roadway Maintenance Machine (On-Track Equipment) Safety Standards. This task was assigned to the existing Track Standards Working Group on October 31, 1996, and a Task Force was established. The Task Force finalized a proposed rule which was approved by the full RSAC in a mail ballot in August 2000. The NPRM was published January 10, 2001 (66 FR 1930). The Task Force is to meet to review comments on February 27—March 1, 2002. Contact: Al MacDowell (202) 493-6236.

Task 96-8—This Planning Task evaluated the need for action responsive to recommendations contained in a report to Congress entitled, Locomotive Crashworthiness & Working Conditions. This Planning Task was accepted on October 31, 1996. A Planning Group was formed and reviewed the report, grouping issues into categories, and prepared drafts of the task statements for Tasks 97-1 and 97-2.

Task 97-1—Developing crashworthiness specifications to promote the integrity of the locomotive cab in accidents resulting from collisions. This Task was accepted on June 24, 1997. A Task Force on engineering issues was established by the Working Group on Locomotive

Crashworthiness to review collision history and design options and additional research was commissioned. The Working Group reviewed results of the research and is drafting performance-based standards for freight and passenger locomotives to present to the RSAC for consideration. An accident review task force has evaluated the potential effectiveness of suggested improvements. An NPRM has been prepared and circulated, and the Working Group met to review the draft on October 9-10, 2001. The next meeting is scheduled for January 17-18, 2002 to go over proposed drafts. The full RSAC will review after approval of the Working Group. Contact: Sean Mehrvazi (202) 493-6237.

Task 97-2—Evaluating the extent to which environmental, sanitary, and other working conditions in locomotive cabs affect the crew's health and the safe operation of locomotives, proposing standards where appropriate. This Task was accepted June 24, 1997.

(Sanitation). A draft sanitation NPRM was circulated to the Working Group on Cab Working Conditions with ballot requested by November 3, 2000. The NPRM on sanitation was discussed during the full RSAC meeting on September 14, 2000 and published January 2, 2001 (66 FR 136). A public hearing was held April 2, 2001. Refinement and substantive changes were incorporated into the rule language. A meeting was held on August 21, 2001, to discuss comments in response to the NPRM on sanitation. Agreement was reached on resolution of the comments to the NPRM. The Working Group gave concurrence to send the recommendations to the full RSAC for mail ballot vote. The recommendations were approved by the full Committee in December 2001, and FRA is preparing the final rule for early issuance.

(Noise exposure.) A Task Force has assisted in identifying options for strengthening the occupational noise exposure standard, and the Cab Working Group met in October and November, 2000, and April, 2001, and reached tentative agreement on most of the significant issues related to the noise NPRM. The Cab Working Group held a meeting April 3 to 5, 2001, to discuss Noise exposure Standards. Refinement and substantive changes were incorporated into the rule language. A full draft NPRM will be circulated to the working group for consideration. The Cab Working Group has also considered issues related to cab temperature, and is expected to consider additional issues (such as vibration) in the future. Contact: Jeffrey Horn (202) 493-6283.

Task 97-3—Developing event recorder data survivability standards. This Task was accepted on June 24, 1997. The Event Recorder Working Group is completing preparation of an NPRM. The NPRM went to the Working Group on May 21, 2001, for comments, and FRA has reviewed the comments. A new draft is under review within FRA. It will be circulated to the Working Group, which will be asked to consider it. Contact: Edward Pritchard (202) 493-6247.

Task 97-4 and Task 97-5—Defining Positive Train Control (PTC) functionalities, describing available technologies, evaluating costs and benefits of potential systems, and considering implementation opportunities and challenges, including demonstration and deployment. *Task 97-6—Revising various regulations to address the safety implications of processor-based signal and train control technologies, including communications-based operating systems.* These three tasks were accepted on September 30, 1997, and assigned to a single Working Group. A Data and Implementation Task Force, formed to address issues such as assessment of costs and benefits and technical readiness, completed a report on the future of PTC systems. The report was accepted as RSAC's Report to the Administrator at the September 8, 1999, meeting. The Standards Task Force, formed to develop PTC standards, is developing draft recommendations for performance-based standards for processor-based signal and train control standards. The NPRM was approved by consensus at the full RSAC meeting held on September 14, 2000. The NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on August 10, 2001. A meeting of the Working Group was held December 4-6, 2001, in San Antonio, Texas to formulate recommendations for resolution of issues raised in the public comments. Consultations continue to complete that activity, after which recommendations will be submitted to the full committee for consideration. Monitoring of implementation continues. Task forces on Human Factors and the Axiomatic Safety-Critical Assessment Process (risk assessment) continue to work, and the Working Group will continue to meet to monitor project implementation. Contact: Grady Cothen (202) 493-6302.

Task 97-7—Determining damages qualifying an event as a reportable train accident. This Task was accepted on September 30, 1997. A working group was formed to address this task and conducted their initial meeting on February 8, 1999. The working group

designed a survey form to collect specific data about damages to railroad equipment. The survey started on August 1 and ended January 31, 2001. A statistical analysis, using the survey data, was done to see if the method could be used to calculate property damages. The report was complete by the last week of April, 2001. A meeting was held May 21–23, 2001 to review the report. The Working Group has agreed to terminate action on this task after reviewing the options. The Working Group is reviewing a draft close-out report for approval by the full RSAC. Contact: Robert Finkelstein (202) 493–6280.

Task 00–1—Determining the need to amend regulations protecting persons who work on, under, or between rolling equipment and persons applying, removing or inspecting rear end marking devices (Blue Signal Protection). A working group has been formed and held its first meeting on October 16–18, 2000. Meetings have been held: February 27–March 1, 2001, March 19–21, 2001, May 1–3, 2001, June 19–21, 2001 and October 23–25, 2001. The next meeting is tentatively scheduled for January 2002. The Working Group has reached tentative consensus on several issues. Contact: Doug Taylor (202) 493–6255.

Task 01–1—Developing conformity of FRA's regulations for accident/incident reporting (49 CFR Part 225) to revised regulations of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), U.S. Department of Labor, and to make appropriate revisions to the FRA Guide for Preparing Accident/Incident Reports (Reporting Guide). This task was accepted April 23, 2001, by the full RSAC and assigned to the Accident/Incident Working Group. At a meeting of the Working Group, held May 21–23, 2001, the task was discussed, and four task forces were set up to review changes and/or modifications. To date, these task forces have identified a series of minor modifications to the Reporting Guide/regulations for consideration. A target of September 15, 2001, was set for reporting the recommended changes. The Working Group met September 11, 2001; meeting was dismissed due to national emergency. A meeting was held November 14–15, 2001 in St. Louis, Missouri. A Task Force on Remote Control met on December 11, 2001. The next meeting is scheduled for January 23–24, 2002, in Baltimore, Maryland. Contact: Contact: Robert Finkelstein (202) 493–6280.

Please refer to the notice published in the **Federal Register** on March 11, 1996 (61 FR 9740) for more information about the RSAC.

Issued in Washington, DC on January 11, 2002.

George A. Gavalla,

Associate Administrator for Safety.

[FR Doc. 02–1256 Filed 1–16–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Docket No. 42052]

Union Pacific Railroad Company— Petition for Declaratory Order— Unilaterally Imposed Interchange Charges

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board
Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Request for Notices of Intent to Participate.

SUMMARY: The Surface Transportation Board (Board) requests that those intending to participate in this phase of this proceeding, in which interested parties will meet to discuss ways to facilitate the interchange of railroad cars, notify the agency and the Association of American Railroads (AAR) of their intent. The Board is also suspending the procedural schedule established in the prior order (served on December 10, 2001).

DATES: We request that those intending to participate notify the Board and AAR by January 28, 2002. We will issue a further order after the notices of intent to participate have been filed, establishing dates by which the first meeting should be conducted and by which AAR should file a progress report.

ADDRESSES: An original and one copy of each party's notice of intent, referring to STB Docket No. 42052, should be sent to: Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423–0001, ATTN: STB Docket No. 42052.

Two copies should also be sent to Association of American Railroads, 50 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: At the Board, Beryl Gordon, (202) 565–1600. [TDD for the hearing impaired: 1–800–877–8339.] At AAR, John Carroll, (202) 639–2373.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proceeding was instituted by the Board in response to a request for a declaratory order concerning ways in which rail carriers deal with interchange delays. However, because issues regarding interchange delays are often addressed under the framework of the industry-wide Car Service and Car Hire

Agreement (CS/CH Agreement) and Code of Car Service Rules/Code of Car Hire Rules (CS/CH Rules) administered by the AAR, by notice served and published December 10, 2001 (66 FR 63741), the Board concluded that the issues raised could be better addressed in private sector discussions and that the CS/CH Rules must be considered as part of any private sector resolution of the matter that had been brought before the Board. The agency therefore requested that, before a proceeding is moved forward administratively, AAR convene a meeting or series of meetings with railroads, shippers, and other involved parties to discuss ways to address issues concerning delays in the interchange of railroad cars between railroads, and to develop proposals for addressing incidences of traffic delays associated with such interchange. The Board further requested that AAR file a report describing the progress made at the meeting(s) and recommending how best to proceed to resolve these issues.

On December 21, 2001, we received a letter from AAR's General Counsel requesting that we take certain actions to facilitate moving the process forward in the private sector. First, noting that AAR has not been a party to the agency proceeding and that it has not yet been informed of all who may be interested in the matter or what any party's position may be, the letter suggests that we issue a **Federal Register** notice asking interested parties to file notices of intent to participate. To facilitate the conduct of the meeting(s), all parties should file notices of intent to participate, which should provide the name, address, official title, and operational experience of the person who will participate on behalf of the party, along with a brief (not more than one page) summary of the party's position and preliminary recommendations.

Given the interest that we expressed in our prior order for a practical solution based on good faith cooperation among all railroads, AAR's letter further suggests that we encourage participation by persons with expertise in rail operations/interchange issues, rather than by the party's counsel. We agree that the discussions we envisioned in our prior order would focus on operational cooperation rather than legal issues, and that the meeting(s) can be most fruitful if operational solutions are pursued. Thus, we strongly encourage participation by individuals with operational backgrounds.

AAR's letter also suggests that, given the current uncertainty as to the scope of the problem or the number of parties