

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Surface Transportation Board****[STB Finance Docket No. 34147]****Paula J. Mudge-Gibson and Don L. Gibson—Continuance in Control Exemption—Chicago Heights Switching Company**

Paula J. Mudge-Gibson and Don L. Gibson, noncarrier individuals (applicants), have filed a verified notice of exemption to continue in control of Chicago Heights Switching Company (CHSC), upon CHSC's becoming a carrier.

This transaction is related to a concurrently filed verified notice of exemption in STB Finance Docket No. 34146, *Chicago Heights Switching Company—Operation Exemption—Bulkmatic Railroad Corporation*, wherein CHSC seeks to operate a line of railroad subleased by Bulkmatic Railroad Corporation.¹

The transaction was expected to be consummated on or shortly after December 28, 2001, the effective date of the exemption (7 days after the notice of exemption was filed).

Applicants own and control the Central Illinois Railroad Company (CIRY), which operates in the State of Illinois. Applicants state that: (i) The properties of CIRY and CHSC will not connect with each other or any railroads in their corporate family; (ii) the continuance in control is not part of a series of anticipated transactions that would connect the rail lines of CIRY and CHSC with each other or any railroads in their corporate family; and (iii) the transaction does not involve a Class I carrier. Therefore, the transaction is exempt from the prior approval requirements of 49 U.S.C. 11323. See 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(2).

Under 49 U.S.C. 10502(g), the Board may not use its exemption authority to relieve a rail carrier of its statutory obligation to protect the interests of its employees. Section 11326(c), however, does not provide for labor protection for transactions under sections 11324 and 11325 that involve only Class III rail carriers. Because this transaction involves Class III rail carriers only, the Board, under the statute, may not impose labor protective conditions for this transaction.

If the notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the

¹ See *Bulkmatic Railroad Corporation—Acquisition Exemption—Bulkmatic Transport Company*, STB Finance Docket No. 34145 (STB served Jan. 15, 2002).

exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 34147, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423-0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on Thomas F. McFarland, Thomas F. McFarland, P.C., 208 South LaSalle Street, Suite 1890, Chicago, IL 60604-1194.

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at www.stb.dot.gov.

Decided: January 4, 2002.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-764 Filed 1-14-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-00-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Surface Transportation Board****[STB Finance Docket No. 34145]****Bulkmatic Railroad Corporation—Acquisition Exemption—Bulkmatic Transport Company**

Bulkmatic Railroad Corporation, a noncarrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.31 to acquire by sublease from Bulkmatic Transport Company approximately 3.9 miles of railroad right-of-way and trackage known as Bulkmatic Distribution Center, at Chicago Heights, IL (line).¹

The transaction was scheduled to be consummated on January 1, 2002.²

If the notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to reopen the proceeding to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 34145, must be filed with

¹ Chicago Heights Switching Company will be the operator of the line. See *Chicago Heights Switching Company—Operation Exemption—Bulkmatic Railroad Corporation*, STB Finance Docket No. 34146 (STB served Jan. 15, 2002).

² On December 26, 2001, a petition to stay the effective dates of the exemptions in both STB Finance Docket No. 34145 and STB Finance Docket No. 34146 was filed by Joseph C. Szabo, for and on behalf of the United Transportation Union-Illinois Legislative Board. By decision served December 27, 2001, the petition for stay was denied.

the Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423-0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on David C. Dillon, Esq., Dillon & Nash, 111 West Washington Street, Suite 719, Chicago, IL 60602.

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at www.stb.dot.gov.

Decided: January 4, 2002.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-763 Filed 1-14-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-00-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Surface Transportation Board****[STB Finance Docket No. 34146]****Chicago Heights Switching Company—Operation Exemption—Bulkmatic Railroad Corporation**

Chicago Heights Switching Company (CHSC), a noncarrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.31 to operate, pursuant to an operating agreement with Bulkmatic Railroad Corporation (BRC), approximately 3.9 miles of railroad right-of-way and trackage known as Bulkmatic Distribution Center, at Chicago Heights, IL (line).¹

The transaction was scheduled to be consummated on January 1, 2002.² This transaction is related to STB Finance Docket No. 34147, *Paula J. Mudge-Gibson and Don L. Gibson—Continuance in Control Exemption—Chicago Heights Switching Company*, wherein Paula J. Mudge-Gibson and Don L. Gibson have filed a verified notice of exemption to continue in control of CHSC upon its becoming a Class III rail carrier.

If the notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to reopen the proceeding to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to

¹ BRC has subleased the line from Bulkmatic Transport Company. See *Bulkmatic Railroad Corporation—Acquisition Exemption—Bulkmatic Transport Company*, STB Finance Docket No. 34145 (STB served Jan. 15, 2002).

² On December 26, 2001, a petition to stay the effective dates of the exemptions in both STB Finance Docket No. 34146 and STB Finance Docket No. 34145 was filed by Joseph C. Szabo, for and on behalf of the United Transportation Union-Illinois Legislative Board. By decision served December 27, 2001, the petition for stay was denied.

revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to STB Finance Docket No. 34146, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423-0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on Thomas F. McFarland, Esq., Thomas F. McFarland, P.C., 208 South LaSalle Street, Suite 1890, Chicago, IL 60604-1194.

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at www.stb.dot.gov.

Decided: January 4, 2002.

By the Board, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-762 Filed 1-14-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-00-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Departmental Offices/Federal Consulting Group; Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). Currently, the Federal Consulting Group within the Department of the Treasury is soliciting comments concerning the Government Web Site Customer Satisfaction Survey.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before March 18, 2002 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to the Federal Consulting Group, Attention: Bernard Lubran, 1700 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20552, (202) 906-5642, bernie.lubran@ots.treas.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the form(s) and instructions should be directed to the Federal Consulting Group, Attention: Bernard Lubran, 1700 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20552, (202) 906-5642, bernie.lubran@ots.treas.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Government Web Site Customer Satisfaction Survey.

OMB Number: New.

Abstract: The following summary of the proposed new information collection activity is designed to establish a means to consistently measure and compare customer satisfaction with federal government agency web sites within the Executive Branch. The Federal Consulting Group of the Department of the Treasury has partnered with ForeSeeResults, a joint venture between Compuware Corporation and the CFI Group, to offer this survey instrument to federal government agencies (the "partnership").

ForeSeeResults is a leader in customer satisfaction and customer experience management on the web. It utilizes the methodology of the most respected, credible, and well-known measure of customer satisfaction in the country, the American Customer Satisfaction Index (ACSI). This methodology utilizes an econometric model and provides for a very precise measurement of customer satisfaction of web site users, identifies specific areas for improvement, and determines the impact of those improvements on customer satisfaction and future customer behaviors. The ACSI is a cross-industry, cross-agency methodology for obtaining comparable measures of customer satisfaction. Along with other economic objectives, the quality of output of goods and services is a part of measuring living standards. The ACSI's ultimate purpose is to help improve the quality of goods and services available to the American people.

The Government Web Site Customer Satisfaction surveys will be completed subject to the Privacy Act 1074, Public Law 93-579, December 31, 1974 (5 U.S.C. 522a). The agency information collection will be used solely for the purpose of the survey. The partnership will not be authorized to release any agency information upon completion of the survey without first obtaining permission from the Federal Consulting Group and the participating agency. In no case shall any new system of records containing privacy information be developed by the Federal Consulting Group, participating agencies, or the contractor collecting the data.

This survey asks no questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private.

Current Actions: Newly proposed collection of information.

Type of Review: New collection.

Affected Public: Individuals or households/Business or other for-profit/Not-for-profit institutions/Farms/Federal Government/State, Local or Tribal Government.

Estimated Number of Respondents: Usage by federal agencies of the Government Web Site Customer Satisfaction Survey is expected to vary as new agency web sites are added or deleted. However, projected estimates for fiscal years 2002 through 2004 are as follows:

Fiscal Year 2002—10 Customer Satisfaction Surveys

Respondents: 50,000; **annual responses:** 50,000; **average minutes per response:** 2.0; **burden hours:** 1,666.

Fiscal Year 2003—20 Customer Satisfaction Surveys

Respondents: 100,000; **annual responses:** 100,000; **average minutes per response:** 2.0; **burden hours:** 3,333

Fiscal Year 2004—30 Customer Satisfaction Surveys

Respondents: 150,000; **annual responses:** 150,000; **average minutes per response:** 2.0; **burden hours:** 5,000

Request for Comments: Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

Dated: January 8, 2002.

Bernard A. Lubran,

Project Manager, Federal Consulting Group.

[FR Doc. 02-906 Filed 1-14-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-25-P