

# Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 2, No. 67

Thursday, January 3, 2002

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

## BROADCASTING BOARD OF GOVERNORS

### Sunshine Act Notice

*Date and Time:* January 8, 2002; 11:15 a.m.–5 p.m.

*Place:* Cohen Building, Room 3321, 330 Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20237.

*Closed Meeting:* The members of the Broadcasting Board of Governors (BBG) will meet in closed session to review and discuss a number of issues relating to U.S. Government-funded non-military international broadcasting. They will address internal procedural, budgetary, and personnel issues, as well as sensitive foreign policy issues relating to potential options in the U.S. international broadcasting field. This meeting is closed because if open it likely would either disclose matters that would be properly classified to be kept secret in the interest of foreign policy under the appropriate executive order (5 U.S.C. 552b.(c)(1) or would disclose information the premature disclosure of which would be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of a proposed agency action. (5 U.S.C. 552b.(c)(9)(B)) In addition, part of the discussion will relate solely to the internal personnel and organizational issues of the BBG or the International Broadcasting Bureau. (5 U.S.C. 552b.(c)(2) and (6))

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Persons interested in obtaining more information should contact either Brenda Hardnett or William Ohlhausen at (202) 401–3736.

Dated: December 28, 2001.

**William Ohlhausen,**

*Acting General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 01–32257 Filed 12–28–01; 4:28 pm]

**BILLING CODE 8230–01–M**

## COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

### Sunshine Act Notice

**AGENCY:** U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

**DATE AND TIME:** Friday, January 11, 2002, 8:30 a.m.

**PLACE:** Hilton Washington, 1919 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Monroe Room, Washington, DC 20425.

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

#### *Agenda*

- I. Approval of Agenda
  - II. Approval of Minutes of December 7, 2001 Meeting
  - III. Announcements
  - IV. Staff Director's Report
  - V. State Advisory Committee Appointments of District of Columbia, Maryland, Virginia, and West Virginia
  - VI. Future Agenda Items
- 9:30 a.m. Document Production Hearing for the Education Accountability Project.
- 10:00 a.m. Environmental Justice Hearing.

**Debra Carr,**

*Deputy General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 01–32258 Filed 12–28–01; 4:56 pm]

**BILLING CODE 6335–00–M**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–580–809]

#### **Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** In response to a November 30, 2001 request made by Shinho Steel Co., a producer/exporter from the Republic of Korea of circular welded non-alloy steel pipe, the Department of Commerce published the initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from the

Republic of Korea for Shinho Steel Co. (covering the period November 1, 2000 to October 31, 2001). *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 66 FR 65470 (December 19, 2001). This review has now been rescinded as a result of the withdrawal of the request for review by Shinho Steel Co.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 3, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Suresh Maniam or Andrew McAllister, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group I, Office 1, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0176 or (202) 482–1174, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Applicable Statute**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the “Act”) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce’s (“Department”) regulations refer to 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

##### **Background**

On November 30, 2001, Shinho Steel Co. (“Shincho”), a producer/exporter of circular welded non-alloy steel pipe in the Republic of Korea, requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from the Republic of Korea covering the period November 1, 2000 to October 31, 2001. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we published the initiation of the review on December 19, 2001. *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 66 FR 65470. On December 19, 2001, Shincho withdrew its request for review.

##### **Rescission of Review**

The Department’s regulations provide that the Department will rescind an administrative review if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within ninety days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). Shincho’s request for

review was withdrawn within the ninety-day deadline.

As a result of the withdrawal of the request for review and because the Department received no other request for review, the Department is rescinding this administrative review.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: December 26, 2001.

**Richard W. Moreland,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 02-128 Filed 1-2-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-489-805]

#### **Certain Pasta From Turkey: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Determination Not To Revoke the Antidumping Duty Order in Part**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Determination Not to Revoke the Antidumping Duty Order in Part: Certain Pasta from Turkey.

**SUMMARY:** On June 28, 2001, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Turkey. This review covers the following exporters/producers of subject merchandise: (1) Pastavilla Makarnacilik Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Pastavilla); and (2) Filiz Gida Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Filiz). The period of review (POR) is July 1, 1999, through June 30, 2000.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, these final results differ from the preliminary results. The final results are listed in the section

"*Final Results of Review.*" For our final results, we have found that during the POR, Pastavilla sold subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV). In addition, we are not revoking the antidumping order with respect to Pastavilla, because it has not had three years of sales in commercial quantities at less than NV. See "*Determination Not to Revoke*" section of this notice. We have also found that during the POR, Filiz did not make sales of the subject merchandise at less than NV (*i.e.*, it had "zero" or *de minimis* dumping margins).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 3, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

James Terpstra or Lyman Armstrong, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office VI, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3965 or (202) 482-3601, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Applicable Statute and Regulations**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations refer to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

**Case History**

On June 28, 2001, the Department published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Turkey. See *Notice of Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Pasta from Turkey*, 66 FR 34410 (June 28, 2001) (*Preliminary Results*). The review covers two manufacturers/exporters. The POR is July 1, 1999, through June 30, 2000. We invited parties to comment on our preliminary results of review. In response to the Department's invitation to comment on the preliminary results of this review, New World Pasta, a petitioner<sup>1</sup> in the case, filed a case brief on August 2, 2001, and the respondents filed case briefs on August 6, 2001. We received rebuttal briefs from New World Pasta and Pastavilla on August 13, 2001.

<sup>1</sup> The petitioners are Borden Inc., Hershey Foods Corp. (Hershey Pasta), Grocery Corp Inc., and Gooch Foods, Inc. (effective January 1, 1999, Hershey Pasta and Grocery Corp., Inc. became New World Pasta, Inc.).

A public hearing was not held with respect to this review because no party requested one. On September 26, 2001, respondents requested that the Department extend its final results in order to incorporate in our margin calculation programs the results from the most recently completed reviews of the countervailing duty order on pasta from Turkey. On November 1, 2001, the Department published a notice postponing the final results of this review until December 25, 2001 (66 FR 55160). The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act.

**Scope of Review**

Imports covered by this review are shipments of certain non-egg dry pasta in packages of five pounds (2.27 kilograms) or less, whether or not enriched or fortified or containing milk or other optional ingredients such as chopped vegetables, vegetable purees, milk, gluten, diastases, vitamins, coloring and flavorings, and up to two percent egg white. The pasta covered by this scope is typically sold in the retail market, in fiberboard or cardboard cartons, or polyethylene or polypropylene bags of varying dimensions.

Excluded from the scope of this review are refrigerated, frozen, or canned pastas, as well as all forms of egg pasta, with the exception of non-egg dry pasta containing up to two percent egg white.

The merchandise subject to review is currently classifiable under item 1902.19.20 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS)*. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive.

**Scope Rulings**

The Department has issued the following scope ruling to date:

(1) On October 26, 1998, the Department self-initiated a scope inquiry to determine whether a package weighing over five pounds as a result of allowable industry tolerances is within the scope of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders. On May 24, 1999, we issued a final scope ruling finding that, effective October 26, 1998, pasta in packages weighing or labeled up to (and including) five pounds four ounces is within the scope of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders. See *Memorandum from John Brinkmann to Richard Moreland*, dated May 24, 1999, in the case file in the