

Date	City	Location	Time
February 4, 2002 .....	Athens, Texas .....	Texas Freshwater Fisheries Center, 5550 Flat Creek Road (Farm Road 2495).	7:00 PM
February 11, 2001 .....	South Burlington, Vermont .....	Clarion Hotel, 1117 Williston Road .....	7:00 PM
February 12, 2002 .....	Watertown, New York .....	Dulles State Office Building, 317 Washington Street .....	7:00 PM
February 13, 2002 .....	Syracuse, New York .....	Sheraton University Hotel, 801 University Avenue .....	7:00 PM
February 19, 2002 .....	Portland, Oregon .....	Doubletree Hotel-Lloyd Center, 1000 NE Multnomah .....	7:00 PM

Dated: December 13, 2001.

**Marshall Jones,**

*Acting Director, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service.*

[FR Doc. 01-31272 Filed 12-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-459]

### In the Matter of Certain Garage Door Operators Including Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainant's Motion To Add a Respondent

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") issued by the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) in the above-captioned investigation granting a motion to add Martec Access Products, Inc. as a respondent.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3115. Copies of the ALJ's ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at <http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol/public>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on July 16, 2001, based on a complaint filed by The Chamberlain Group, Inc. against six entities: Linear Corporation, Napoleon Spring Works, Inc., Lynx Industries, Inc., Innovative Home Products, Inc., Wayne-Dalton Corporation, and Guardian Access Corporation. 66 FR 37704 (2001). Computime Limited was later added as a respondent. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and/or the sale within the United States after importation of certain garage door operators by reason of infringement of certain claims of complainant's U.S. Letters Patents Nos. Re. 35,364 and Re. 36,703.

On September 21, 2001, complainant filed a motion for leave to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add Martec Access Products, Inc. as a respondent. On October 2, 2001, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of complainant's motion to amend. On October 15, 2001, respondent Wayne-Dalton Corporation ("Wayne-Dalton") filed a motion to strike complainant's motion to amend. On October 25, 2001, complainant filed a response to Wayne-Dalton's motion to strike complainant's motion to amend. On October 31, 2001, Wayne-Dalton filed a motion for leave to reply to complainant's response to Wayne-Dalton's motion.

On November 26, 2001, the ALJ granted complainant's motion to amend in the subject ID. On the same day the ALJ denied respondent's motion to strike.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in § 210.42 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Issued: December 13, 2001.

By order of the Commission.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-31254 Filed 12-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-454]

### In the Matter of Certain Set-Top Boxes and Components Thereof; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Allowing an Amendment to the Complaint

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ's") initial determination ("ID") granting a motion to amend the complaint in the above-captioned investigation to add license agreements and licensees.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mary Elizabeth Jones, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-3106. Copies of the subject ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TTD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at <http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol/public>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on March 16, 2001, based on a complaint by Gemstar-TV Guide International, Inc. of Pasadena, California, and StarSight Telecast, Inc. of Fremont, California, alleging violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the

United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain set-top boxes and components thereof by reason of infringement of claims 18–24, 26–28, 31–33, 36, 42–43, 48–51, 54, 57–61, and 66 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,253,066; claims 1, 3, 8, and 10 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,479,268; and claims 14–17, 19, and 31–35 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,809,204.

On August 7, 2001, complainants Gemstar-TV Guide International, Inc. and StarSight Telecast, Inc. moved to amend the complaint to add license agreements and licensees. No party opposed the motion to amend.

On August 23, 2001, the presiding ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 24) granting the motion. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and Commission rule 210.42, 19 CFR 210.42.

Issued: December 14, 2001.

By order of the Commission.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01–31253 Filed 12–18–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment Standards Administration

#### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Employment Standards Administration is soliciting comments concerning the following information collections: (1) Pre-Hearing Statement (LS–18); (2) Overpayment Recovery Questionnaire (OWCP–20); (3) Claim for Continuance of Compensation (CA–12).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee section below by February 19, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Ms. Patricia A. Forkel, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Ave., NW., Room S–3201, Washington, DC 20210, telephone (202) 693–0339 (this is not a toll-free number), fax (202) 693–1451, E-mail: [pforkel@fenix2.dol-esa.gov](mailto:pforkel@fenix2.dol-esa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Pre-Hearing Statement (LS–18)

###### I. Background

The Office of Workers' Compensation Programs administers the Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act. The Act provides benefits to workers injured in maritime employment on the navigable waters of the United States or in an adjoining area customarily used by an employer in loading, unloading, repairing, or building a vessel. Title 20, CFR 702.217 provides for the referral of claims under the Longshore Act for formal hearings. This section provides that, before a case is transferred to the Office of Administrative Law Judges, the district director shall furnish each of the parties or their representatives with a copy of a pre-hearing statement form. Each party shall, within 21 days of receipt, complete it and return it to the district director. Upon receipt, the district director shall transmit the form to the Office of the Chief Administrative Law Judge.

###### II. Review Focus

The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

###### III. Current Actions

The Department of Labor seeks the approval of the extension of this information collection in order to carry out its responsibility to prepare cases for formal hearings under the Act.

*Type of Review:* Extension.

*Agency:* Employment Standards Administration.

*Title:* Pre-Hearing Statement.

*OMB Number:* 1215–0085.

*Agency Number:* LS–18.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households; Businesses or other for-profit.

*Frequency:* On occasion.

*Total Annual Respondents/Responses:* 6,800.

*Time Per Response:* 10 minutes.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 1,088.

*Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):* \$0.

*Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance):* \$2,595.50.

##### Overpayment Recovery Questionnaire (OWCP–20)

###### Background

Both the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act (30 U.S.C. 923(b) and 20 CFR 725.544(c) and the Federal Employees' Compensation Act (5 U.S.C. 8129(b) and 20 CFR 10.320–10.324) provide for the recovery, waiver, compromise, or termination of overpayment of benefits to beneficiaries. The OWCP–20 collects information used to ascertain the financial condition of the beneficiary who has been overpaid to determine if the concealment or improper transfer of assets, and to identify and consider present and potential income and current assets for enforced collection proceedings. The form also provides a means for the beneficiary to explain why he/she is not at fault for the overpayment.

###### II. Review Focus

The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who