January 22, 2002, Contact: Gregory D. Rawlings (505) 820–2027.

EIS No. 010502, Draft EIS, NAS, CA,
Programmatic EIS—NASA Ames
Development Plan (NADP) for Ames
Research Center, New Research and
Development Uses, Implementation,
San Francisco Bay, Santa Clara
County, CA, Comment Period Ends:
January 28, 2002, Contact: Sandy
Olliges (650) 604–3355. This
document is available on the Internet
at: http://researchpark.arc.nasa.gov.

EIS No. 010503, Final Supplement, AFS, UT, Rendezvous Vegetation
Management Project, To the South
Spruce Ecosystem Rehabilitation
Project, Implementation, Dixie
National Forest, Cedar City Ranger
District, Iron and Kane Counties, UT,
Wait Period Ends: January 07, 2002,
Contact: Phillip G. Eisenhauer (435)
865–3200.

EIS No. 010504, Final EIS, FHW, TX, IH-10 West from Taylor Street to FM-1489, Construction and Reconstruction, Central Business District (CBD), Funding, Right-of-Way Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Harris, Fort Bend and Waller Counties, TX, Wait Period Ends: January 07, 2002, Contact: John R. Mack (512) 536-5960.

EIS No. 010505, Draft Supplement, COE, FL, Central and Southern Florida Project, Tamiami Trail Feature (US Highway 41), Modified Water Deliveries to Everglades National Park, Dade County, FL, Comment Period Ends: February 04, 2002,

Contact: Jon Moulding (904) 232-2286.

### **Amended Notices**

EIS No. 010419, Draft EIS, AFS, UT,
Ray's Valley Road Realignment,
Proposal to Reduce or Eliminate
Adverse Impacts to Watershed, and
Aquatic Species, Provide Safer
Driving Conditions, Uinta National
Forest, Spanish Fork Ranger District,
Utah County, UT, Due: January 11,
2002, Contact: Renee Flanagan (801)
342–5145. Revision of FR notice
published on 11/16/2001: CEQ
Comment Period Ending 01/02/2002
has been Corrected to 1/11/2002.

Dated: December 4, 2001.

#### Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 01-30380 Filed 12-6-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6624-4]

# Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the OFFICE OF FEDERAL ACTIVITIES at (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated May 18, 2001 (66 FR 27647).

#### **Draft EISs**

ERP No. D-AFS-K65236-AZ Rating LO, Buck Springs Range Allotment Rangeland Management, Implementation, Blue Ridge Coconino National Forest, Coconino County, AZ.

Summary: EPA supports the dual objectives of providing grazing land and protecting sensitive habitat analyzed in the Buck Springs Range Allotment DEIS. EPA has no objections to the proposed project.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65390-ID Rating EO2, Garnet Stars and Sands Project, To Test and Develop Future Recreation, Garnet, Idaho Panhandle National Forests, St. Joe Ranger District, Latah, Shoshone and Benewah Counties, ID.

Summary: EPA had environmental objections because the proposed project would likely worsen already impaired water quality and degrade habitat for listed and sensitive fish species and riparian areas. EPA recommended that the final EIS contain sufficient mitigation measures to conserve aquatic resources consistent with section 313 of the Clean Water Act, section 7(a)(1) of the Endangered Species Act and the Forest Plan, utilize the Forest Service's Protocol for 303(d) Waters and include a comprehensive monitoring plan specifically tied to the project.

ERP No. D-APH-A65169-00 Rating EC2, Programmatic—EIS Rangeland Grasshopper and Mormon Cricket Suppression Program, Authorization, Funding and Implementation in 17 Western States, AZ, CA, CO, ID, KS, MT, NB, NV, NM, ND, OK, OR, SD, TX, UT, WA and WY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns since the draft EIS did not fully identify a proposed action nor fully analyze a reasonable range of alternatives. EPA requested that additional information and analyses be available in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-BLM-K65235-AZ Rating LO, Las Cienegas Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Las Cienegas National Conservation Area (NCA) and Sonoita Valley Acquisition Planning District, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed a lack of environmental objections to the proposed project. ERP No. D–FHW–F40398–IN Rating EO2, Indianapolis Northeast Corridor Transportation Connections Study, To Identify Actions to Reduce Expected Year 2025 Traffic Congestion and Enhance Mobility, Between I–69: from I–465 to IN–328; I–465: from US 31 to I–70; I–70: from I–65 to I–465: IN–37 from I–69 to Allisonville Road (Noblesville), Marion and Hamilton Counties, IN.

Summary: EPA expressed objections to and requested additional information regarding: Alternatives, noise, air quality, wetlands, threatened and endangered species habitat, water quality/storm water management, flood plains and mitigation.

ERP No. D-NOA-K36136-CA Rating EC2, Goat Canyon Enhancement Project, Implementation, Tijuana River Estuary, City and County of San Diego, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding impacts to water quality, cumulative impacts and the objectives for improvements to Monument Road and the trail system. EPA requested that additional information be provided to address EPA's concerns on these issues.

ERP No. DS-COE-E39054-FL Rating LO, Cape Sable Seaside Sparrow Protection, Interim Operating Plan (IOP), Updated Information on a New Alternative 7 for Emergency Sparrow Protection Actions, Implementation, Everglades National Park, Miami-Dade County, FL.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the proposed action sinceAlternative 7 appears to address our previous water quality concerns, but still provides adequate protection to the Cape Sable Seaside Sparrow.

#### Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-L65232-OR, Deep Vegetation Management Project, Implementation, Ochoco National Forest, Paulina Ranger District, Crook and Wheeler Counties, OR.

Summary: The final EIS adequately discloses the impacts and satisfactorily responded to most of EPA's previous comments on the draft EIS. In addition, the project overall should benefit the landscape. Therefore, EPA has no objection to the action as proposed.

ERP No. F-DOE-E09807-TN Programmatic EIS—Oak Ridge Y-12 Plant Mission, Processing and Storage of Highly Enriched Uranium, U.S. Nuclear Weapons Stockpile, Anderson County, TN.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns about construction impacts of the project.

Dated: December 4, 2001.

#### Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 01–30381 Filed 12–6–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[PF-970; FRL-6737-9]

Notice of Filing Pesticide Petitions to Establish a Tolerance for a Certain Pesticide Chemicals in or on Food

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the initial filing of pesticide petitions proposing the establishment of regulations for residues of certain pesticide chemicals in or on various food commodities.

**DATES:** Comments, identified by docket control number PF–970, must be received on or before January 7, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted by mail, electronically, or in person. Please follow the detailed instructions for each method as provided in Unit I.C. of the

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, it is imperative that you identify docket control number PF–970 in the subject line on the first page of your response.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Adam Heyward, Antimicrobials Division (7510C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone numbers: (703) 308–6422; e-mail address: heyward.adam@epa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. General Information

A. Does This Action Apply to Me?

You may be affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer or pesticide manufacturer. Potentially affected categories and entities may include, but are not limited to:

Categories	NAICS codes	Examples of potentially affected entities
Industry	111 112 311 32532	Crop production Animal production Food manufacturing Pesticide manufac- turing

This listing is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be affected by this action. Other types of entities not listed in the table could also be affected. The North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes have been provided to assist you and others in determining whether or not this action might apply to certain entities. If you have questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

- B. How Can I Get Additional Information, Including Copies of This Document and Other Related Documents?
- 1. Electronically. You may obtain electronic copies of this document, and certain other related documents that might be available electronically, from the EPA Internet Home Page at http://www.epa.gov/. To access this document, on the Home Page select "Laws and Regulations," "Regulations and Proposed Rules," and then look up the entry for this document under the "Federal Register—Environmental Documents." You can also go directly to the Federal Register listings at http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/.
- 2. *In person*. The Agency has established an official record for this action under docket control number PF-970. The official record consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments received during an applicable comment period, and other information related to this action, including any information claimed as confidential business information (CBI). This official record includes the documents that are physically located in the docket, as well as the documents that are referenced in those documents. The public version of the official record does not include any information claimed as CBI. The public version of the official record, which includes printed, paper versions of any electronic comments submitted during an applicable comment period, is available for inspection in the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA, from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m.,

Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The PIRIB telephone number is (703) 305–5805.

C. How and to Whom Do I Submit Comments?

You may submit comments through the mail, in person, or electronically. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, it is imperative that you identify docket control number PF–970 in the subject line on the first page of your response.

- 1. By mail. Submit your comments to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Information Resources and Services Division (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460.
- 2. In person or by courier. Deliver your comments to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Information Resources and Services Division (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA. The PIRIB is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The PIRIB telephone number is (703) 305–5805.
- 3. Electronically. You may submit your comments electronically by e-mail to: "opp-docket@epa.gov", or you can submit a computer disk as described above. Do not submit any information electronically that you consider to be CBI. Avoid the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Electronic submissions will be accepted in Wordperfect 6.1/8.0 or ASCII file format. All comments in electronic form must be identified by docket control number PF–970. Electronic comments may also be filed online at many Federal Depository Libraries.

D. How Should I Handle CBI That I Want to Submit to the Agency?

Do not submit any information electronically that you consider to be CBI. You may claim information that you submit to EPA in response to this document as CBI by marking any part or all of that information as CBI. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes any information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public version of the official record.