

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment Standards  
Administration, Wage and Hour  
Division****Minimum Wages for Federal and  
Federally Assisted Construction;  
General Wage Determination Decisions**

General wage determination decisions of the Secretary of Labor are issued in accordance with applicable law and are based on the information obtained by the Department of Labor from its study of local wage conditions and data made available from other sources. They specify the basic hourly wage rates and fringe benefits which are determined to be prevailing for the described classes of laborers and mechanics employed on construction projects of a similar character and in the localities specified therein.

The determinations in these decisions of prevailing rates and fringe benefits have been made in accordance with 29 CFR part 1, by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR part 1, Appendix, as well as such additional statutes as may from time to time be enacted containing provisions for the payment of wages determined to be prevailing by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with the Davis-Bacon Act. The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in these decisions shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged on contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Good cause is hereby found for not utilizing notice and public comment procedure thereon prior to the issuance of these determinations as prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 and not providing for delay in the effective date as prescribed in that section, because the necessity to issue current construction industry wage determinations frequently and in large volume causes procedures to be impractical and contrary to the public interest.

General wage determination decisions, and modifications and supersedeas decisions thereto, contain no expiration dates and are effective from their date of notice in the **Federal Register**, or on the date written notice is received by the agency, whichever is earlier. These decisions are to be used

in accordance with the provisions of 29 CFR parts 1 and 5. Accordingly, the applicable decision, together with any modifications issued, must be made a part of every contract for performance of the described work within the geographic area indicated as required by an applicable Federal prevailing wage law and 29 CFR part 5. The wage rates and fringe benefits, notice of which is published herein, and which are contained in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under The Davis-Bacon and Related Acts," shall be the minimum paid by contractors and subcontractors to laborers and mechanics.

Any person, organization, or governmental agency having an interest in the rates determined as prevailing is encouraged to submit wage rate and fringe benefit information for consideration by the Department.

Further information and self-explanatory forms for the purpose of submitting this data may be obtained by writing to the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment Standards Administration, Wage and Hour Division, Division of Wage Determinations, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room S-3014, Washington, DC 20210.

**New General Wage Determination  
Decision**

The number of the decisions added to the Government Printing Office document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts" are listed by Volume and States:

*Volume IV*  
Minnesota  
MN010013 (Nov. 30, 2001)

**Modification to General Wage  
Determination Decisions**

The number of the decisions listed to the Government Printing Office document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts" being modified are listed by Volume and State. Dates of publication in the **Federal Register** are in parentheses following the decisions being modified.

*Volume I*  
Massachusetts  
MA010002 (Mar. 2, 2001)  
Rhode Island  
RI010001 (Mar. 2, 2001)

*Volume II*  
Pennsylvania  
PA010009 (Mar. 2, 2001)

*Volume III*  
Georgia  
GA010041 (Mar. 2, 2001)  
GA010050 (Mar. 2, 2001)  
GA010078 (Mar. 2, 2001)  
Kentucky  
KY010027 (Mar. 2, 2001)

*Volume IV*  
Minnesota  
MN010007 (Mar. 2, 2001)

*Volume V*  
Missouri  
MO010003 (Mar. 2, 2001)

*Volume VI*  
None

*Volume VII*  
California  
CA01002 (Mar. 2, 2001)  
CA010004 (Mar. 2, 2001)  
CA010005 (Mar. 2, 2001)  
CA010009 (Mar. 2, 2001)  
CA010013 (Mar. 2, 2001)  
CA01028 (Mar. 2, 2001)  
CA01029 (Mar. 2, 2001)  
CA010030 (Mar. 2, 2001)  
CA010033 (Mar. 2, 2001)  
Nevada  
NV010001 (Mar. 2, 2001)  
NV01002 (Mar. 2, 2001)  
NV010003 (Mar. 2, 2001)  
NV010004 (Mar. 2, 2001)  
NV010005 (Mar. 2, 2001)  
NV010007 (Mar. 2, 2001)  
NV010009 (Mar. 2, 2001)

**General Wage Determination  
Publication**

General wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts, including those noted above, may be found in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts". This publication is available at each of the 50 Regional Government Depository Libraries and many of the 1,400 Government Depository Libraries across the country.

General wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts are available electronically at no cost on the Government Printing Office site at [www.access.gpo.gov/davisbacon](http://www.access.gpo.gov/davisbacon). They are also available electronically by subscription to the Davis-Bacon Online Service (<http://davisbacon.fedworld.gov>) of the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) of the U.S. Department of Commerce at 1-800-363-2068. This subscription offers value-added features such as electronic delivery of modified wage decisions directly to the user's desktop, the ability to access prior wage decisions issued during the year, extensive Help desk Support, etc.

Hard-copy subscriptions may be purchased from: Superintendent of

Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 2042, (22) 512-1800.

When ordering hard-copy subscription(s), be sure to specify the State(s) of interest, since subscriptions may be ordered for any or all of the six separate Volumes, arranged by State. Subscriptions include an annual edition (issued in January or February) which includes all current general wage determinations for the States covered by each volume. Throughout the remainder of the year, regular weekly updates will be distributed to subscribers.

Signed at Washington, DC this 21st day of November, 2001.

**Terry Sullivan,**

*Acting Chief, Branch of Construction Wage Determinations.*

[FR Doc. 01-29547 Filed 11-29-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-27-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-247, License No. DPR-26]

### Entergy Nuclear IP2, Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.; Notice of Issuance of Director's Decision

Notice is hereby given that the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, has taken action on the April 24, 2001, petition under section 2.206 Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR 2.206) submitted by Mr. David A. Lochbaum (petitioner) on behalf of the Union of Concerned Scientists. The petition was supplemented by letter dated May 3, 2001. The petitioner requested that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issue a Demand for Information (DFI) to licensees that use security personnel supplied by Wackenhut Corporation (Wackenhut), requiring them to provide a docketed response explaining how they comply with the requirement of 10 CFR 26.10 that licensees "provide reasonable measures for the early detection of persons who are not fit to perform activities within the scope of this part" and the requirement of 10 CFR 26.20 that "licensee policy should also address other factors that could affect fitness for duty [FFD] such as mental stress, fatigue and illness."

The petitioner also requested that the DFI require each licensee to generally describe its policy for the aforementioned factors and to explicitly describe its policy for these factors as applied to the security personnel supplied by Wackenhut.

As a basis for this request, the petitioner stated that:

An individual employed by Wackenhut Corporation and assigned duties as a security officer at Indian Nuclear 2 was fired on June 26, 2000 \* \* \*. The individual had worked five straight 12-hour shifts [(12 hours on shift followed by 12 hours off for 5 straight days)] and declined to report for a sixth straight 12-hour shift because he reported to his management—in writing—that it would be "physically and mentally exhausting." The individual reported to his management—in writing—that he was fully aware of his condition and "would not want to be negligent in performing [his] duties as a security officer."

The security officer had unescorted access to Indian Point 2 and thus was covered by 10 CFR part 26 as specified in Section 26.2 \* \* \*.

The petitioner also pointed out that Wackenhut employees are required by terms of their employment application, Collective Bargaining Agreement, and the Security Officer Handbook to report to work when directed.

Thus, the petitioner contends that a worker employed by Wackenhut at an NRC-licensed facility reported to his management that he felt not fit for duty, declined to report for mandated overtime, and was terminated.

The petitioner also stated that "10 CFR 26.20 requires all licensees to have formal policy and written procedures for factors that could render plant workers not fit for duty. Fatigue is specifically mentioned in 10 CFR 26.20." The petitioner contends that the Wackenhut's contractual right conflicts with the Federal regulations in 10 CFR 26.10(a) and (b) and that in this case, the individual essentially provided "reasonable measures for early detection" of a condition rendering him not fit to perform activities within the scope of part 26. The petitioner further stated that rather than respecting the individual's judgment or seeking another opinion by a Medical Review Officer or other health care professional, Wackenhut fired that individual.

The petitioner addressed the Petition Review Board (PRB) on May 7, 2001, in a telephone conference call to clarify the bases for his Petition. The transcript of this conference call is available in NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) (Accession No. ML012150128) and may be electronically viewed at the Commission's Public Document Room at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland.

The NRC sent a copy of the proposed Director's Decision to the petitioner by letter dated September 28, 2001. The petitioner responded with comments by letter dated October 2, 2001. The comments and the staff response to

them are enclosures to the Director's Decision.

The Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has decided to grant the petitioner's request to the extent that the NRC will address the petitioner's concerns through the generic communication process. Specifically, the staff is developing a communication to all nuclear power plant licensees subject to the requirements of part 26. The communication will highlight the concerns identified in the petition and articulate the NRC's requirements as they apply to matters involving a worker's self-declaration of FFD. The staff intends to issue the communication in the near future. Further, as the staff proceeds with proposals to revise Part 26 and address worker fatigue through rulemaking, it will consider the need to clarify the NRC's expectations concerning worker declarations of FFD and work scheduling. The reasons for this decision are explained in the Director's Decision pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206 (DD-01-05), the complete text of which is available in ADAMS for electronic viewing at the Commission's Public Document Room (PDR), at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. The text is also accessible through the ADAMS Public Library on the NRC's Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html> (the Public Electronic Reading Room) at Accession No. ML013230169. If you do not have access to ADAMS or have problems in accessing the documents in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room reference staff at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737 or by e-mail to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov).

A copy of the Director's Decision will be filed with the Secretary of the Commission so that the Commission may review it in accordance with 10 CFR 2.206(c) of the Commission's regulations. As provided for by this regulation, the Director's Decision will constitute the final action of the Commission 25 days after the date of the decision unless the Commission, on its own motion, institutes a review of the decision within that time.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of November, 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**R. William Borchardt,**

*Acting Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 01-29781 Filed 11-29-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P