

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-428-821, A-588-837]

Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components From Germany and Japan: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary and Final Results of Five-Year Sunset Reviews**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit for preliminary and final results of five-year ("sunset") reviews; large newspaper printing presses and components from Germany and Japan.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is extending the time limit for preliminary and final results in the full sunset review of the antidumping duty order on large newspaper printing presses and components (LNPPs) from Germany.¹ In addition, we are aligning and extending the expedited sunset review on LNPPs from Japan with the full sunset review of the antidumping duty order on LNPPs from Germany in order to address an issue concerning domestic interested party response—an issue relevant in both proceedings.² As a result, although not required under the statute or regulations, the Department intends to issue preliminary results on LNPPs from Japan along with the preliminary results on LNPPs from Germany not later than February 19, 2002. In addition the Department intends to issue its final results in both reviews, not later than June 27, 2002.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 23, 2001.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Martha V. Douthit, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050.

¹ The Department normally will issue its preliminary results in a full sunset review not later than 110 days after the date of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice of initiation. However, if the Secretary determines that a full sunset review is extraordinarily complicated under section 751(c)(5)(C) of the Act, the Secretary may extend the period for issuing final results by not more than 90 days (see section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Act).

² Section 751(c)(2)(B) of the Act provides that the Department "may issue" a final determination in an expedited sunset review within 120 days after initiation. The Department has the discretion to determine whether it will conduct an expedited review within 120 days.

Extension of Preliminary and Final Results

On August 1, 2001, the Department initiated (66 FR 39731) sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on LNPPs from Germany and Japan. In the Germany review, the Department had determined that a full (240 day) sunset review was warranted. The Department has now determined that it also is appropriate to take the maximum amount of time allowed under the statute to conduct the Japan sunset review. In the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on LNPPs from Japan, the Department had determined to conduct an expedited sunset review because no respondent interested party had filed a substantive response expressing interest in the order. Since that time, however, an issue has arisen in the German review, concerning the adequacy of the domestic interested party response that is relevant to the Japan case as well, *i.e.* the domestic interested party is the same in both cases. Therefore, we are aligning the deadlines to the sunset review on LNPPs from Japan, with the full sunset review on LNPPs from Germany.

The Department also has determined to extend the 240 day deadline in both sunset reviews, because, as a result of the domestic interested party adequacy issue, we find they are extraordinarily complicated. We are therefore extending the period for issuing preliminary and final results by 90 days (see section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Act). Thus, the Department intends to issue the preliminary and final results on LNPPs from Germany and Japan, not later than February 19, 2002 and June 27, 2002, respectively, in accordance with section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Act.

Dated: November 16, 2001.

Faryar Shirzad,*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 01-29277 Filed 11-21-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE****Department of the Navy****Record of Decision for the Yuma Training Range Complex, Arizona and California****AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DOD.**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 102(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, and the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40

CFR parts 1500-1508), the Department of the Navy has reevaluated the potential for cumulative effects on Sonoran pronghorn in a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) and announces its decision to continue upgrading the capability of the Yuma Training Range Complex (YTRC).

ADDRESSES: Questions regarding the SEIS prepared for this action may be directed to Commander, Southwest Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, 1220 Pacific Highway, San Diego, CA 92132-5190 (Attn: Ms. Deb Theroux).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Deb Theroux, telephone (619) 532-1162.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Marine Corps completed an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) in 1997 addressing its military aviation and associated training impacts on the YTRC. This Complex includes portions of the Barry M. Goldwater Range, AZ, which contains habitat for the Sonoran pronghorn (*Antilocapra americana sonoriensis*), an endangered species.

On February 12, 2001, the United States District Court for the District of Columbia found the cumulative impact analysis in the 1997 YTRC EIS deficient in that it failed to provide sufficient analysis of cumulative impacts on the Sonoran pronghorn in accordance with 40 CFR 1508.7. The court remanded the matter to the Marine Corps for further consideration of such impacts. The court also found the Biological Opinion rendered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) pursuant to section 7 of the Endangered Species Act addressing actions described in the 1997 EIS deficient in that it failed to provide sufficient analysis of cumulative impacts on the Sonoran pronghorn. The court remanded the Biological Opinion to USFWS for further consideration of such impacts.

The Department of the Navy prepared a supplement to the EIS, in accordance with 40 CFR 1502.9(c), that evaluates the cumulative impacts on the Sonoran pronghorn of Marine Corps actions when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions regardless of what agency or person undertakes such other actions. Also, USFWS reissued the Biological Opinion addressing upgrade of the YTRC based in part on new information provided by the Marine Corps developed during preparation of the SEIS.

Based upon the new Biological Opinion issued by the USFWS and the analysis of cumulative effects in the Supplemental EIS, the Department of the Navy has determined there is no need to amend the actions selected for