

proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553, (1978). Also environmental objections that could have been raised at the Draft EIS stage may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are received by the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider and respond to them in the Final EIS.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns of the proposed action, comments during scoping and on the Draft EIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the Draft EIS. Comments may also address the adequacy of the Draft EIS or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points. Comments received in response to this solicitation, including names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposed action and will be available for public inspection. Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered; however, those who submit anonymous comments will not have standing to appeal the subsequent decision under 36 CFR parts 215. Additionally, pursuant to 7 CFR 1.27(d), any person may request the agency to withhold a submission from the public record by showing how the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) permits such confidentiality. Requesters should be aware that, under FOIA, confidentiality may be granted in only very limited circumstances, such as to protect trade secrets. The Forest Service will inform the requester of the agency's decision regarding the request for confidentiality, and where the request is denied, the agency will return the submission and notify the requester that the comments may be resubmitted with or without name and address within 7 days.

Permits required for implementation may include the following:

1. U.S. Army Corp of Engineers  
—Approval of discharge of dredged or fill material into the waters of the United States under section 404 of the Clean Water Act;
2. Environmental Protection Agency  
—National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (402) Permit;
3. State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation  
—Solid Waste Disposal Permit;  
—Certification of Compliance with Alaska Water Quality Standards

Thomas Puchlerz, Forest Supervisor, Tongass National Forest, Federal Building, Ketchikan, Alaska 99901, is the responsible official. The responsible official will consider the comments, response, disclosure of environmental consequences, and applicable laws, regulations, and policies in making the decision and stating the rationale in the Record of Decision.

Dated: November 13, 2001.

**Thomas Puchlerz,**

*Forest Supervisor.*

[FR Doc. 01-29215 Filed 11-21-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### Northwest Sacramento Provincial Advisory Committee (SAC PAC)

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Northwest Sacramento Provincial Advisory Committee (PAC) will meet on December 4, 2001, at Redding, California. The purpose of the meeting is a field trip to Iron Canyon to review fuel reduction activities in a Late Successional Reserve in keeping with the Northwest Forest Plan direction.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held December 4, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at Forest Service Headquarters, 2400 Washington Ave., Redding, CA.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jackie Riley, Committee Coordinator, USDA, Shasta-Trinity National Forest, 2400 Washington Ave., Redding, CA, 96001 (530) 242-2203; e-mail: [jriley01@fs.fed.us](mailto:jriley01@fs.fed.us).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This meeting is open to the public. Public input opportunity will be provided and individuals will have the opportunity to address the Committee at that time. Support staff workloads resulted in a shorter than normal notice period.

Dated: November 16, 2001.

**J. Sharon Heywood,**

*Forest Supervisor.*

[FR Doc. 01-29214 Filed 11-21-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-FK-M**

## COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

### Procurement List Additions

**AGENCY:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

**ACTION:** Additions to the Procurement List.

**SUMMARY:** This action adds to the Procurement List a commodity and services to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 24, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Jefferson Plaza 2, Suite 10800, 1421 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202-3259.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Sheryl D. Kennerly (703) 603-7740.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On August 17, September 21, September 28, and October 9, 2001, the Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled published notices (66 FR 43180, 48661, 49615 and 51372) of proposed additions to the Procurement List.

After consideration of the material presented to it concerning capability of qualified nonprofit agencies to provide the commodity and services and impact of the additions on the current or most recent contractors, the Committee has determined that the commodity and services listed below are suitable for procurement by the Federal Government under 41 U.S.C. 46-48c and 41 CFR 51-2.4.

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

1. The action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the commodity and services to the Government.

2. The action will not have a severe economic impact on current contractors for the commodity and services.

3. The action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the