

150 hours per sponsor. Using this hour figure as our best estimate, total sponsor hours spent per year on proposal development for Project XL are estimated to be between 1,500 (10 proposals) and 3,750 (25 proposals).

The RFF study found that Project XL proposal development cost the EPA regional offices, our proxy for total EPA cost, an average of \$11,339 per proposal. Using this cost figure as our best estimate, total EPA costs per year for proposal development for Project XL are estimated to be between \$113,390 (10 proposals) and \$283,475 (25 proposals).

Bottom line respondent costs for proposal solicitation and development are estimated to range between \$646,370 and \$1,615,925 per year. Bottom line EPA costs for processing specific proposals and supporting proposal development are estimated at between \$113,390 and \$283,475 per year. It should be noted that these estimates are probably on the high end of the true average cost of proposal development and submission. As the RFF study notes, due to several efforts and steps undertaken by EPA to better facilitate and streamline the proposal development and submission process, proposal development costs may be lower now than when the respondents were surveyed for the 2000 report.

No capital or start-up costs will be associated with this effort.

Burden means total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: October 25, 2001.

Elizabeth A. Shaw,

Office Director, Office of Environmental Policy Innovation.

[FR Doc. 01-28194 Filed 11-8-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7101-4]

Environmental Laboratory Advisory Board (ELAB), Nominees, Meeting Dates, and Agenda

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Solicitation of nominees for membership and notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is soliciting nominees to serve on the Environmental Laboratory Advisory Board (ELAB). Nominees are being sought to fill vacancies in the following category: Field Testing. Terms of service will commence upon selection and terminate on July 27, 2003. Application forms must be submitted to provide information on experience, abilities, stakeholder interest, organizational description, and references. A copy of the application form can be obtained on the Internet (see address below). The Agency will convene an Open Forum on December 6th, 2001 from 5 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. at the Crystal Gateway Marriott at 1700 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington VA. The Open Forum will be structured to allow interested parties to present their views to ELAB. Allotted speaking time will be dependent upon the number of attendees wishing to speak. On December 7th from 9 a.m. to 12 p.m. at the same location, the ELAB board will meet to discuss the opinions and ideas presented on the previous day, and time permitting, will take questions from the public.

ELAB is soliciting input from the public on issues related to the NELAC environmental laboratory accreditation program and NELAC standards. The agenda of the ELAB December 7th meeting will be based on input gathered from the Open Forum as well as a review of recommendations and activities from earlier Board meetings. Written comments on NELAC laboratory accreditation and standards are encouraged and should be sent to Edward Kantor DFO, P.O. Box 93478, Las Vegas, NV 89193, or can be faxed to (702) 798-2261 or e-mailed to kantor.edward@epa.gov. ELAB nominee applications can be found at <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/nelac/arcmisc.html> and should be mailed, faxed, or e-mailed to the addresses previously

given. <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/nelac/arcmisc.html>.

Henry L. Longest II,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Research and Development.

[FR Doc. 01-28196 Filed 11-8-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6623-4]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements, Filed October 29, 2001 Through November 02, 2001, Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 010408, Final EIS, NPS, WA, Mount Rainier National Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Pierce and Lewis Counties, WA, Wait Period Ends: December 10, 2001, Contact: Eric Walkinshaw (360) 589-2211.

EIS No. 010409, Final EIS, NPS, CA, Lassen Volcanic National Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Lassen, Plumas, Shasta and Tehama Counties, CA, Wait Period Ends: December 10, 2001, Contact: Alan Schmierer (415) 427-1441.

EIS No. 010410, Final EIS, FHW, Interstate 215 (I-215) Transportation Improvements, From the short segments of CA-60 and CA-91 in the Cities of Riverside and Moreno Valley, Funding, Riverside County, CA, Wait Period Ends: December 10, 2001, Contact: Jeff Lewis (916) 498-5035.

EIS No. 010411, Draft Supplement, COE, FL, Central and Southern Florida Project, Indian River Lagoon-South Feasibility Study, Additional Information, Restoration, Protection and Preservation, Canals denoted; C-23, C-24, C-25 and C-44, Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, (CERP), Martin and St. Lucie Counties, FL, Wait Period Ends: December 10, 2001, Contact: Laura Mahoney (904) 232-2646.

EIS No. 010412, Final EIS, BLM, OR, Southeastern Oregon Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Comprehensive Framework of Managing Public Land, Malheur, Jordan and Andrew Resource Areas, Vale and Burns Districts, Malheur, Harney and Grant Counties, OR, Wait Period Ends: December 24, 2001, Contact: Randy Eyre (541) 473-6279.

EIS No. 010413, Final EIS, FHW, MO, Interstate 70 Corridor Improvements,

Kansas City to St. Louis, Funding, US Army COE Section 404 and 10 and US Coast Guard Section 9 Permits Issuance, several counties, MO, Wait Period Ends: December 10, 2001, Contact: Allen Masuda (573) 638-2620. This document is available on the Internet at: <http://www.170study.org>.

EIS No. 010414, Draft Supplement, AFS, OR, Silvies Canyon Watershed Restoration Project, Additional Information, To Improve the Ecosystem Health of the Watershed, Grant and Harney Counties, OR, Comment Period Ends: December 31, 2001, Contact: James M. Keniston (541) 573-4300. This document is available on the Internet at: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r6/malheur>.

EIS No. 010415, Draft EIS, IBR, AZ, Reach 11 Recreation Master Plan, Central Arizona Project (CAP) Canal, Between Cave Creek and Scottsdale Roads, For Recreational Purposes, Flood Detention Basin, City of Phoenix, Maricopa County, AZ, Comment Period Ends: January 18, 2002, Contact: Sandra Eto (602) 216-3857. This document is available on the Internet at: <http://www.apo.lc.usbr.gov>.

EIS No. 010416, Draft Supplement, NRC, Generic EIS—Decommissioning of Nuclear Facilities, Updated Information on Dealing With Decommissioning of Nuclear Power Reactors (NUREG-0586), Comment Period Ends: December 24, 2001, Contact: Dino C. Scaletti (301) 415-1104. This document is available on the Internet at: <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/NUREGS/indexnum.html>.

EIS No. 010417, Final EIS, UAF, VA, Initial F-22 Operational Wing Beddown Replacing the Existing F-15C at Langley (AFB) or one of the Four Alternative Locations, VA, Wait Period Ends: December 10, 2001, Contact: Brenda Cook (757) 764-5007.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 010305, Draft Supplement, FAA, MN, Flying Cloud Airport, Substantive Changes to Alternatives and New Information, Extension of the Runways 9R/27L and 9L/27R, Long-Term Comprehensive Development, In the City of Eden Prairie, Hennepin County, MN, Due: December 07, 2001, Contact: Glen Orcutt (612) 713-4354. Revision of FR Notice Published on 08/24/2001: CEQ Review Period Ending on 11/05/2001 has been Extended to 12/07/2001.

Dated: November 06, 2001.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 01-28217 Filed 11-8-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6623-5]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated May 18, 2001 (66 FR 27164).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-J65351-MT Rating EC2, Beaverhead-DeerLodge National Forest, Noxious Weed Control Program, Implementation, Integrated Weed Management, Dillon County, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the potential for herbicide transport to surface and ground waters. EPA requested additional information on site-specific identification of weed species and weed coverage, application rates for herbicide treatments and herbicide transport and leaching potential. EPA recommended monitoring for herbicides in sensitive waters, a 300 foot buffer width for streams and flagging during aerial herbicide application.

ERP No. D-DOE-L08057-OR Rating LO, Umatilla Generating Project, Construction and Operation, Gas-Fired Combined Cycle Electric Power Generation Plant, Nominal Generation Capacity of 550 megawatts (MW) Connection to the Regional Grid at McNary Substation, Umatilla County, AZ.

Summary: EPA used a screening tool to conduct a limited review of the draft EIS and, based upon the screen, EPA does not foresee having any environmental objections to the project. Consequently, a detailed review of the draft EIS was not conducted.

ERP No. D-FRC-K05057-CA Rating EC2, Big Creek No. 4 Hydroelectric Project, Issuing New License, (FERC Project No. 2017), San Joaquin River Basin, Sierra National Forest, Fresno, Madera and Tulare Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA identified environmental concerns with the analysis, including segmenting the analysis of different projects and an insufficient range of alternatives. EPA

recommended that the analysis of the Big Creek #4 Project be incorporated into the ongoing basin-wide analysis of the Big Creek System.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-J67022-MT, Asarco Rock Creek Copper and Silver Mining Construction and Operation Project, Plan of Operations Approval, Special Use Permit(s), Road Use Permit, Mineral Material Permit, Timber Sale Contract and COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Kootenai National Forest, Sanders County, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the potential for elevated metals, particularly copper, to occur in mine drainage/seepage. EPA recommended that cement be added to the paste tailings as a mitigation measure and requested participation on post-EIS technical panels as well as adequate public disclosure and review of audit test results, paste tailings deposit design and environmental decisions.

ERP No. F-AFS-L65346-ID, Middle Fork Weiser River Watershed Project, Implementation of Vegetation Restoration, Landscape Fire Pattern and Watershed Restoration Objectives, Payette National Forest, Council Ranger District, Adams County, ID.

Summary: The final EIS fully responded to EPA's comments on the draft EIS and EPA has no objections to the action as proposed.

ERP No. F-BOP-E81039-00, Criminal Alien Requirement (CAR) II, To Contract for a Private Contractor-Owned/ Contractor-Operated Correctional Facility in Florida, Mississippi, Georgia and Alabama to House Adult-Male and Non-US Citizen, AL, FL, GA and/or MS.

Summary: EPA expressed no environmental objection to the proposed project.

ERP No. F-GSA-D81032-MD, Suitland Federal Center, Construction and Operation of a 226-acre Federal Employment Center, Programmatic Development Plan and Phase I Implementation, Prince George's County, MD.

Summary: EPA has determined that the General Services Administration has adequately addressed its comments within the FEIS.

ERP No. F-IBR-L36114-WA, Keechel Dam Project, Safety of Dams Modification, Implementation, COE Section 404 Permit, Yakima, Kittitas, Benton, and Klickitat Counties, WA.

Summary: EPA raised environmental concerns that the final EIS did not include or analyze dam building/reconstruction alternatives that