

If . . .	Then . . .
(i) You have a dual-bore production riser .....	MMS will allow you to maintain SCP on the inner bore only under the following circumstances: (A) Pressure on the tubing/riser annulus must bleed to zero through a 1/2-inch needle valve in 4 hours or less. (B) The level of SCP approved will not exceed 10 percent of the MIYP of the inner bore riser. (C) MMS will determine the acceptable rate and magnitude of buildup. (D) You must conduct a diagnostic test at least every 6 months. You must conduct the test immediately if the pressure increases more than 200 psig above the currently approved level. (E) You must cease production if the well experiences formation-related pressure on the outer riser annulus. (F) No pressure may be maintained on the outermost riser bore.
(ii) You have a single-bore production riser and detect pressure in the tubing/riser annulus, and the diagnostic test confirms that the pressure is SCP.	You must either kill the well or set a plug to eliminate the pressure in the tubing/riser annulus.

(b) For subsea wells (wet tree), you must meet the requirements of the following table:

If . . .	Then . . .
(1) You have a subsea well where only the production annulus can be monitored.	(i) You must conduct diagnostics as indicated in § 250.526, except that the results for adjacent annuli will be limited to monitoring tubing pressure response if the wellhead was installed before January 1, 2005. (ii) You must obtain permission from MMS for maintaining any SCP. (iii) You must monitor the well for casing pressure continuously.
(2) You install a subsea tree after January 1, 2005 .....	According to 30 CFR 250.517(c), you must provide a method for monitoring all casing annuli for SCP.

**§ 250.530 What is the MMS policy for SCP during transfer of your lease?**

Before a lease transfer, the current owner/operator must review all casing pressure on the lease and provide a report on the status of all SCP to MMS and the new owner/operator.

**§ 250.531 What is the MMS policy for SCP in non-producing wells?**

(a) No casing pressure of any kind is permitted on a temporarily abandoned well. This does not include newly drilled wells that have been temporarily plugged pending the installation of production facilities, pipelines, etc. For

these wells, if you detect SCP, you must contact MMS and submit a plan of action.

(b) For non-producing wells that are neither temporarily abandoned nor continuously injecting, you must meet the requirements of the following table:

If . . .	Then . . .
(1) Your well fails to bleed to zero .....	You must submit plans for the repair of the SCP condition within 30 days.
(2) You determine the well will not be returned to production .....	You must submit plans for the repair of the SCP condition within 30 days.
(3) You have not used the well for continuous production or injection for 1 year.	Before the end of the year of non-production/injection, you must have assessed the well and presented a plan to MMS. The plan must include both diagnostic test results and a plan of action. The plan must describe when and how: (i) the well will be returned to production; or (ii) the SCP will be eliminated.

**§ 250.532 What if I conduct a workover on a well with SCP?**

If you perform a workover requiring the submission of Sundry Notices and Report on Wells (form MMS-124) according to § 250.613, it invalidates any existing SCP departure for that well. When you conduct a workover on a well with SCP, you must make all repairs feasible to eliminate SCP consistent with the use of the equipment used for the workover. You must then notify MMS of the results using form MMS-

124. A new diagnostic test is required on any remaining SCP.

[FR Doc. 01-28221 Filed 11-8-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Coast Guard**

**33 CFR Part 175**

**[USCG-2001-10530]**

**Servicing of Inflatable Liferafts Carried on Recreational Vessels**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The National Boating Safety Advisory Council (NBSAC) recommended that the Coast Guard

require that any inflatable liferaft carried on a recreational vessel must be serviced according to the manufacturer's requirements and at a servicing facility approved by the manufacturer. The Coast Guard is requesting comments from the boating community about the extent to which recreational vessels carry inflatable liferafts, how many vessel owners have their liferafts serviced and how often owners use manufacturer-approved servicing facilities. Your comments will help us evaluate the NBSAC recommendation.

**DATES:** Comments and related material must reach the Docket Management Facility on or before March 11, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** To make sure your comments and related material are not entered more than once in the docket, please submit them by only one of the following means:

(1) By mail to the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001.

(2) By delivery to room PL-401 on the Plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is 202-366-9329.

(3) By fax to the Docket Management Facility at 202-493-2251.

(4) Electronically through the Web Site for the Docket Management System at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

The Docket Management Facility maintains the public docket for this notice. Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents mentioned in this notice as being available in the docket, will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection or copying at room PL-401 on the Plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. You may also find this docket on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions on this notice, contact Carlton Perry, Project Manager, Office of Boating Safety, telephone 202-267-0979, e-mail [cperry@comdt.uscg.mil](mailto:cperry@comdt.uscg.mil). If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Dorothy Beard, Chief, Dockets, Department of Transportation, telephone 202-366-5149.

You may obtain a copy of this notice by calling the U.S. Coast Guard Infoline at 1-800-368-5647 or read it on the Internet at the Web Site for the Office of

Boating Safety at <http://www.uscgboating.org> or at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

Currently, no federal regulation requires that recreational vessels carry inflatable liferafts; however, inflatable liferafts are becoming popular as "last resort" safety equipment on board recreational vessels. Manufacturers advise purchasers to service these devices and typically list servicing facilities approved by the manufacturers.

At an October 2000 meeting of the National Boating Safety Advisory Council (NBSAC), the Coast Guard briefed NBSAC on the Coast Guard's approval of inflatable liferafts primarily used on commercial vessels that are required to carry liferafts. Commercial vessels are required to service their liferafts annually at facilities approved by the Coast Guard (46 CFR 160.151-35 to 57). The Coast Guard initially and periodically inspects these facilities to ensure that they are clean, have the proper equipment, and have properly trained technicians. However, recreational vessels are not required to carry inflatable liferafts and there are no approval requirements for any inflatable liferafts they may use.

NBSAC passed a resolution requesting that the Coast Guard develop regulations to require that any recreational liferaft be serviced according to its manufacturer's requirements at a servicing facility approved by the Coast Guard or manufacturer.

By law, the Coast Guard Office of Boating Safety is required to consult with the NBSAC in prescribing regulations and regarding other major boating safety matters. NBSAC is made up of 21 members: 7 from the boating industry, 7 who are State boating officials, and 7 who represent national boating organizations or the general public.

##### **Questions**

To assist us in considering NBSAC's resolution, we ask for your comments to the following questions:

1. What data or studies are available indicating the appropriate procedures and frequency of servicing of inflatable liferafts carried on recreational vessels?

2. What would the economic and other impacts on owners of inflatable liferafts be if the Coast Guard were to require those liferafts be serviced according to the manufacturer's schedule at a manufacturer-approved facility?

3. Would a requirement to follow the manufacturer's servicing schedule at a manufacturer-approved facility place an inappropriate and inconsistent burden on owners of inflatable liferafts carried on recreational vessels? Why or why not?

4. Would the cost of a requirement to periodically service inflatable liferafts on recreational boats discourage boaters from voluntarily carrying liferafts? What would the effect on the overall safety of the recreational boater be?

5. The Coast Guard is mindful of the potential adverse impacts on small business entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. How many small entities are engaged in the carriage of inflatable liferafts on recreational vessels?

6. Which companies are currently manufacturing inflatable liferafts for use on recreational vessels?

7. Since manufacturers' recommendations vary, at what intervals should inflatable liferafts be serviced?

8. Which facilities are currently approved by manufacturers for servicing inflatable liferafts carried on recreational vessels?

9. Are there issues we should consider specific to foreign manufactured inflatable liferafts, schedules of servicing and servicing facilities approved for their products?

10. What other information should we consider?

##### **Request for Comments**

We encourage you to participate in this request for comments by submitting comments and related material and answering the above questions. If you do so, please include your name and address, identify the docket number for this notice (USCG-2001-10530), indicate by number each question you are answering, and give the reason for each comment. You may submit your comments and material by mail, hand-delivery, fax, or electronic means to the Docket Management Facility at the address under **ADDRESSES**; but please submit your comments and material by only one means. If you submit them by mail or hand-delivery, submit them in an unbound format, no larger than 8 1/2 by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you submit them by mail and would like to know they reached the Facility, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all

comments and material received during the comment period. Your comments will help us to determine whether to initiate a rulemaking in response to the NBSAC resolution.

#### Public Meeting

We do not now plan to hold a public meeting. But you may submit a request for one to the Docket Management Facility at the address under **ADDRESSES** explaining why one would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid the consideration of the NBSAC resolution, we will hold one at a time and place announced by a later notice in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: August 30, 2001.

**Kenneth T. Venuto.**

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Director of Operations Policy.*

[FR Doc. 01-28118 Filed 11-8-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-15-U**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

**40 CFR Parts 3, 51, 60, 63, 70, 123, 142, 145, 162, 233, 257, 258, 271, 281, 403, 501, 745 and 763**

[FRL-7102-1]

#### Public Hearings on the Proposed Establishment of Electronic Reporting; Electronic Records Rule

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; change in public hearings.

**SUMMARY:** This document announces a change to the previously published time and format for an informal public hearing EPA is holding, on Friday, November 9, to take comments on the Agency's proposed rule for establishment of electronic reporting and electronic records, published on August 31, 2001.

**DATES:** The date for this public hearing remains the same: Friday, November 9, 2001. The public hearing time has been changed to 9:30 a.m. to 4 p.m. (CST). The hearing may conclude prior to 4 p.m., depending on the number of attendees and level of interest.

**ADDRESSES:** The location for this public hearing remains the same: The Ralph H. Metcalfe Federal Building, 3rd Floor, 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David Schwarz (2823), Office of Environmental Information, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20460, (202) 260-2710,

*schwarz.david@epa.gov*, or Evi Huffer (2823), Office of Environmental Information, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC, 20460, (202) 260-8791, *huffer.evi@epa.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting will be structured by topics as follows: Welcome and Introduction; EPA Presentation on Proposed Rule; General Questions on Presentation; Requirements for Electronic Reporting to USEPA and Electronic Signature; EPA's Electronic Reporting System: "The Central Data Exchange"; Electronic Record-keeping Requirements; and Criteria for State Electronic Reporting and Record-keeping Programs.

EPA published its proposed rule for Establishment of Electronic Reporting; Electronic Records in the **Federal Register** on August 31, 2001 (66 FR 46162-46195). EPA proposes to allow electronic reporting and electronic Record-keeping under the environmental regulations in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations. It proposes to remove regulatory obstacles to electronic reporting and Record-keeping and sets forth the conditions for the submission of electronic documents or maintenance of electronic records in lieu of paper documents or records. EPA is proposing the rule, in part, under the authority of the Government Paperwork Elimination Act (GPEA) of 1998, Public Law 105-277.

The proposed rule is available electronically on the Internet at <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-GENERAL/2001/August/Day-31/g21810.htm>. The proposed rule and supporting materials are also available for viewing in the Enforcement and Compliance Docket and Information Center, located at 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, (Ariel Rios Building), 2nd Floor, Room 2213, Washington, DC 20460. The documents are available for viewing from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays. To review docket materials, it is recommended that the public make an appointment by calling (202) 564-2614 or (202) 564-2119.

Dated: October 29, 2001.

**Connie Dwyer,**

*Acting Director, Collection Services Division, Office of Information Collection, Office of Environmental Information.*

[FR Doc. 01-28179 Filed 11-7-01; 1:30 pm]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

#### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

#### 47 CFR Part 73

[DA 01-2556, MM Docket No. 01-310, RM-10311]

#### Digital Television Broadcast Service; Silver City, NM

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by KOB-TV, LLC, licensee of station KOBG-TV, NTSC channel 6, Silver City, New Mexico, proposing the allotment of DTV channel 8 to Silver City, as the community's second local commercial DTV service. DTV channel 8 can be allotted to Silver City, New Mexico, in compliance with Sections 73.622, 73.623(d) and 73.625(a) of the Commission's Rules. The coordinates for DTV channel 8 at Silver City are North Latitude 32-51-49 and West Longitude 108-14-27. However, since the community of Silver City is located within 275 kilometers of the U.S.-Mexican border, concurrence by the Mexican government must be obtained for this allotment.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before December 31, 2001, and reply comments on or before January 15, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Marvin Rosenberg, Holland & Knight LLP, 2099 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 100, Washington, DC 20006 (Counsel for KOB-TV LLC).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Pam Blumenthal, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-1600.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 01-310, adopted November 2, 2001, and released November 7, 2001. The full text of this document is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. This document may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 202-