

Geographic variation in sea otters, *Enhydra lutris*. *Journal of Mammalogy* 72: 22–36.

Authority: The authority for this action is the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972, as amended, 16 U.S.C. 1361 *et seq.*

Dated: October 26, 2001.

Marshall P. Jones, Jr.,

Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 01–27495 Filed 11–1–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–55–M

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–739 (Review)]

Clad Steel Plate From Japan

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on clad steel plate from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on June 1, 2001 (66 FR 29829, June 1, 2001) and determined on September 4, 2001 that it would conduct an expedited review (66 FR 49040, September 25, 2001).

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on October 29, 2001. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3459 (October 2001), entitled Clad Steel Plate from Japan: Investigation No. 731–TA–739 (Review).

Issued: October 29, 2001.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–27540 Filed 11–1–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 701–TA–365–366 (Review) and 731–TA–734–735 (Review)]

Certain Pasta From Italy and Turkey

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the countervailing and antidumping duty orders on certain pasta from Italy and Turkey would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on June 1, 2001 (66 FR 29831, June 1, 2001) and determined on September 4, 2001 that it would conduct expedited reviews (66 FR 50453, October 3, 2001).

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on October 29, 2001. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3462 (October 2001), entitled Certain Pasta from Italy and Turkey: Investigations Nos. 701–TA–365–366 (Review) and 731–TA–734–735 (Review).

Issued: October 29, 2001.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–27539 Filed 11–1–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Settlement Agreement and Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

Under 28 CFR 50.7 and pursuant to section 122(d)(2) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9622(d)(2), notice is hereby given that on October 10, 2001, a proposed Settlement Agreement and Consent Decree (“Decree”) in United States and State of Colorado v. Robert Friedland, Civil No. 96–N–1213, was lodged with the United

States District Court for the District of Colorado. The United States and State of Colorado filed this action pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act for recovery of costs incurred by the United States and State of Colorado in responding to releases of hazardous substances at the Summitville Mine Superfund Site near Del Norte, Colorado.

Pursuant to the proposed Decree, the United States and State of Colorado will have an allowed general unsecured claim jointly against defendant Industrial Constructors Corp. and also against Washington Group International, Inc., and Washington Contractors Group, Inc. in the amount of \$20,288,080 in the Bankruptcy Case captioned *In re: Washington Group International, Inc.* Case No. BK–N–01–31627 (GWZ) (Bankr. D. Nev.). This general unsecured claim will resolve the claims of the United States and the State of Colorado against defendant Industrial Constructors Corp. in *United States and State of Colorado v. Robert Friedland*, Civil No. 96–N–1213 (D. Colo.) and also will resolve the claims of the State of Colorado against defendants Washington Group International, Inc., Washington Contractors Group, Inc., and Dennis Washington in *United States v. Sunoco, Inc., et al.*, Civil No. 01–N–1 (D. Colo.).

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to, *United States and State of Colorado v. Robert Friedland*, Civil No. 96–N–1213, and D.J. Ref. #90–11–3–1133B.

The Decree may be examined at the office of the U.S. Department of Justice, Environmental Enforcement Section, 999 18th Street, Suite 945, North Tower, Denver, Colorado; at U.S. EPA Region 8, Office of Regional Counsel, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, South Tower, Denver Colorado. A copy of the Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$5.75 (25 cents per page reproduction

¹ The record is defined in 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.2(f)).

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Robert D. Brook,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 01-27608 Filed 11-1-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request.

ACTION: Notice of information collection under review: request for premium processing service.

The Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The information collection was previously published in an interim rule INS No. 2108-01; RIN 1115-AG03 in the **Federal Register** on June 1, 2001 at 66 FR 29682, allowing for emergency OMB review and approval, as well as a 60-day public comment period. No comments were received by the INS on this proposed information collection.

The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comments. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until December 3, 2001. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, 725-17th Street, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20530; Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the

proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of response.

Overview of this information collection:

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved information collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Request for Premium Processing Service.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* Form I-907, Immigration Services Division, Immigration and Naturalization Service.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Business or other for-profit. The data collected on this form is used by the Service to process requests for premium processing of certain employment-based petitions or applications in accordance with section 286(u) of the District of Columbia Appropriations Act of 2002.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* 80,000 responses at 30 minutes per response.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* 40,000 annual burden hours.

If you have additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please contact Richard A. Sloan, 202-514-3291, Director, Policy Directives and Instructions Branch, Immigration and Naturalization Service, U.S. Department of Justice, Room 4034, 425 I Street, NW., Washington, DC 20536. Additionally, comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time may also be directed to Mr. Richard A. Sloan.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management

Division, 601 D Street, NW., Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: October 25, 2001.

Richard A. Sloan,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service.

[FR Doc. 01-27548 Filed 11-1-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-10-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice of information collection under review: application for removal.

The Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on July 27, 2001 at 66 FR 39207, allowing for a 60-day public comment period. No public comment was received on this information collection.

The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comments. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until December 3, 2001. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20530.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;