

obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at <http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol/public>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This patent-based section 337 investigation was instituted on August 23, 2000, based upon a complaint filed on July 20, 2000, by Intel Corporation ("Intel") and Level One Communications, Inc. ("Level One"). 65 FR 51327 (Aug. 23, 2000). The respondent is Altima Communications, Inc. ("Altima"). A second patent-based section 337 investigation naming Altima as a respondent was instituted on April 24, 2000, based upon a complaint filed by Level One on March 23, 2000, and supplemented on April 13, 2000. 65 FR 21789 (Apr. 24, 2000). On August 24, 2000, the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) issued an order consolidating the two investigations. From April 16, 2001, through April 30, 2001, the ALJ held an evidentiary hearing. On July 19, 2001, the ALJ issued a final initial determination (ID) finding that respondent Altima has violated section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), by infringing certain claims of two of complainants' asserted patents. Specifically, the ALJ found that: (1) There has been importation and sale of the accused products; (2) complainants practice the patents in controversy and satisfy the domestic industry requirements of section 337; (3) certain of the claims in issue are valid; (4) the accused imported products directly infringe certain of the claims in issue; and (5) respondent has induced infringement of certain of the claims in issue. Based on these findings, the ALJ concluded there was a violation of section 337. The ALJ recommended issuance of a limited exclusion order.

Complainants Intel and Level One and respondent Altima filed petitions for review of various portions of the ALJ's final ID, and opposed each others' petitions for review. The Commission investigative attorney (IA) did not petition for review of the final ID, but opposed the other parties' petitions for review. On September 5, 2001, the Commission determined not to review the ALJ's final ID and issued a notice to that effect. 66 Fed. Reg. 47037 (Sep. 10, 2001).

Having determined that a violation of section 337 has occurred in the

importation, sale for importation, or sale in the United States of the accused integrated repeaters, as well as integrated repeaters and switches in plastic ball grid array (PBGA) packages, the Commission considered the issues of the appropriate form of relief, whether the public interest precludes issuance of such relief, and the bond during the 60-day Presidential review period.

The Commission determined that a limited exclusion order prohibiting the importation of the accused integrated repeaters, and circuit boards and carriers containing such devices, as well as integrated repeaters, switches and other products in PBGA packages, and circuit boards and carriers containing such devices, and directed to respondent Altima is the appropriate form of relief. The Commission further determined that the statutory public interest factors do not preclude the issuance of such relief, and that respondent's bond under the limited exclusion order shall be in the amount of 100 percent of the entered value of the imported articles.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) and section 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.50).

Dated: Issued: October 24, 2001.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-27167 Filed 10-26-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collections; Comments Requested

ACTION: 60-day notice of information collection under review; reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired—National Youth Gang Survey.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs, (OJP), Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies.

Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" until December 28, 2001. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Phelan Wyrick, (202) 353-9254, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice, 810 Seventh Street, NW., Washington, DC 20531.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of information collection:* Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

(2) *The title of the form/collection:* National Youth Gang Survey.

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* Office of Juvenile Justice, and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: State, Local, or Tribal law enforcement agencies. Other: None. This collection will gather information related to youth and their activities for research and assessment purposes.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply:* It is estimated that there will be 3,000 respondents. It is estimated that each survey will take 15 minutes to complete.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associates with the collection:* An estimate of the total hour burden to conduct this survey is 750 hours.

If additional is required contact: Brenda E. Dyer, Department Deputy Clearance Officer, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, United States Department of Justice, Suite 1600, 601 D Street NW, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: October 23, 2001.

Brenda E. Dyer,

Department Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 01-27091 Filed 10-26-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration

[Application Number: D-10616]

Proposed Amendment To Prohibited Transaction Exemption (PTE) 79-15 (44 FR 26979, May 8, 1979); PTE 80-26 (45 FR 28545, April 29, 1980); PTE 80-83 (45 FR 73189, November 4, 1980); PTE 81-6 (45 FR 7527, January 23, 1981 (as amended at 52 FR 18754, May 19, 1987)); PTE 81-8 (46 FR 7511, January 23, 1981 (as amended by 50 FR 14043, April 9, 1985)); PTE 82-63 (47 FR 14804, April 6, 1982); PTE 83-1 (48 FR 895, January 7, 1983); PTE 84-14 (49 FR 9494, March 13, 1984) PTE 88-59 (53 FR 24811, June 30, 1988); PTE 91-38 (56 FR 31966, July 12, 1991); PTE 95-60 (60 FR 35925, July 12, 1995); PTE 96-62 (61 FR 39988, July 31, 1996)

AGENCY: Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, Department of Labor.

ACTION: Notice of proposed amendment to certain class exemptions.

SUMMARY: This document contains a notice of pendency before the Department of Labor (the Department) of a proposed amendment to certain class exemptions. The proposed amendment would define the term "employee benefit plan", as such term is used in certain class exemptions, to include plans described in section 4975(e)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the Code). If adopted, the proposed

amendment would affect individuals with beneficial interests in such plans, as well as the financial institutions that provide services and products to the plans.

DATES: Written comments and requests for a public hearing should be received by the Department on or before December 13, 2001. If adopted, the proposed amendment would be effective as of: May 1, 1979 with respect to PTE 79-15; January 1, 1975 with respect to PTE 80-26; December 1, 1980 with respect to Section I(B) of PTE 80-83 (the amendment would be effective January 1, 1975 with respect to the remainder of PTE 80-83); January 23, 1981 with respect to PTE 81-6; January 1, 1975 with respect to PTE 81-8; April 6, 1982 with respect to PTE 82-63; January 1, 1975 with respect to PTE 83-1; December 21, 1982 with respect to PTE 84-14; January 1, 1975 with respect to PTE 88-59; July 1, 1990 with respect to PTE 91-38; January 1, 1975 with respect to PTE 95-60; and July 31, 1996 with respect to PTE 96-62.

ADDRESSES: All written comments and requests for a public hearing (preferably three copies) should be addressed to the U.S. Department of Labor, Office of Exemption Determinations, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, Room N-5649, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20210, (Attention: D-10616).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher J. Motta, Office of Exemption Determinations, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, (202) 219-8971, (this is not a toll-free number); or Paul Mannina, Plan Benefits Security Division, Office of the Solicitor, U.S. Department of Labor (202) 693-5600. (This is not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given of the pendency before the Department of a proposed amendment to PTE 79-15; PTE 80-26; PTE 80-83; PTE 81-6; PTE 81-8; PTE 82-63; PTE 83-1; PTE 84-14; PTE 88-59; PTE 91-38; PTE 95-60; and PTE 96-62. These class exemptions provide relief from certain of the restrictions described in section 406 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), and the taxes imposed by sections 4975(a) and (b) of the Code, by reason of a parallel provision described in section 4975(c)(1)(A) through (F) of the Code, provided that the conditions of the relevant exemption have been met. The Department is proposing to amend the above-described exemptions on its own motion, pursuant to section 408(a) of ERISA and section 4975(c)(2) of the

Code and in accordance with the procedures set forth in 29 CFR part 2570, subpart B (55 FR 32836, August 10, 1990).

The class exemptions described in this proposed amendment do not define the term "employee benefit plan". As a result, the Department has become increasingly aware of uncertainty regarding the scope of these class exemptions. To address this uncertainty, the Department has determined to amend each exemption in order to define the term "employee benefit plan" and "plan" as used therein.

Prior to the effective date of Reorganization Plan No. 4 of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App. 1 (1996)) (the Reorganization Plan), exemptions granted pursuant to section 408(a) of ERISA and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code were issued jointly by the Department of Labor (the Department) and the Internal Revenue Service (the Service). A number of class exemptions issued jointly by the Department and Service did not define the term "employee benefit plan" and "plan" as contained therein. Given the dual nature of the authority used to grant these exemptions, a number of practitioners believed that references to "employee benefit plan" and "plan" in these pre-Reorganization Plan class exemptions included employee benefit plans described in section 3(3) of ERISA¹ and plans described in section 4975(e)(1) of the Code.²

After consultation with the Service, the Department has determined that plans described in section 4975(e)(1) of the Code are included within the scope of relief provided by the following class exemptions:

PTE 75-1, 40 FR 50845 (1975);
PTE 77-4, 42 FR 18732 (1977);
PTE 77-7, 42 FR 31575 (1977), amended and redesignated as PTE 92-5 by 57 FR 5019 (1992); PTE 77-8, 42 FR 31574 (1977), amended and redesignated as PTE 92-6, 57

¹ Section 3(3) of ERISA provides that the term "employee benefit plan" or "plan" means an employee welfare benefit plan or an employee pension benefit plan or a plan which is both an employee welfare benefit plan and an employee pension benefit plan.

² Section 4975(e)(1) of the Code provides that, for purposes of that Code section, the term "plan" means: (A) A trust described in Code section 401(a) which forms a part of a plan, or a plan described in Code section 403(a), which trust or plan is exempt from tax under section 501(a); (B) an individual retirement account described in Code section 408(a); (C) an individual retirement annuity described in section Code 408(b); (D) a medical savings account described in Code section 220(d); (E) an education individual retirement account described in Code section 530, or (f) a trust, plan, account, or annuity which, at any time, has been determined by the Secretary to be described in any preceding subparagraph of this paragraph.