

instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently the Institute of Museum and Library Services is soliciting comments concerning the proposed reconsideration of the LSTA Annual Report process.

A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the individual listed below in the addressee section of this notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee section below on or before December 17, 2001.

IMLS is particularly interested in comments that help the agency to:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submissions of responses.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to: Barbara G. Smith, Technology Officer, Institute of Museum and Library Services, 1100 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Room 802, Washington, DC 20506. Ms. Smith can be reached on Telephone: 202-606-5254; Fax: 202-606-1077 or at bmsmith@imls.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Institute of Museum and Library Services is an independent Federal grant-making agency authorized by the Museum and Library Services Act, Pub. L. 104-208. The IMLS provides a variety of grant programs to assist the nation's museums and libraries in improving their operations and enhancing their services to the public. Museums and libraries of all sizes and types may receive support from IMLS programs.

Agency: Institute of Museum and Library Services.

Title: Reconsideration of the Library Services & Technology Act (LSTA) Annual Report Process.

OMB Number: n/a.

Frequency: One time.

Affected Public: State Library Administrative Agencies for the States and U.S. Territories.

Number of Respondents: 125.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: one hour.

Total Burden Hours: 125 hours.

Total Annualized capital/startup costs: zero.

Total Annual costs: \$3,650.00.

CONTACT: Mamie Bittner, Director of Public and Legislative Affairs, Institute of Museum and Library Services, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506, telephone (202) 606-4648.

Dated: September 19, 2001.

Mamie Bittner,

Director of Public and Legislative Affairs.

[FR Doc. 01-26312 Filed 10-17-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7036-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-245, 50-336, and 50-423]

Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc., Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Units 1, 2, and 3; Notice of Consideration of Approval of Application Regarding Proposed Corporate Restructuring and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering the issuance of an order under 10 CFR 50.80 approving the indirect transfer of Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR-21, DPR-65, and NPF-49 for the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Units 1, 2, and 3 (Millstone) to the extent held by Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc. (Dominion Nuclear). The indirect transfer would result from the establishment of an intermediary parent company that will indirectly own Dominion Nuclear.

Dominion Nuclear is a wholly owned, indirect subsidiary of Dominion Energy, Inc., which is a wholly owned, direct subsidiary of Dominion Resources, Inc., the ultimate parent of Dominion Nuclear. According to Dominion Nuclear's application dated August 17, 2001, Dominion Energy Holdings, Inc., will become an intermediary, indirect parent company of Dominion Nuclear. Specifically, Dominion Energy Holdings, Inc., will become a direct wholly owned subsidiary of Dominion Resources, Inc., and the new direct parent of Dominion Energy Inc., which at the same time will be converted to Dominion Energy, LLC. No physical changes to the Millstone facility or operational changes are being proposed

in the application. The two other licensees for Millstone Unit 3, Central Vermont Public Service Corporation and Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company, which hold minority ownership interests in Unit 3, are not involved in the restructuring action affecting Dominion Nuclear.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.80, no license, or any right thereunder, shall be transferred, directly or indirectly, through transfer of control of the license, unless the Commission shall give its consent in writing. The Commission will approve an application for the indirect transfer of a license, if the Commission determines that the underlying transaction that will effectuate the indirect transfer will not affect the qualifications of the holder of the license, and that the transfer is otherwise consistent with applicable provisions of law, regulations, and orders issued by the Commission pursuant thereto.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene, and written comments with regard to the license transfer application, are discussed below.

By November 7, 2001, any person whose interest may be affected by the Commission's action on the application may request a hearing and, if not the applicant, may petition for leave to intervene in a hearing proceeding on the Commission's action. Requests for a hearing and petitions for leave to intervene should be filed in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice set forth in Subpart M, "Public Notification, Availability of Documents and Records, Hearing Requests and Procedures for Hearings on License Transfer Applications," of 10 CFR part 2. In particular, such requests and petitions must comply with the requirements set forth in 10 CFR 2.1306, and should address the considerations contained in 10 CFR 2.1308(a). Untimely requests and petitions may be denied, as provided in 10 CFR 2.1308(b), unless good cause for failure to file on time is established. In addition, an untimely request or petition should address the factors that the Commission will also consider, in reviewing untimely requests or petitions, set forth in 10 CFR 2.1308(b)(1)-(2).

Requests for a hearing and petitions for leave to intervene should be served upon Lillian M. Cuoco, Senior Nuclear Counsel, Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc., Rope Ferry Road, Waterford, CT 06385 (tel: 860-444-5316; fax: 860-444-4278; e-mail: lillian_cuoco@dom.com); the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory

Commission, Washington, DC 20555 (e-mail address for filings regarding license transfer cases only: OGCLT@NRC.gov); and the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, in accordance with 10 CFR 2.1313.

The Commission will issue a notice or order granting or denying a hearing request or intervention petition, designating the issues for any hearing that will be held and designating the Presiding Officer. A notice granting a hearing will be published in the **Federal Register** and served on the parties to the hearing.

As an alternative to requests for hearing and petitions to intervene, by November 19, 2001, persons may submit written comments regarding the license transfer application, as provided for in 10 CFR 2.1305. The Commission will consider and, if appropriate, respond to these comments, but such comments will not otherwise constitute part of the decisional record. Comments should be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, and should cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application dated August 17, 2001, available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management Systems (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/ADAMS/index.html>. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737 or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 12th day of October 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John Harrison,

Project Manager, Section 2, Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 01-26279 Filed 10-17-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-458]

Entergy Operations, Inc., River Bend Station, Unit 1; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-47 issued to Entergy Operations, Inc. (the licensee) for operation of River Bend Station, Unit 1 (RBS), located in West Feliciana Parish, Louisiana.

The proposed amendment would revise the RBS Technical Specifications (TSs) limit for spent fuel storage to allow storage of up to 3,104 fuel assemblies.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed change does not significantly increase the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed change revises the Technical Specification administrative limit for spent fuel storage to allow storage of up to 3,104 bundles to accommodate a full core offload. The current licensing basis analysis demonstrates that spent fuel pool temperatures will remain below the spent fuel pool design limitations assuming a full core offload is required early in an operating cycle. There are no changes being made to the storage pool structure, the pool water level, the storage racks, the cooling system, or to fuel storage arrays as currently described in the Updated Safety Analysis Report (USAR). The decay heat loads for the

proposed storage capacity have been previously evaluated and are not increased by the proposed change. Therefore, there is no effect on spent fuel reactivity control, shielding, or cooling capability. The fuel handling accident analysis as presented in the USAR is also not affected by the proposed change.

Therefore, the proposed change does not result in a significant increase in the probability or the consequences of previously evaluated accidents.

2. The proposed changes would not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previous analyzed.

The proposed change only affects the allowed quantity of spent fuel stored in the existing fuel racks located in the fuel building spent fuel pool. The fuel arrangement in this storage pool has previously been analyzed for criticality control, the effects of a fuel handling accident, and for the decay heat loads caused by both normal and abnormal conditions. The proposed change does not involve a physical alteration of the plant or a change in the methods of spent fuel pool storage or cooling. Therefore, the proposed change does not introduce the possibility of a new accident precursor or result in creating the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. The proposed changes do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The proposed change is considered to be an administrative change to the fuel storage capacity limitations. The fuel arrangement in this storage pool has previously been analyzed for criticality control, the effects of a fuel handling accident, and for the decay heat loads caused by both normal and abnormal conditions. These analyses are not impacted by the proposed change. The proposed TS limits on spent fuel pool storage capacity will continue to maintain pool temperatures to less than those allowed by the Standard Review Plan (SRP), NUREG-0800. Therefore, the change remains within the current licensing basis margins and does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would