

Department of Commerce to establish a program to evaluate applications for an Export Trade Certificate of Review (antitrust preclearance for joint export related activities), and with the concurrence of the Department of Justice, issue such certificates where the requirements of the Act are satisfied. The Act requires that Commerce and Justice conduct economic and legal antitrust analyses prior to the issuance of a certificate. The collection of information is necessary to conduct the required economic and legal antitrust analyses. Without the information, there could be no basis upon which a certificate could be issued.

In the Department of Commerce, the economic and legal analyses are performed by the Office of Export Trading Company Affairs and the Office of the General Counsel, respectively. The Department of Justice analyses will be conducted by its Antitrust Division. The purpose of such analyses is to make a determination as to whether or not to issue an Export Trade Certificate of Review.

A certificate provides its holder and the members named in the certificate (a) immunity from government actions under state and Federal antitrust laws for the export conduct specified in the certificate; (b) some protection from frivolous private suits by limiting their liability in private actions from treble to actual damages when the challenged activities are covered by an Export Certificate of Review. Title III was enacted to reduce uncertainty regarding the application of U.S. antitrust laws to export activities—especially those involving actions by domestic competitors. Application for an export trade certificate of review is voluntary.

II. Method of Collection

Form ITA-4093P is sent by request to U.S. firms.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0625-0125.

Form Number: ITA-4093P.

Type of Review: Regular Submission.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit; Not-for-profit institutions and State, local or Tribal Government.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 30.

Estimated Time Per Response: 32 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 960.

Estimated Total Annual Costs: The estimated annual cost for this collection is \$344,400 (\$260,000 government and \$134,400 respondents).

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and costs) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: October 12, 2001.

Madeleine Clayton,

*Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer,
Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 01-26112 Filed 10-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Marketing Data Form; Proposed Collection; Comment Request

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burdens, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before December 17, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Madeleine Clayton, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-3129, Department of Commerce, Room 6086, 14th & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at MClayton@doc.gov.)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Request for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to: John Klingelhut, U.S. & Foreign Commercial Service, Export Promotion Services, Room 2810, 14th & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; Phone number: (202) 482-4231, and fax number: (202) 482-0115.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

I. Abstract

Information about U.S. Exhibition, Trade Mission and Matchmaker Trade Delegation participants and their products is an absolute necessity in order to publicize and promote their participation in these export promotion events. The Marketing Data Form (MDF) provides information necessary to produce export promotion brochures and directories, and to arrange, on behalf of participants, appointments with key prospective buyers, agents, distributors, or government officials. Specific information is also required regarding participants; objectives as to agents, distributors, joint venture or licensing partners and any special requirements for these, e.g. physical facilities, technical capabilities, financial strength, staff, representation of complementary lines, etc.

II. Method of Data Collection

Form ITA-466P is sent by request to U.S. firms. Applicant firms complete the form and forward it to the Department of Commerce exhibition manager several weeks prior to the event.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0625-0047.

Form Number: ITA-466P.

Type of Review: Regular Submission.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 4,000.

Estimated Time Per Response: 45 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 3,000 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Costs: The estimated annual cost for this collection is \$135,000.00 (\$65,000.00 for respondents and \$70,000.00 for the federal government).

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and costs) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or

included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: October 12, 2001.

Madeleine Clayton,

*Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer,
Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 01-26113 Filed 10-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-FF-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-549-813]

Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Recission of Administrative Review in Part: Canned Pineapple Fruit From Thailand

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On April 10, 2001, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on canned pineapple fruit (CPF) from Thailand. This review covers ten producers/exporters of the subject merchandise. The period of review (POR) is July 1, 1999, through June 30, 2000. Based on our analysis of comments received, these final results differ from the preliminary results. The final results are listed below in the "Final Results of Review" section.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 17, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Layton or Charles Riggle, Office 5, Group II, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0371 and (202) 482-0650, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department regulations are references to the provisions codified at 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

Background

This review covers the following producers/exporters of merchandise subject to the antidumping duty order on canned pineapple fruit from Thailand: Vita Food Factory (1989) Co., Ltd. (Vita), Kuiburi Fruit Canning Company Limited (KFC), Malee Sampran Public Co., Ltd. (Malee); Siam Food Products Public Co. Ltd. (SFP), The Thai Pineapple Public Co., Ltd. (TIPCO), Thai Pineapple Canning Industry (TPC), and Dole Food Company, Inc., Dole Packaged Foods Company, and Dole Thailand, Ltd. (collectively, Dole); and Siam Fruit Canning (1988) Co., Ltd. (SIFCO).

On September 12, 2000 and September 15, 2000 respectively, in response to the Department's questionnaire, Prachuab Fruit Canning Company (Praft) and Siam Agro Industry Pineapple and Others Co., Ltd. (SAICO) stated that they made no shipments to the United States of the subject merchandise during the POR.

On April 10, 2001, the Department published the preliminary results of this review. See *Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Canned Pineapple Fruit From Thailand*, 66 FR 18596 (*Preliminary Results*). Consistent with the preliminary results, we are rescinding the review with respect to Praft and SAICO. On May 14-18 we verified information provided by SIFCO. On July 9 and 16, 2001, we received case briefs and/or rebuttal briefs, respectively, from the petitioners,¹ Dole, KFC, Malee, SIFCO, TIPCO and Vita. On July 23, 2001 a public hearing was held.

Scope of Review

The product covered by this review is CPF. CPF is defined as pineapple processed and/or prepared into various product forms, including rings, pieces, chunks, tidbits, and crushed pineapple, that is packed and cooked in metal cans with either pineapple juice or sugar syrup added. CPF is currently classifiable under subheadings 2008.20.0010 and 2008.20.0090 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). HTSUS 2008.20.0010 covers CPF packed in a sugar-based syrup; HTSUS 2008.20.0090 covers CPF packed without added sugar (*i.e.*, juice-packed). Although these HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, our written description of the scope is dispositive.

¹ The petitioners in this case are Maui Pineapple Company and the International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" (Decision Memorandum) from Bernard T. Carreau, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, to Faryar Shirzad, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated October 9, 2001, which is hereby adopted by this notice.

A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099 of the main Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Fair Value Comparisons

We calculated export price and normal value based on the same methodology used in the preliminary results. We corrected clerical errors with respect to Dole, KFC, SIFCO and Vita.

Cost of Production

We calculated the cost of production (COP) for the merchandise based on the same methodology used in the preliminary results, with the exception of SIFCO. For SIFCO, we calculated a cost for juice used as packing medium and corrected clerical input errors in its COP database that we found at verification.

Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we determine that the following weighted-average percentage margins exist for the period July 1, 1998, through June 30, 1999:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Siam Food Products Company Ltd. (SFP)	0.18
Dole Food Company, Inc. (Dole)	0.49
The Thai Pineapple Public Company, Ltd. (TIPCO)	4.74
Kuiburi Fruit Canning Co. Ltd. (KFC)	1.15
Thai Pineapple Canning Industry (TPC)	2.33
Siam Fruit Canning (1988) Co. Ltd. (SIFCO)	2.76
Vita Food Factory (1989) Co. Ltd. (Vita)	2.77