

conditions are issued as part of the type certification basis for the Boeing Model 777 series airplanes.

1. Seats With Inflatable Lapbelts. It must be shown that the inflatable lapbelt will deploy and provide protection under crash conditions where it is necessary to prevent serious head injury. The means of protection must take into consideration a range of stature from a two-year-old child to a ninety-fifth percentile male. The inflatable lapbelt must provide a consistent approach to energy absorption throughout that range. In addition, the following situations must be considered:

- a. The seat occupant is holding an infant.
- b. The seat occupant is a child in a child restraint device.
- c. The seat occupant is a child not using a child restraint device.
- d. The seat occupant is a pregnant woman.

2. The inflatable lapbelt must provide adequate protection for each occupant regardless of the number of occupants of the seat assembly, considering that unoccupied seats may have active seatbelts.

3. The design must prevent the inflatable lapbelt from being either incorrectly buckled or incorrectly installed such that the inflatable lapbelt would not properly deploy. Alternatively, it must be shown that such deployment is not hazardous to the occupant, and will provide the required head injury protection.

4. It must be shown that the inflatable lapbelt system is not susceptible to inadvertent deployment as a result of wear and tear, or inertial loads resulting from in-flight or ground maneuvers (including gusts and hard landings), likely to be experienced in service.

5. Deployment of the inflatable lapbelt must not introduce injury mechanisms to the seated occupant, or result in injuries that could impede rapid egress. This assessment should include an occupant who is in the brace position when it deploys and an occupant whose belt is loosely fastened.

6. It must be shown that an inadvertent deployment that could cause injury to a standing or sitting person is improbable.

7. It must be shown that inadvertent deployment of the inflatable lapbelt during the most critical part of the flight will either not cause a hazard to the airplane or is extremely improbable.

8. It must be shown that the inflatable lapbelt will not impede rapid egress of occupants 10 seconds after its deployment.

9. The system must be protected from lightning and HIRF. The threats specified in Special Condition No. 25-ANM-78 are incorporated by reference for the purpose of measuring lightning and HIRF protection. For the purposes of complying with HIRF requirements, the inflatable lapbelt system is considered a "critical system" if its deployment could have a hazardous effect on the airplane; otherwise it is considered an "essential" system.

10. The inflatable lapbelt must function properly after loss of normal aircraft electrical power, and after a transverse separation of the fuselage at the most critical location. A separation at the location of the lapbelt does not have to be considered.

11. It must be shown that the inflatable lapbelt will not release hazardous quantities of gas or particulate matter into the cabin.

12. The inflatable lapbelt installation must be protected from the effects of fire such that no hazard to occupants will result.

13. There must be a means for a crewmember to verify the integrity of the inflatable lapbelt activation system prior to each flight or it must be demonstrated to reliably operate between inspection intervals.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on October 3, 2001.

Ali Bahrami,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 01-25753 Filed 10-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

metal device for certain SA226 series airplanes. This AD is the result of a report of a wheel brake system malfunction caused by a faulty brake shuttle valve. The actions specified by this AD are intended to correct potential brake shuttle valve problems, which could cause the brake assembly to drag and overheat. Hydraulic or fuel line damage could then occur if the overheated brake assembly is retracted into the main wheel well, with a consequent fire if the hydraulic or fuel lines ruptured.

DATES: This AD becomes effective on November 21, 2001.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations as of November 21, 2001.

ADDRESSES: You may get the service information referenced in this AD from Fairchild Aircraft, Inc., P.O. Box 790490, San Antonio, Texas 78279-0490; telephone: (210) 824-9421; facsimile: (210) 820-8609. You may view this information at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2000-CE-28-AD, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Werner Koch, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Airplane Certification Office, 2601 Meacham Boulevard, Fort Worth, Texas 76193-0150; telephone: (817) 222-5133; facsimile: (817) 222-5960.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion

What Events Have Caused This AD?

The FAA received a report of an accident involving a Fairchild Model SA226-TC airplane where the flight crew lost control of the airplane at low altitude during the final approach for landing. Prior to the accident, the flight crew reported a loss of hydraulic pressure and a fire on the left side of the airplane.

Investigation of this accident indicates the following:

- The flight crew applied right rudder power during the takeoff roll to compensate for a dragging and overheated left wheel brake and then raised the landing gear into the left wheel well;
- The overheated left wheel brake ignited the tires and the hydraulic fluid; and
- The resultant fire burned the rubber fuel crossover hose and resulted in fuel leakage with a consequent fuel fire.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2000-CE-28-AD; Amendment 39-12462; AD 2001-20-14]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Fairchild Aircraft, Inc., Models SA226 and SA227 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to certain Fairchild Aircraft SA226 and SA227 series airplanes. This AD requires you to replace the brake shuttle valves with parts of improved design and install a shield over the hydraulic lines. This AD also requires replacing the rubber fuel hose with a

The accident investigation shows that the brake shuttle valve may have caused the left wheel brake to drag and overheat.

Has FAA Taken Any Action to This Point?

We issued a proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an AD that would apply to certain Fairchild Aircraft SA226 and SA227 series airplanes. This proposal was published in the **Federal Register** as a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) on December 5, 2000 (65 FR 75883). The supplemental NPRM proposed to require you to replace the brake shuttle valves with parts of improved design (except on airplanes with an anti-skid/power brake system); install a shield over the hydraulic lines; and replace the rubber fuel hose with a metal device on certain SA226 series airplanes.

What Is the Potential Impact if FAA Took No Action?

Original design brake shuttle valves, if not replaced with improved design valves, could cause the wheel brakes to drag and overheat. This could result in hydraulic or fuel line damage if the overheated brake assembly is retracted into the main wheel wells. A consequent fire could occur if the hydraulic or fuel lines ruptured.

Was the Public Invited To Comment?

The FAA encouraged interested persons to participate in the making of this amendment. The following presents the comment received on the proposal and FAA's response to this comment:

Comment Disposition

What Is the Commenter's Concern?

The commenter suggests that the cause of the wheel brake system malfunction may not be related to the brake shuttle valve. The commenter references an incident on a SA226 airplane relative to a dragging wheel brake that was traced to the master cylinder internal relief valve. The FAA infers that the commenter would like us to continue to investigate this issue.

What Is FAA's Response to the Concern?

After thorough investigation of all available information, we determined that the cause of this unsafe condition is related to the brake shuttle valve. The FAA welcomes comments and suggestions such as those made by the commenter. In fact, we have determined that the master cylinder relief valve may have been the cause of other main gear brake incidents. We issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) (Docket No. 2001-CE-17-AD), which proposes these brake master cylinders be replaced with new or overhauled units. This NPRM was published in the **Federal**

Register on August 21, 2001 (66 FR 43814).

We will continue to investigate any other potential causes for the dragging brakes on the SA226 and SA227 airplanes.

FAA's Determination

What Is FAA's Final Determination on This Issue?

We carefully reviewed all available information related to the subject presented above and determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed except for minor editorial corrections. We determined that these minor corrections:

- Will not change the meaning of the AD; and
- Will not add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed.

Cost Impact

How Many Airplanes Does This AD Impact?

We estimate that this AD affects 258 airplanes in the U.S. registry.

What Is the Cost Impact of This AD on Owners/Operators of the Affected Airplanes?

We estimate the following costs to accomplish the replacement and installation:

SA226 SERIES AIRPLANES

Labor cost	Parts cost	Total cost on U.S. operators
65 workhours × \$60 per hour = \$3,900	\$3,431 per airplane	\$7,331 per airplane × 258 = \$1,891,398.

SA227 SERIES AIRPLANES

Labor cost	Parts cost	Total cost on U.S. operators
55 workhours × \$60 per hour = \$3,300	\$1,369 per airplane	\$4,669 per airplane × 258 = \$1,204,602.

Compliance Time of This AD

What Is the Compliance Time of This AD?

The compliance time of this AD is at whichever of the following that occurs later:

- Within 500 hours time-in-service (TIS) after the effective date of this AD; or
- Within 6 months after the effective date of this AD

Why Is the Compliance Time of This AD Presented in Both Hours TIS and Calendar Time?

The affected airplanes are used in both general aviation and commuter operations. Those commuter operators may accumulate 500 hours TIS on the airplane in less than 2 months and many owners have numerous affected airplanes in their fleets. We have determined that the dual compliance time:

- Gives all owners/operators of the affected airplanes adequate time to schedule and accomplish the actions in this AD; and

—Ensures that the unsafe condition referenced in this AD will be corrected within a reasonable time period without inadvertently grounding any of the affected airplanes

Flexibility Determination and Analysis

What Are the Requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act?

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 was enacted by Congress to assure that small entities are not unnecessarily or disproportionately burdened by government regulations. This Act establishes "as principle of regulatory issuance that agencies shall endeavor,

consistent with the objectives of the rule and of applicable statutes, to fit regulatory and informational requirements to the scale of the businesses, organizations, and governmental jurisdictions subject to regulation.” To achieve this principle, the Act requires agencies to solicit and consider flexible regulatory proposals and to explain the rationale for their actions. The Act covers a wide range of small entities, including small businesses, not-for-profit organizations, and small governmental jurisdictions.

Agencies must perform a review to determine whether a proposed or final rule will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. If the determination is that the rule will, the Agency must prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis as described in the RFA.

However, if an agency determines that a proposed or final rule is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, section 605(b) of the RFA provides that the head of the agency may so certify and a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required. The certification must include a statement providing the factual basis for this determination, and the reasoning should be clear.

What Is FAA's Determination?

The FAA has determined that this AD could have a significant economic

impact on a substantial number of small entities. However, we have determined that we should continue with this action in order to address the unsafe condition and ensure aviation safety.

You may obtain a copy of the complete Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (entitled “Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis”) that was prepared for this AD from the Docket file at the location listed under the **ADDRESSES** section of this document.

Regulatory Impact

Does This AD Impact Various Entities?

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

Does This AD Involve a Significant Rule or Regulatory Action?

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) could have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities

under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. You may obtain a copy of the complete Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (entitled “Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis”) that was prepared for this AD from the Docket file at the location listed under the **ADDRESSES** section of this document.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. FAA amends § 39.13 by adding a new AD to read as follows:

2001-20-14 Fairchild Aircraft, Inc.:

Amendment 39-12462; Docket No. 2000-CE-28-AD.

(a) *What airplanes are affected by this AD?* This AD affects the following airplane models and serial numbers that are certificated in any category:

Model	Serial Nos.
SA226-T	T201 through T248.
SA226-T(A)	T(A)249 through T(A)-291.
SA226-T(B)	T(B) 276 and T(B) 292 through T(B) 417.
SA226-AT	AT001 through AT074.
SA226-TC	TC201 through TC419.
SA227-TT	TT421 through TT555.
SA227-TT(300)	TT447, TT465, TT471, TT483, TT512, TT518, TT521, TT527, TT529, and 536.
SA227-AT	AT421, AT423 through AT631, and AT695.
SA227-AC	AC406, AC415, AC416, and AC420 through AC599.

(b) *Who must comply with this AD?* Anyone who wishes to operate any of the above airplanes must comply with this AD. The AD applies to any airplane with or without an anti-skid/power brake system installed.

(c) *What problem does this AD address?* The actions specified by this AD are intended to correct potential brake shuttle valve problems, which could cause the brake assembly to drag and overheat. Hydraulic or fuel line damage could then occur if the

overheated brake assembly is retracted into the main wheel well, with a consequent fire if the hydraulic or fuel lines ruptured.

(d) *What actions must I accomplish to address this problem?* To address this problem, you must accomplish the following:

Actions	Compliance	Procedures
(1) For all affected airplanes, except those equipped with an anti-skid/power brake system, replace each brake shuttle valve with part number (P/N) MS28767-4 brake shuttle valve (or FAA-approved equivalent part number).	Within 500 hours time-in-service (TIS) after November 21, 2001 (the effective date of this AD), or within 6 months after November 21, 2001 (the effective date of this AD), whichever occurs later.	In accordance with the ACCOMPLISHMENT INSTRUCTIONS section of Fairchild Aircraft Service Bulletin No. 226-26-003, or Fairchild Aircraft Service Bulletin No. 227-26-002, as applicable. Page numbers with respective dates are presented in paragraphs (h)(1)(i) and (h)(1)(ii) of this AD.

Actions	Compliance	Procedures
(2) For all affected airplanes, install a shield over the hydraulic lines.	Within 500 hours time-in-service (TIS) after November 21, 2001 (the effective date of this AD), or within 6 months after November 21, 2001 (the effective date of this AD), whichever occurs later.	In accordance with the ACCOMPLISHMENT INSTRUCTIONS section of Fairchild Aircraft Service Bulletin No. 226-26-003, or Fairchild Aircraft Service Bulletin No. 227-26-002, as applicable. Page numbers with respective dates are presented in paragraphs (h)(1)(i) and (h)(1)(ii) of this AD.
(3) For all airplane models within the SA226 series, replace the rubber fuel hose with a metal device.	Within 500 hours time-in-service (TIS) after November 21, 2001 (the effective date of this AD), or within 6 months after November 21, 2001 (the effective date of this AD), whichever occurs later.	In accordance with the ACCOMPLISHMENT INSTRUCTIONS section of Fairchild Aircraft Service Bulletin No. 226-26-003. Page numbers with respective dates are presented in paragraphs (h)(1)(i) of this AD.
(4) Do not install any brake shuttle valve that is not a P/N MS28767-4 brake shuttle valve (or FAA-approved equivalent part number) or a fuel hose that is made out of rubber.	As of November 21, 2001 (the effective date of this AD).	Not Applicable.

(e) *Can I comply with this AD in any other way?* You may use an alternative method of compliance or adjust the compliance time if:

(1) Your alternative method of compliance provides an equivalent level of safety; and

(2) The Manager, Fort Worth Airplane Certification Office (ACO), approves your alternative. Submit your request through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Fort Worth ACO.

Note: This AD applies to each airplane identified in paragraph (a) of this AD, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so

that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if you have not eliminated the unsafe condition, specific actions you propose to address it.

(f) *Where can I get information about any already-approved alternative methods of compliance?* Contact Werner Koch, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Airplane Certification Office, 2601 Meacham Boulevard, Fort Worth, Texas 76193-0150;

telephone: (817) 222-5133; facsimile: (817) 222-5960.

(g) *What if I need to fly the airplane to another location to comply with this AD?* The FAA can issue a special flight permit under sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate your airplane to a location where you can accomplish the requirements of this AD.

(h) *Are any service bulletins incorporated into this AD by reference?*

(1) Actions required by this AD must be done in accordance with the following:

(i) Fairchild Service Bulletin No. 226-26-003, which incorporates the following pages:

Pages	Date
16	Issued: March 1, 2000.
14, 15	Issued: March 1, 2000, Revised: June 27, 2000.
17	Issued: March 1, 2000, Revised: October 2, 2000.
4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, and 13	Issued: March 1, 2000, Revised: January 19, 2001.
1, 2, 3, 8, and 9 and	Issued: March 1, 2000, Revised: August 10, 2001.

(ii) Fairchild Service Bulletin No. 227-26-002, which incorporates the following pages:

Pages	Date
1, 2, 8, and 9	Issued: March 1, 2000.
7	Issued: March 1, 2000, Revised: June 27, 2000.
3, 4, 5, and 6	Issued: March 1, 2000, Revised: October 2, 2000.

(2) The Director of the Federal Register approved this incorporation by reference under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(3) You can get copies from Fairchild Aircraft, Inc., P.O. Box 790490, San Antonio, Texas 78279-0490. You can look at copies at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

(j) *When does this amendment become effective?* This amendment becomes effective on November 21, 2001.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on October 2, 2001.

Michael Gallagher,

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 01-25397 Filed 10-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2000-NE-49-AD; Amendment 39-12461; AD 2001-20-13]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Pratt & Whitney PW4000 Series Turbofan Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.