

Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3362 or (202) 482-0194, respectively.

### The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Act are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all references to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

### Background

On July 17, 1986, the Department issued an antidumping duty order on in-shell pistachios from Iran. See Antidumping Duty Order; Certain In-Shell Pistachios From Iran, 51 FR 25922 (July 17, 1986). This order covers raw in-shell pistachios and specifically excludes roasted in-shell pistachios. See Certain In-Shell Pistachios From Iran; Clarification of Scope in Antidumping Duty Investigation, 51 FR 23254 (June 26, 1986). In October 1987, the United States imposed a trade embargo on imports of all products to the United States from Iran. Effective April 28, 2000, the Department of the Treasury lifted the embargo on imports of certain Iranian-origin foodstuffs (including pistachios) and carpets.

On July 31, 2001, the Department received a timely request from Tehran Negah Nima Trading Company, Inc., trading as Nima Trading Company (Nima), in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(c), for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on in-shell pistachios from Iran. This order has a July anniversary month.

### Period of Review

The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001.

### Initiation of Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2), Nima certified that: (1) It did not export subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation (POI) (April 1, 1985 through September 30, 1985); and (2) since the initiation of the investigation, on October 23, 1985, it has never been affiliated with any exporter or producer who exported the subject merchandise to the United States during the POI, including those exporters or producers not individually examined during the investigation. Nima also submitted documentation establishing the following: (1) The date on which it first shipped subject merchandise for export to the United States; (2) the volume of

that shipment; and (3) the date of the first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.

As Nima meets the eligibility requirements for a new shipper review, we are initiating a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on in-shell pistachios from Iran, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(ii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(i)(1), we intend to issue the preliminary results of this review no later than 180 days after the day on which this new shipper review is initiated.

Concurrent with publication of this notice, and in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(e), we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of the merchandise exported by Nima until the completion of this new shipper review.

The interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation notice is published in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(ii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214 and 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: October 2, 2001.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.*

[FR Doc. 01-25408 Filed 10-9-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-809]

### Certain Stainless Steel Flanges From India; Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 10, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Killiam or Robert James, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 8, Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5222, or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

### Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act)

requires the Department of Commerce (the Department) to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the month in which occurs the anniversary of the date of the order, or, if it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, within 365 days.

### Background

On March 22, 2001, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain stainless steel flanges from India, covering the period February 1, 2000 through January 31, 2001 (*Initiation of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 66 FR 16037, March 22, 2001). The preliminary results are currently due no later than October 31, 2001. The respondents are Echjay Forgings Ltd. (with affiliate Pushpaman), Isibars, Ltd., Panchmahal Steel Ltd., Patheja Forgings & Auto Parts, Ltd., and Viraj Forgings, Ltd.

### Extension of Time Limit

The Department has determined that because this review involves complex issues, such as comparison market selection and model definition, it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of review within the original 245 day time limit mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act and section 351.213(h)(1) of the Department's regulations. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until February 28, 2002 in accordance with section 351.213(h)(2).

Dated: October 2, 2001.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.*

[FR Doc. 01-25406 Filed 10-9-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-869, A-428-831, A-475-831, A-423-810, A-821-814, A-791-811, A-469-811, A-583-838]

### Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Structural Steel Beams From the People's Republic of China, Germany, Italy, Luxembourg, Russia, South Africa, Spain, and Taiwan

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** We are postponing the preliminary determinations in the antidumping duty investigations of structural steel beams from the People's Republic of China, Germany, Italy, Luxembourg, Russia, South Africa, Spain, and Taiwan.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 9, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

David Goldberger (Luxembourg) at (202) 482-4136; Katherine Johnson (Taiwan) at (202) 482-4929; Lyn Johnson (People's Republic of China) at (202) 482-5287; Thomas Schauer (Germany) at (202) 482-0410; Alysia Wilson (Italy) at (202) 482-0108; Hermes Pinilla (Russia) at (202) 482-3477; David Dirstine (South Africa) at (202) 482-4033; Jennifer Gehr (Spain) at (202) 482-1779; Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230.

*Applicable Statute and Regulations:* Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (April 2001).

Postponement of Preliminary Determinations: On June 12, 2001, the Department published the initiation of the antidumping duty investigations of imports of structural steel beams from People's Republic of China, Germany, Italy, Luxembourg, Russia, South Africa, Spain, and Taiwan. The notice of initiation stated that we would make our preliminary determinations for these antidumping duty investigations no later than 140 days after the date of issuance of the initiation (*i.e.*, October 30, 2001). See *Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Structural Steel Beams From the People's Republic of China, Germany, Italy, Luxembourg, Russia, South Africa, Spain, and Taiwan*, 66 FR 33048 (June 12, 2001).

On September 25, 2001, the petitioners<sup>1</sup> made a timely request pursuant to 19 CFR 351.205(e) for a 31-day postponement of the preliminary determinations, or until November 30, 2001. The petitioners requested a postponement of the preliminary

determinations in order to provide the Department additional time in which to review the responses and issue requests for clarification and additional information prior to the issuance of the preliminary determinations.

For the reasons identified by the petitioners, and because there are no compelling reasons to deny the request, we are postponing the preliminary determinations under section 733(c)(1) of the Act. We will make our preliminary determinations no later than November 30, 2001.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 733(f) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: October 2, 2001.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 01-25405 Filed 10-9-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-475-819]

#### Final Results of Sunset Review: Countervailing Duty Order on Certain Pasta From Italy

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review: Countervailing Duty Order on Certain Pasta from Italy.

**SUMMARY:** On June 1, 2001, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the countervailing duty order on certain pasta ("pasta") from Italy (66 FR 29771) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and adequate substantive comments filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties, and inadequate response from respondent interested parties, we determined to conduct an expedited (120-day) sunset review of this countervailing duty order. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we find that revocation of the countervailing duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy. The net countervailable subsidy and the nature of the subsidy are identified in the Final Results of Review section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 10, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Martha V. Douthit or Carole A. Showers,

Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050 or (202) 482-3330, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Act are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreement Act ("URAA"). The Department's procedures for the conduct of sunset reviews are set forth in Procedures for Conducting Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998) ("*Sunset Regulations*"), and in 19 CFR Part 351 (2000) in general. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98:3 Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) ("*Sunset Policy Bulletin*").

#### Scope of Order

Imports covered by this review are shipments of certain non-egg dry pasta in packages of five pounds (2.27 kilograms) or less, whether or not enriched or fortified or containing milk or other optional ingredients such as chopped vegetables, vegetable purees, milk, gluten, diastases, vitamins, coloring and flavorings, and up to two percent egg white. The pasta covered by this scope is typically sold in the retail market, in fiberboard or cardboard cartons, or polyethylene or polypropylene bags, of varying dimensions. Excluded from the scope of this order are refrigerated, frozen, or canned pastas, as well as all forms of egg pasta, with the exception of non-egg dry pasta containing up to two percent egg white. Also excluded are imports of organic pasta from Italy that are accompanied by the appropriate certificate issued by the Istituto Mediterraneo Di Certificazione ("IMC"), by Bioagricoop Scrl, by QC&I International Services, by Ecocert Italia, by the Conzorzio per il Controllo dei Prodotti Biologici, or by the Associazione Italiana per l'Agricoltura Biologica. The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable under item 1902.19.20 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States

<sup>1</sup> The petitioners are Committee for Fair Beam Imports ("CFBI") and its individual members, Northwestern Steel and Wire Company, Nucor Corporation, Nucor-Yamato Steel Company, and TXI-Chaparral Steel Company.