

*Estimated Annual Burden:* 4,250 hours.

b. State Vocational Rehabilitation Ticket to Work Information Sheet-SSA-1366. The information collected on Form SSA-1366 will be used by SSA's contracted PM when a State VRA elects to participate in the Program as an EN. In this case, form SSA-1366, when combined with the SSA-1365, is intended to meet the minimum information requirements for IWPs and to monitor the appropriateness of the IWPs as required under the Pub. L. 106-107. The respondents are VRAs acting as ENs under the Ticket to Work Program.

*Number of Respondents:* 21.

*Frequency of Response:* 132 annually per respondent.

*Average Burden Per Response:* 2 minutes.

*Estimated Annual Burden:* 92 hours.

**Please Note:** The Ticket to Work Program is being implemented in stages. The above represents the initial phase of the program with 13 participating states that include 21 State VR agencies. As the program continues to be phased in, each initial program year will result in a larger number of new tickets for the participating State VRs because existing clients will also be brought into the program.

c. Individual Work Plans (IWP) Information Sheet-SSA-1367. The information collected on Form SSA-1367 will be used to monitor the appropriateness of IWPs that have been assigned to ENs under the Ticket To Work Act. The respondents are ENs under the ticket to work program.

*Number of Respondents:* 31,450.

*Frequency of Response:* 1 annually per respondent.

*Average Burden Per Response:* 3 minutes.

*Estimated Annual Burden:* 1,573 hours.

Dated: October 2, 2001.

**Frederick W. Brickenkamp,**

*Reports Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 01-25303 Filed 10-5-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4191-02-U

## DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 3807]

### Universal Postal Union Reform Initiatives

**AGENCY:** Department of State.

**ACTION:** Notice of briefing.

The Department of State will host a briefing on Tuesday, November 6, 2001, to provide an update on reform initiatives at the Universal Postal Union (UPU).

The briefing will be held from 2 p.m. until approximately 4 p.m., on November 6, in Room 1105 of the Department of State, 2201 C Street, NW., Washington, DC. The briefing will be open to the public up to the capacity of the meeting room.

The briefing will provide information on the results of the proposals of the High-Level Group on the Future Development of the UPU, and the consideration of those proposals by the UPU Council of Administration. Information will also be provided about a current study of the remail provisions of Article 43 of the UPU Convention and other significant UPU-related issues. The briefing will be chaired by Ambassador E. Michael Southwick of the Department of State.

Entry to the Department of State building is controlled and will be facilitated by advance arrangements. In order to arrange admittance, persons desiring to attend the briefing should, no later than noon on November 5, 2001, notify the Office of Technical and Specialized Agencies, Bureau of International Organization Affairs, Department of State, preferably by fax, providing the name of the meeting and the individual's name, Social Security number, date of birth, professional affiliation, address and telephone number. The fax number to use is (202) 647-8902. Voice telephone is (202) 647-1044. This request applies to both government and non-government individuals.

All attendees must use the main entrance of the Department of State at 22nd and C Streets, NW. Please note that under current security restrictions, C Street is closed to vehicular traffic between 21st and 23rd Streets. Taxis may leave passengers at 21st and C Streets, 23rd and C Streets, or 22nd Street and Constitution Avenue. One of the following means of identification will be required for admittance: any U.S. driver's license with photo, a passport, or any U.S. Government agency identification card.

Questions concerning the briefing may be directed to Mr. Neil Boyer at (202) 647-1044 or via email at [boyerna@state.gov](mailto:boyerna@state.gov).

Dated: September 28, 2001.

**Margaret C. Jones,**

*Director, Office of Technical and Specialized Agencies, Bureau of International Organization Affairs, Department of State.*

[FR Doc. 01-25274 Filed 10-5-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-19-P

## OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

[Docket No. WTO/DS-239]

### WTO Dispute Settlement Proceeding Brought by Brazil Pertaining to Certain Measures Regarding Antidumping Methodology

**AGENCY:** Office of the United States Trade Representative.

**ACTION:** Notice; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR) is providing notice that on September 21, 2001, the United States received from Brazil a request for consultations under the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization (WTO Agreement) pertaining to certain measures regarding antidumping methodology as applied by the U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC). Brazil alleges that:

- Current U.S. methodology pursuant to which the DOC applies a *de minimis* standard of 0.5 percent in "sunset" reviews is inconsistent with Articles 5, 11 and 18 of the Agreement on Implementation of Article VI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994 (Antidumping Agreement, or ADA), insofar as these provisions allegedly require a 2 percent *de minimis* standard to be applied to both investigations and reviews; and
- The DOC's practice of "zeroing", when calculating the dumping margin, is disallowed under Articles 2 and 9 of the ADA, as interpreted in a prior case by a panel and the Appellate Body, in reviews as well as in investigations.

USTR invites written comments from the public concerning the issues raised in this dispute.

**DATES:** Although USTR will accept any comments received during the course of the dispute settlement proceedings, comments should be submitted on or before October 20, 2001 to be assured of timely consideration by USTR.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments to Sandy McKinzy, Monitoring and Enforcement Unit, Office of the General Counsel, Room 122, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20508, Attn: Brazil Antidumping Dispute. Telephone (202) 395-3582.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Katharine J. Mueller, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC (202) 395-0317.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 127(b) of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA) (19 U.S.C.

3537(b)(1)) requires that notice and opportunity for comment be provided after the United States submits or receives a request for the establishment of a WTO dispute settlement panel. Consistent with this obligation, but in an effort to provide additional opportunity for comment, USTR is providing notice that consultations have been requested pursuant to the WTO Dispute Settlement Understanding (DSU). If such consultations should fail to resolve the matter and a dispute settlement panel is established pursuant to the DSU, such panel, which would hold its meetings in Geneva, Switzerland, would be expected to issue a report on its findings and recommendations within six to nine months after it is established.

#### Major Issues Raised by Brazil

Section 213 of the URAA (amending section 733(b)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930) provides, in accordance with Article 5.8 of the ADA, that, for purposes of antidumping investigations, a dumping margin less than or equal to 2 percent is *de minimis*. However, § 351.106(c) of the DOC's regulation, 19 CFR 351.106(c), applies a 0.5 percent *de minimis* standard in the case of "sunset" reviews, which are conducted for purposes of determining whether an antidumping duty order should be revoked. Brazil claims that the DOC *de minimis* standard for reviews is inconsistent with the ADA because, according to Brazil, a 2 percent standard must be used in both investigations and reviews.

Brazil also argues that the United States practice of "zeroing," according to which negative dumping margins are counted as "zero" in both investigations and reviews, is inconsistent with the principle of fair comparison set out in Article 2 of the ADA. Brazil points out that the panel in European Communities—Anti-Dumping on Imports of Cotton-Type Bed Linen from India, WT/DS141/R, concluded that "zeroing" is inconsistent with the ADA, and that this finding was affirmed by the Appellate Body, WT/DS141/AB/R.

#### Public Comment: Requirements for Submissions

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning the issues raised in the dispute. Comments must be in English and provided in fifteen copies. A person requesting that information contained in a comment submitted by that person be treated as confidential business information must certify that such information is business confidential and would not customarily be released to

the public by the commenter. Confidential business information must be clearly marked, "BUSINESS CONFIDENTIAL" in a contrasting color ink at the top of each page of each copy.

Information or advice contained in a comment submitted, other than business confidential information, may be determined by USTR to be confidential in accordance with section 135(g)(2) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2155(g)(2)). If the submitter believes that information or advice may qualify as such, the submitter—

- (1) Must so designate the information or advice;
- (2) Must clearly mark the material as "SUBMITTED IN CONFIDENCE" in a contrasting color ink at the top of each page of each copy; and
- (3) Is encouraged to provide a non-confidential summary of the information or advice.

Pursuant to section 127(e) of the URAA (19 U.S.C. 3537(e)), USTR will maintain a file on this dispute settlement proceeding, accessible to the public, in the USTR Reading Room, which is located at 1724 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20508. The public file will include non-confidential comments received by USTR from the public with respect to the dispute; if a dispute settlement panel is convened, the U.S. submissions to that panel, the submissions, or non-confidential summaries of submissions, to the panel received from other participants in the dispute, as well as the report of the panel; and, if applicable, the report of the Appellate Body. An appointment to review the public file (Docket WTO/DS-239, Brazil Antidumping Dispute) may be made by calling Brenda Webb, (202) 395-6186. The USTR Reading Room is open to the public from 9:30 a.m. to 12 noon and 1 p.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

#### A. Jane Bradley,

Assistant United States Trade Representative for Monitoring and Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 01-25277 Filed 10-5-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3190-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

[Summary Notice No. PE-2001-78]

#### Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Dispositions of Petitions Issued

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of Dispositions of prior petitions.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption part 11 of Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR), this notice contains a summary of dispositions of certain petitions previously received. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Forest Rawls (202) 267-8033, Sandy Buchana—Sumter (202) 267-7271, or Vanessa Wilkins (202) 267-8029, Office of Rulemaking (ARM-1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85 and 11.91.

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 3, 2001.

**Donald P. Byrne,**

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

#### Disposition of Petitions

*Docket No:* FAA-2001-10637.

*Petitioner:* Fullerton Municipal Airport and Eagle Flight.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 135.251, 135.255, 135.353, and appendixes I and J.

*Description of Relief Sought/Disposition:* To permit Fullerton Municipal Airport and Eagle Flight to conduct local sightseeing flights at Fullerton Municipal Airport, Fullerton, California, for Eagle Flight 15 during October 2001, for compensation or hire, without complying with certain anti-drug and alcohol misuse prevention requirements of part 135.

*Grant, 09/27/2001, Exemption No. 7630*

*Docket No.:* FAA-2001-9982.

*Petitioner:* Cessna Aircraft Company.  
*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR §25.785(b).

*Description of Relief Sought/Disposition:* To permit Cessna to equip Cessna Model 608 Sovereign airplanes that were manufactured before January 1, 2004, with multiple-occupancy side-facing seats that are not designed to include the general occupant protection requirements of §25.785(b).

*Partial Grant, 09/07/2001, Exemption No. 7625*

*Docket No.:* FAA-2001-10166.

*Petitioner:* Country Flying Education, Inc.