

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket #" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

**David P. Boergers,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-23544 Filed 9-20-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP01-22-002]

#### North Baja Pipeline LLC; Notice of Amendment

September 17, 2001.

Take notice that on September 6, 2001, North Baja Pipeline, LLC (North Baja) filed in Docket No. CP00-68-001, an amendment to its initial application filed in Docket No. CP00-68-000, requesting authority to modify pipe wall thickness and compressor horsepower, all as more fully set forth in the application. North Baja is not proposing any change to the initial system design or capacity. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket #" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

Any questions regarding this application should be directed to Mr. John A. Roscher, Director, Rates and Regulatory Affairs, North Baja Pipeline

LLC, 1400 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 900, Portland, Oregon 97201, at (503) 833-4254.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before October 9, 2001, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right

to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

**David P. Boergers,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-23542 Filed 9-20-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP00-475-000]

#### Trunkline Gas Company; Notice of Technical Conference

September 17, 2001.

On August 15, 2000, Trunkline Gas Company (Trunkline) filed in Docket No. RP00-475-000 to comply with Order No. 637.

Take notice that the technical conference to discuss the various issues raised by Trunkline's filing that was scheduled for September 19, 2001, is canceled. The technical conference is rescheduled to be held on Wednesday, October 3, 2001, at 10 a.m., in a room to be designated at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,

888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. Persons protesting any aspects of Trunkline's filing should be prepared to defend their positions as well as discuss alternatives.

The issues to be discussed will include but are not limited to

Segmentation  
Flexible Point Rights  
Discount Provisions  
Imbalance Services  
Penalties  
Operational Flow Orders

The above schedule may be changed as circumstances warrant.

**David P. Boergers,**

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-23543 Filed 9-20-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6622-1]

### Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

*Responsible Agency:* Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or [www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa](http://www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa). Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements.

Filed September 10, 2001 Through September 14, 2001.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

*EIS No. 010348, Draft EIS, BLM, NV,* Nevada Test and Training Range Resource Management Plan, (formerly known as the Nellis Air Force Range (NAFR), Implementation, Clark, Nye and Lincoln Counties, NV, Comment Period Ends: December 20, 2001, Contact: Jeffy G. Steinmetz (702) 647-5097.

*EIS No. 010349, Draft EIS, NOA, MI,* Indiana Lake Michigan Coastal Program Document, Federal Approval and Implementation, Coastal Zone Management, Lake, Porter and LaPorte Counties, MI, Comment Period Ends: November 05, 2001, Contact: Diana Olinger (301) 713-3155.

*EIS No. 010350, Draft EIS, NOA,* Dolphin and Wahoo Fishery Management Plan, Establishing Fishery Management Units, Stock Status Determination and Harvesting Restrictions, Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis, South Atlantic, Caribbean, and Gulf of Mexico, Comment Period Ends: November 05, 2001, Contact: Joseph E. Powers (727) 570-5301. This document is available on the Internet at: DEQ: <http://www.deq.state.mt.us/eis.asp> and KNF: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r1/kootenai>.

*EIS No. 010351, Draft EIS, FHW, IL,* Lake County Transportation Improvement Project, To Identify a System of Strategic Roadway, Rail, and Bus Improvements, Transportation Management Strategies, Lake County, IN, Comment Period Ends: November 05, 2001, Contact: Norman R. Stoner (217) 492-4640.

*EIS No. 010352, Final EIS, BLM, NM,* San Felipe Pueblo Land Exchange, Involves Exchanges Federal Lands to Private Lands, Acquisition, Sandoval and Santa Fe Cos. NM, Wait Period Ends: October 22, 2001, Contact: Debby Lucero (505) 761-8787.

### Amended Notices

*EIS No. 010319, Draft EIS, DOE, AZ,* Umatilla Generating Project, Construction and Operation, Gas-Fired Combined Cycle Electric Power Generation Plant, Nominal Generation Capacity of 550 megawatts (MW) Connection to the Regional Grid at McNary Substation, Umatilla County, AZ, Due: October 15, 2001, Contact: Inez Graetzer (503) 230-3786. Published FR 08-24-01 Correction to the State Coded from OR to AZ.

*EIS No. 010327, Final EIS, FHW, NB,* Antelope Valley Study, Implementation of Stormwater Management, Transportation Improvements and Community Revitalization, Major Investment Study, City of Lincoln, Lancaster County, NB, Due: October 01, 2001, Contact: Edward Kosola (402) 437-3973. Published FR-08-31-01—Correction to Documents status from Draft to Final which changes the comment period from 45 to 30 wait period.

Dated: September 18, 2001.

**Joseph C. Montgomery,**

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 01-23641 Filed 9-20-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6621-9]

### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed

to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated May 18, 2001 (97 FR 27647).

### Draft EISs

*ERP No. D-FHW-D40313-MD Rating EC2, MD-210* (Indian Head Highway) Multi-Modal Study, MD-210 Improvements between I-95/I-495 (Capitol Beltway) and MD-228 Funding and US COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Prince George's County, MD.

*Summary:* EPA is concerned with the potential impacts to streams, Chesapeake Bay Critical areas, historic resources, residential, business/commercial, and church/school properties. EPA has also requested additional information regarding the environmental justice evaluation. In general, EPA supports the concept of improving the functioning of an existing transportation facility through the addition of grade-separated interchanges, overpasses, and High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lanes.

*ERP No. D-FHW-E40786-FL Rating EC2, I-4 Corridor Improvements,* Upgrading the Safety and Mobility of the existing I-4, from west of FL-528 (Bee Line Expressway) interchange in Orange County to east of FL-472 interchange in Volusia County, Funding, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, NPDES Permit, Orange, Seminole, and Volusia Counties, FL.

*Summary:* EPA is concerned that mobility will not be improved unless the project is integrated better with plans for mass transit and, accordingly, requested additional information. The assessment of cumulative impacts and mitigation both require additional analysis. EPA is also concerned about potential impacts to ground water resources from proposed storm water ponds.

*ERP No. D-FHW-E40787-AL Rating EC2, Memphis to Atlanta Corridor Study (DPS-A002(002)), Proposal to Build Highway from the Mississippi/Alabama State Line to Interstate 65, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Colbert, Franklin, Lauderdale, Lawrence, Limestone and Morgan Counties, AL.*

*Summary:* EPA expressed concern for and additional information regarding: wetlands mitigation; secondary and cumulative impacts to aquatic resources; noise; and impacts to minority and low-income individuals.

*ERP No. D-FHW-E40788-AL Rating EO2, Memphis to Atlanta Corridor, To Construct from I65 in North Central Alabama Eastward to the Georgia State*