

www.ia.ita.doc.gov. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our verification and analysis of the comments received, we have changed our approach to the margin calculation for Panchmahal and Isibars. See the Decision Memo.

Final Results of the Review

We determine that the following percentage weighted-average margins exists for the period February 1, 1999, through January 31, 2000:

CERTAIN FORGED STAINLESS STEEL FLANGES FROM INDIA

Producer/manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Echjay	0
Isibars	6.76
Panchmahal	61.31
Patheja	210.00
Viraj	21.10

Where applicable we calculated import-specific duty assessment rates in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b). The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service to assess antidumping duties on appropriate entries, by applying the assessment rate to the entered value of the merchandise.

In addition, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice for all shipments of stainless steel flanges from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) For the companies reviewed, the cash deposit rates will be the rates listed above, (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a previous segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the most recent final results in which that manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or in any previous segment of this proceeding, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the manufacturer of the merchandise in these final results of review or in the most recent segment of the proceeding in which that manufacturer participated; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this review or in any

previous segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will be 162.14 percent, the all others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred, and in the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.214.

September 5, 2001.

Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix—Issues in Decision Memorandum

Comments

Isibars: Petitioners object to Isibars' sales data revisions; Isibars objects to the use of constructed value instead of third country sales; Isibars objects to the Department's surrogate company choice; Isibars objects to the financial results period used for surrogate expense data; Isibars claims it did not get service of Echjay's published annual reports;

Panchmahal: Petitioners claim Panchmahal's misreported sales merit adverse facts available; Petitioners urge a more adverse approach to Constructed Value (moot); Petitioners urge a more adverse approach to Brokerage and Handling (moot); Panchmahal objects to the expense ratios from a surrogate company (moot);

Viraj: Petitioners claim Viraj improperly reported duty drawback; Petitioners claim fixed overhead was understated; Petitioners claim net interest expense was understated; Viraj asks that prices

and costs be calculated per-piece, not per-kilogram; Viraj argues that the DIFMER Test and Per-Kilogram Costs distort results; Viraj objects to comparisons of rough to finished flanges; Viraj objects to the comparison of ASTM to DIN standard merchandise; Viraj objects to the use of its reported weights instead of its standard weights.

[FR Doc. 01-23330 Filed 9-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Cancellation of Partially Closed Meeting of the Manufacturing Extension Partnership National Advisory Board Scheduled For September 20, 2001

AGENCY: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Meeting Cancellation.

SUMMARY: The partially closed meeting of the Manufacturing Extension Partnership National Advisory Board, originally scheduled for September 20, 2001 at the National Institute of Standards and Technology is hereby canceled.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Contact Linda Acierto, Senior Policy Advisor, Manufacturing Extension Partnership, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-4800, telephone 301-975-5033 or e-mail linda.acierto@nist.gov.

Dated: September 14, 2001.

Michael R. Rubin,

Acting Chief Counsel for Technology.

[FR Doc. 01-23444 Filed 9-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice is being republished to provide an additional thirty (30) day comment period. The original notice was published on September 11, 2001 (66 FR 47176). Changes to Page 2 of the DLA Form 1822 have been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget. The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information

under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The Office of Management and Budget has approved this information collection requirement for use through September 30, 2001.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by October 19, 2001.

Title, Form Number, and OMB

Number: End-Use Certificate; DLA Form 1822; OMB Number 0704-0382.

Type of Request: Extension.

Number of Respondents: 40,000.

Response per Respondent: 1.

Annual Responses: 40,000.

Average Burden per Response: 20 minutes.

Annual Burden Hours: 13,200.

Needs and Uses: All individuals wishing to acquire government property identified as Munitions List Items (MLI) or Commerce Control List Items (CCLI) must complete this form each time they enter into a transaction. It is used to clear recipients to ensure their eligibility to conduct business with the Government: that they are not debarred bidders; Specially Designated National (SDN) or Blocked Persons; have not violated U.S. export laws; will not divert the property to denied/sanctioned countries, unauthorized destinations or sell to debarred/Bidder Experience List firms or individuals. The End-Use Certificate (EUC) informs the recipients that when this property is to be exported, they must comply with the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR), 22 CFR parts 120 *et seq.*; Export Administration Regulations (EAR), 15 CFR parts 730 *et seq.*; Office of Foreign Asset Controls (OFAC), 31 CFR 500 *et seq.*; and the United States Customs Service rules and regulation. The form is available electronically.

Affected Public: Individuals or Households; Business or Other For-Profit; Not-For-Profit Institutions.

Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: Mr. Edward C. Springer. Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Mr. Springer at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

DoD Clearance Officer: Mr. Robert Cushing. Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Cushing, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302.

Dated: September 13, 2001.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 01-23283 Filed 9-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EF01-4021-000, et al.]

Southwestern Power Administration, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

September 12, 2001.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Southwestern Power Administration

[Docket No. EF01-4021-000]

Take notice that the Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Energy, on August 31, 2001, submitted to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) for confirmation and approval on a final basis, pursuant to the authority vested in the FERC by Delegation Order No. 0204-172, November 24, 1999, an annual power rate of \$353,700 for the sale of power and energy by the Southwestern Power Administration (Southwestern) from the Robert Douglas Willis Hydropower Project (Robert D. Willis) to Sam Rayburn Municipal Power Agency (SRMPA). The rate was confirmed and approved on an interim basis by the Deputy Secretary in Rate Order No. SWPA-46 for the period October 1, 2001, through September 30, 2005, and has been submitted to FERC for confirmation and approval on a final basis for the same period. The annual rate of \$353,700 is based on the 2001 Revised Power Repayment Study for Robert D. Willis and represents an annual increase in revenue of \$15,768 or 4.7 percent to satisfy repayment criteria. This rate supersedes the annual power rate of \$337,932, which FERC approved on a final basis January 20, 2000, under Docket No. EF99-4081-000 for the period October 1, 1999, through September 30, 2003.

Comment date: October 3, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Southwestern Power Administration

[Docket No. EF01-4081-000]

Take notice that the Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Energy, on August 31, 2001, submitted to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) for confirmation and approval on a final

basis, pursuant to the authority vested in the FERC by Delegation Order No. 0204-172, November 24, 1999, an annual power rate of \$2,077,632 for the sale of power and energy by the Southwestern Power Administration (Southwestern) from the Sam Rayburn Hydropower Project (Rayburn) to Sam Rayburn Dam Electric Cooperative, Inc. (SRDEC). The rate was confirmed and approved on an interim basis by the Deputy Secretary in Rate Order No. SWPA-47 for the period October 1, 2001, through September 30, 2005, and has been submitted to FERC for confirmation and approval on a final basis for the same period. The annual rate of \$2,077,632 is based on the 2001 Revised Power Repayment Study for Rayburn and represents an annual decrease in revenue of \$90,504, or 4.2 percent, the lowest possible rate required to meet cost recovery criteria.

This rate supersedes the annual power rate of \$2,168,136, which FERC approved on a final basis December 7, 1994, under Docket No. EF94-4021-000 for the period January 1, 1994, through September 30, 1998. The rate was extended for three years, in one-year intervals, with the most recent effective October 1, 2000, through September 30, 2001, in accordance with the Secretary of Energy's interim approval, dated September 15, 2000, 65 FR 55953.

Comment date: October 3, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. NRG International Holdings (No. 2) GmbH

[Docket No. EG01-298-000]

Take notice that on August 31, 2001, NRG Holdings Company (No. 2) GmbH (Holdings), filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission), an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Holdings is a Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung (limited liability company) existing under the laws of Switzerland, and will be engaged either directly or indirectly through one or more affiliates, as defined in section 2(a)(11)(B) of PUHCA, 15 U.S.C. 79b(a)(11)(B), and exclusively in the business of owning and/or operating all or part of one or more eligible facilities, and selling electric energy at wholesale. Holdings will hold an equity interest in TermoRio S.A., which is developing and will own a 1,040 MW gas-fired cogeneration facility located in the City of Duque de Caxias, State of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (the Facility). The Facility will be an eligible facility pursuant to Section 32(a)(2) of PUHCA.