

on the occurrence of his or her second registration anniversary and every three years thereafter. On each occasion, the training must be completed within 120 days after the registered person's anniversary date. A registered person who has not completed the Regulatory Element within the prescribed time periods is deemed to be inactive until the Regulatory Element has been fulfilled, and may not conduct, or be compensated for, activities requiring a securities registration.

The Securities Industry/Regulatory Council on Continuing Education ("Council") is responsible for the oversight of the Continuing Education Program for the securities industry. The Council's duties include recommending and helping to develop specific content and questions for the Regulatory Element, and the minimum core curricula for the Firm Element. The Council is comprised of 14 representatives from a broad cross section of broker/dealers, and six self-regulatory organizations, including the Amex. The Council, working with representatives from the North American Securities Administrators Association, and with the knowledge of the Council's SEC liaison, has developed a model under which broker/dealers may deliver the Regulatory Element computer-based training on the firm's premises. The model requires that the broker/dealer meet certain conditions for in-firm delivery relating to computer hardware and the security of the training environment. The proposed amendments to Amex Rule 341A encapsulate the delivery requirements as specified by the Council.⁶ Firms of any size may take advantage of the in-firm delivery procedures.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with section 6(b) of the Act⁷ in general, and furthers the objectives of section 6(b)(5)⁸ in particular in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principals of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating

transactions in securities, and to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system.

The Exchange also believes the proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(c)(3)(B) of the Act.⁹ Under this section, it is the Exchange's responsibility to prescribe standards for training, experience and competence for persons associated with Exchange members and member organization. The Exchange has proposed this rule change to establish an additional mechanism for the administration of Regulatory Element of the Continuing Education Program, which will help enable registered persons to satisfy their continuing education obligations.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

Amex does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not:

- (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest;
- (ii) Impose any significant burden on competition; and
- (iii) Become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹⁰ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.¹¹ At any time within 60

days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

The Exchange has requested that the Commission accelerate the operative date. The Commission finds good cause to designate the proposal to become operative immediately upon filing with the Commission because such designation is consistent with the

protection of investors and the public interest. Acceleration of the operative date will allow in-firm delivery of the Regulatory Element of the Continuing Education Program at the Amex without unnecessary delay. For these reasons, the Commission finds good cause to designate that the proposal is both effective and operative upon filing with the Commission.¹²

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposal is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Amex. All submissions refer to file number SR-Amex-2001-61 and should be submitted by September 25, 2001.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹³

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-22123 Filed 8-31-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster #3362]

State of Tennessee; Amendment #1

In accordance with a notice received from the Federal Emergency Management Agency, dated August 27, 2001, the above numbered declaration is hereby amended to include Shelby County, Tennessee as a disaster area due to damages caused by severe storms and

⁶ The Exchange modeled the proposed rule change after Rules the Commission approved allowing in-firm delivery of the Regulatory Element for the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. and the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 43701 (December 11, 2000), 65 FR 79143 (December 18, 2000) (SR-NASD-00-64) and 43838 (January 12, 2001), 66 FR 6722 (January 2, 2001) (SR-NYSE-00-55).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(c)(3)(B).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹¹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹² For purposes only of accelerating the operative date of this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

¹³ 17 CFR 200.30-3(c)(12).

flooding that occurred on July 27, 2001 and continued through August 22, 2001.

In addition, applications for economic injury loans from small businesses located in the following contiguous counties may be filed until the specified date at the previously designated location: Fayette and Tipton counties in Tennessee; Crittenden County in Arkansas; and DeSoto and Marshall counties in Mississippi. All other contiguous counties have been previously declared.

For economic injury the number is 9M4700 for Arkansas and 9M4800 for Mississippi.

All other information remains the same, i.e., the deadline for filing applications for physical damage is October 15, 2001, and for loans for economic injury the deadline is May 16, 2002.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008)

Dated: August 28, 2001.

Herbert L. Mitchell,

Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-22144 Filed 10-31-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 3740]

U.S. Advisory Commission on Public Diplomacy; Notice of Meeting

The U.S. Advisory Commission on Public Diplomacy will meet on Wednesday, September 19, 2001, in Room 600, 301 4th St., SW., Washington, DC from 3:30 p.m. to 5 p.m.

The Commission will discuss public diplomacy strategies as they relate to the Middle East peace process and U.S. policies toward Iraq.

Members of the general public may attend the meeting, although attendance of public members will be limited to the seating available. Access to the building is controlled, and individual building passes are required for all attendees. Persons who plan to attend should contact Bruce Gregory at (202) 619-4457.

Dated: August 27, 2001.

Bruce Gregory,

U.S. Advisory Commission on Public Diplomacy, U.S. Department of State.

[FR Doc. 01-22121 Filed 8-31-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

Reports, Forms and Recordkeeping Requirements; Agency Information Collection Activity Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DOT.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended), this notice announces the Department of Transportation's (DOT) intention to request the extension of a previously approved collection.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received by October 4, 2001 to: Attention DOT/OST Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Docket Library, Room 10102, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. James E. Ware, US Department of Transportation, (202) 366-2019, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Office of the Secretary

Title: Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Regulations For Federal and Federally Assisted Programs.

OMB Control Number: 2105-0508.

Affected Public: Federal Government State, Local or Tribal Government, individuals, business, farms and not-for-profit institutions.

Annual Estimated Burden: 29,043.

Comments are invited on: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Issued in Washington, DC on August 28, 2001.

Michael Robinson,

Information Resource Management, United States Department of Transportation.

[FR Doc. 01-22157 Filed 8-31-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Approval of Noise Compatibility Program; Colorado Springs Airport; Colorado Springs, CO

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces its findings on the noise compatibility program submitted by the Director of Aviation for Colorado Springs Airport under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 47504(b) and 14 CFR part 150. These findings are made in recognition of the description of Federal and non-Federal responsibilities in Senate Report No. 96-52 (1980). On February 8, 2001, the FAA determined that the noise exposure maps submitted by the Director of Aviation under part 150 were in compliance with applicable requirements. On August 7, 2001, the Associate Administrator for Airports approved the Colorado Springs Airport noise compatibility program. All of the program elements were approved. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** The effective date of the FAA's approval of the Colorado Springs Airport noise compatibility program is August 7, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dennis G. Ossenkop; Federal Aviation Administration; Northwest Mountain Region; Airports Division, ANM-611; 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington, 98055-4056. Documents reflecting this FAA action may be reviewed at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice announces that the FAA has given its overall approval to the noise compatibility program for Colorado Springs Airport, effective August 7, 2001. Under 49 U.S.C. 47504(a) an airport operator who has previously submitted a noise exposure map may submit to the FAA a noise compatibility program which sets forth the measures taken or proposed by the airport operator for the reduction of existing noncompatible land uses and prevention of additional noncompatible land uses within the area covered by the noise exposure maps. 49 U.S.C. 47503(a)(1) requires such a program to be developed in consultation with interested and affected parties including the state, local communities, government agencies, airport users, and FAA personnel.

Each airport noise compatibility program developed in accordance with Federal Aviation Regulation (FAR) part