

**Final Results of Reviews**

We determine that the following weighted-average margins exist for the period September 1, 1999 through March 31, 2000:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
China Kingdom .....	57.87
Nantong Shengfa .....	14.87
Weishan Fukang .....	14.40

**Assessment Rates**

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b), we have calculated importer-specific ad valorem duty assessment rates. We will direct the Customs Service to assess the resulting percentage margins against the entered Customs values for the subject merchandise on each of that importer's entries under the relevant order during the review period (see 19 CFR 351.212(a)). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct the Customs Service to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties all entries for any importer for whom the assessment rate is de minimis (i.e., less than 0.50 percent). For entries from the PRC non-market economy (NME) entity companies (i.e., PRC exporters which are not entitled to separate rates), the Customs Service shall assess ad valorem duties at the PRC-wide rate. Because the PRC-wide entity was not reviewed during this period of review (POR), the PRC-wide was not reviewed during this period of review (POR), the PRC-wide rate remains that established in the administrative review for the most recent period.

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of new shipper reviews for all shipments of freshwater crawfish tail meat from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be the rates shown above except that, for firms whose weighted-average margins are less than 0.5 percent and therefore *de minimis*, the Department shall require no deposit of estimated antidumping duties; (2) for previously-reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters with separate rates, the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established for the most recent period; (3) for all other PRC

exporters, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate, 201.63 percent; and (4) for all other non-PRC exporters of the subject merchandise, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 351.305(a)(3) of the Department's regulations. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These new shipper reviews and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 20, 2001.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**  
*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

**Appendix—List of Issues**

1. Use of Australian Data as a Surrogate Value for Whole Live Freshwater Crawfish
2. Use of Mexican Data as a Surrogate Value for Whole Live Freshwater Crawfish
3. Crawfish Grown in Mexico Are Wild Like Crawfish Grown in China
4. Comment Periods for the Mexican and Australian Reports were Insufficient
5. Use of Factual Findings Related to Surrogate Values for Whole Live Freshwater Crawfish
6. Spanish Export Prices Are not Appropriate as a Surrogate Value for Whole Live Freshwater Crawfish
7. Application of The Continued Dumping and Subsidy Offset Act (Byrd Amendment)
8. Crawfish By-Product Adjustment
9. Ministerial Error in Calculation of the By-Product Adjustment
10. Application of Partial Facts Available for China Kingdom
11. Finnish Production Data from the Department's July 31, 2001 "Crawfish Research Report" Memorandum

12. Department's Findings from "Crawfish Research Report" Memorandum  
[FR Doc. 01-21608 Filed 8-24-01; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A-588-835]

**Fifth Administrative Review of Oil Country Tubular Goods From Japan: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of rescission of the antidumping duty administrative review for the period August 1, 1999 through July 31, 2000.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), the Department received a timely request from petitioner U.S. Steel Group, a unit of USX Corporation, to conduct an administrative review of the sales of Sumitomo Metal Industries. On September 29, 2000, the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on oil country tubular goods (OCTG) for the period of review (POR) of August 1, 1999 to July 31, 2000. Because the interested party has withdrawn its request for review, the Department is rescinding this review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 27, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Doug Campau, Holly Hawkins, or Maureen Flannery at Enforcement Group III, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-1395, 202-482-0414, and (202) 482-3020 respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Applicable Statute and Regulations**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (2000).

**Background**

On August 31, 2000, the Department received a timely request from

petitioner U.S. Steel Group that we conduct an administrative review of the sales of Sumitomo Metal Industries. On September 29, 2000, the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on oil country tubular goods (OCTG) for the period of review (POR) of August 1, 1999 to July 31, 2000, in order to determine whether merchandise imported into the United States is being sold at dumped prices. On October 2, 2000, the Department published a notice of initiation of the antidumping administrative review on OCTG from Japan, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i). See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 65 FR 58733 (October 2, 2000). On May 17, 2001, petitioner U.S. Steel Group withdrew its request for review. On August 3, 2001, we distributed a memorandum which notified interested parties for our intent to rescind this review. We received no comments. See *Memorandum to Barbara E. Tillman from Holly Hawkins: Oil Country Tubular Goods (OCTG) from Japan: Intent to Rescind Administrative Review*.

#### Rescission of Review

Pursuant to our regulations, the Department will rescind an administrative review, "if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review." See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). This section further provides that the Secretary may extend this time limit if the Secretary decides that it is reasonable to do so. See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). Although the interested party's withdrawal of its request for review was not within the 90-day time limit, there were no objections to the withdrawal from other interested parties, and the Department has no compelling reason to deny the request. As a result, we are rescinding this administrative review for the period August 1, 1999 through July 31, 2000. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions to the U.S. Customs Service.

Dated: August 20, 2001.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary, Enforcement Group III.*

[FR Doc. 01-21607 Filed 8-24-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-504]

#### Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Petroleum Wax Candles From the People's Republic of China

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 27, 2001.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Matthew Renkey or Abdelali Elouaradia, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VII, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2312 or (202) 482-1374, respectively.

#### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

#### Background

On February 28, 2001, and in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(c), the Department received a timely request from Shanghai New Star Im/Ex Co., Ltd. (Shanghai) for the initiation of a new shipper review of this antidumping duty order which has a February semiannual anniversary month. On March 14 and 16, 2001, Shanghai clarified in additional submissions that it had only one shipment during the period of review (POR) and that there have been no additional shipments of the subject merchandise to the United States, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv)(B). On March 28, 2001, the Department published its initiation of this new shipper review for the period August 1, 2000 through January 31, 2001 (66 FR 16903).

#### Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Due to the complexities of this case, including evaluating Shanghai's factors of production information, we find that the case is extraordinarily complicated and that we cannot make a preliminary determination by the current deadline of September 17, 2001. Therefore, in

accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and section 351.214(i)(2) of the Department's regulations, the Department is extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of this new shipper review by 120 days, until no later than January 15, 2002.

Dated: August 16, 2001.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.*

[FR Doc. 01-21604 Filed 8-24-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-588-046]

#### Polychloroprene Rubber from Japan: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of rescission of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On January 31, 2001, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** the notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polychloroprene rubber from Japan for Denki Kagaku Kogyo K.K. (Denka) and Tosoh Corporation (Tosoh). See 66 FR 8379. This review was requested by the petitioner, DuPont Dow Elastomers L.L.C. (DuPont), and covers the period December 1, 1999, through November 30, 2000. We are now rescinding this review as a result of DuPont's timely withdrawal of its request for an administrative review for Denka and the non-shipper status of Tosoh.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 27, 2001.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Paige Rivas or Ron Trentham, Group II, Office 4, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0651 or 482-6320, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In