

certain non-recurring subsidies which have not been previously allocated.

### Final Results of Review

In accordance with section 351.221(b)(4)(i) of the Department's regulations, we calculated an individual subsidy rate for ALZ, the only producer/exporter subject to this administrative review. For the period September 4, 1998 through December 31, 1998, we determine the net subsidy rate for ALZ to be 3.25 percent; for January 1, 1999 and for the period May 11, 1999 through December 31, 1999, we determine the net subsidy rate for ALZ to be 1.78 percent. (In accordance with section 703(d) of the Act, countervailing duties will not be assessed on entries made during the period January 2, 1999 through May 10, 1999.)

We will instruct the Customs to assess countervailing duties as indicated above. The Department will also instruct Customs to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the 1999 rate on the f.o.b. value of all shipments of the subject merchandise from ALZ entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review.

Because the URAA replaced the general rule in favor of a country-wide rate with a general rule in favor of individual rates for investigated and reviewed companies, the procedures for establishing countervailing duty rates, including those for non-reviewed companies, are now essentially the same as those in antidumping cases, except as provided for in section 777A(e)(2)(B) of the Act. The requested review will normally cover only those companies specifically named. See section 351.213(b) of the Department's regulations. Pursuant to the Department's regulations at section 351.212(c), for all companies for which a review was not requested, duties must be assessed at the cash deposit rate, and cash deposits must continue to be collected, at the rate previously ordered. As such, the countervailing duty cash deposit rate applicable to a company can no longer change, except pursuant to a request for a review of that company. See *Federal-Mogul Corporation v. United States*, 822 F.Supp. 782 (CIT 1993), and *Floral Trade Council v. United States*, 822 F.Supp. 766 (CIT 1993). Therefore, the cash deposit rates for all companies, except those covered by this review, are not changed by the results of this review.

Therefore, we will instruct Customs to continue to collect cash deposits for

non-reviewed companies at the most recent company-specific or country-wide rate applicable to that company. Accordingly, the cash deposit rates that will be applied to non-reviewed companies covered by this order are those established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding conducted under the URAA. If such a review has not been conducted, the rate established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding pursuant to the statutory provisions that were in effect prior to the URAA amendments is applicable. See *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Mexico: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 65 FR 13368, 13369 (March 13, 2000). These rates shall apply to all non-reviewed companies until a review of a company assigned these rates is requested. In addition, for the periods September 4, 1998 through January 1, 1999 and May 11, 2000 through December 31, 1999, the assessment rates applicable to all non-reviewed companies covered by this order are the cash deposit rates in effect at the time of entry.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with the Department's regulations at section 351.305(a). Timely written notification of return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1) and 19 U.S.C. 1677f(i)(1)).

Dated: August 21, 2001.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

### Appendix—List of Issues Discussed in the Decision Memorandum

#### *Methodology and Background Information*

Responding Producers  
Benchmarks for Long-term Loans and  
Discount Rates  
Equity Methodology  
Allocation Period

#### *Analysis of Programs*

- I. Programs Determined to Confer Subsidies
  - A. 1985 ALZ Share Subscriptions
  - B. 1987 ALZ Common Share Transaction Between the GOB and Sidmar
  - C. Industrial Reconversion Zones
    1. Alfin

2. Albufin
- D. Regional Subsidies under the Economic Expansion Law of 1970
  1. Expansion Real Estate Tax Exemption
  2. Accelerated Depreciation
- E. Belgian Industrial Finance Company ("Belfin") Loans
- F. Societe Nationale de Credite a l'Industrie ("SNCI") Loans
- G. Subsidies Provided to Sidmar that are Attributable to ALZ
  1. 1984 Purchase of Sidmar's Common and Preference Shares
  2. Conversion of Sidmar's Debt to Equity (OCPC-to-PB) in 1985
  3. SidInvest
- II. Programs Determined to Be Not Used During the POR
  - A. Government of Belgium Programs
    1. Subsidies Provided to Sidmar that are Potentially Attributable to ALZ Water Purification Grants
    2. Societe Nationale pour la Reconstruction des Secteurs Nationaux
    3. Regional subsidies under the 1970 Law Investment and Interest Subsidies
    4. Reduced Social Security Contributions Pursuant to the Maribel Scheme (Article 35 of the Law of June 29, 1981)
  - B. Government of Flanders Programs
    1. Regional subsidies under the 1970 Law
      - a. Corporate Income Tax Exemption
      - b. Capital Registration Tax Exemption
      - c. Government Loan Guarantees
      - d. 1993 Expansion Grant
    2. Special Depreciation Allowance
    3. Preferential Short-Term Export Credit
    4. Interest Rate Rebates
  - C. Programs of the European Commission
    1. ECSC Article 54 Loans and Interest Rebates
    2. ECSC Article 56 Conversion Loans, Interest Rebates and Redeployment Aid
    3. European Social Fund Grants
    4. European Regional Development Fund Grants
    5. Resider II Program

#### *Analysis of Comments*

- Comment 1: GOB Equity Infusions  
 Comment 2: Average Useful Life for Non-recurring Subsidies Benefitting Sidmar Which Have Not Been Previously Allocated  
 Comment 3: Reduced Social Security Contributions Pursuant to the Maribel Scheme  
 Comment 4: Cash Deposit Rate for Future Entries

[FR Doc. 01-21606 Filed 8-24-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Institute of Standards and Technology

#### Announcing a Meeting of the Computer System Security and Privacy Advisory Board

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Standards and Technology, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App., notice is hereby given that the Computer System Security and Privacy Advisory Board (CSSPAB) will meet Tuesday, September 11, 2001, and Wednesday, September 12, 2001, from 9:00 a.m. until 5:00 p.m. and Thursday, September 13, 2001, from 9:00 a.m. until 2:00 p.m. The Advisory Board was established by the Computer Security Act of 1987 (P.L. 100-235) to advise the Secretary of Commerce and the Director of NIST on security and privacy issues pertaining to federal computer systems. All sessions will be open to the public. Details regarding the Board's activities are available at <http://csrc.nist.gov/csspab/>.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on September 11 and 12, 2001, from 9:00 a.m. until 5:00 p.m. and on September 13, 2001, from 9:00 a.m. until 2:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will take place at the National Security Agency's National Cryptologic Museum, Colony 7 Road, Annapolis Junction, Maryland.

#### AGENDA

- Welcome and Overview
- Two-Day Session on Minimally Accepted Security Controls—to include briefings from federal agencies such as the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Social Security Administration, Federal Communications Commission and the Federal Reserve
- Updates on Recent Legislative Issues
- Update on OMB Activities
- Update on the NIST Computer Security Division
- Work Plan Review of Governance Issues
- Work Plan Review of GPEA Process
- Discussion of Follow-On Actions from June 2001 Privacy Event
- Public Participation
- Agenda Development for December 2001 meeting
- Wrap-Up

Note that agenda items may change without notice because of possible unexpected schedule conflicts of presenters.

#### Public Participation

The Board agenda will include a period of time, not to exceed thirty minutes, for oral comments and questions from the public. Each speaker will be limited to five minutes. Members of the public who are interested in speaking are asked to contact the Board Secretariat at the telephone number indicated below. In addition, written statements are invited

and may be submitted to the Board at any time. Written statements should be directed to the CSSPAB Secretariat, Information Technology Laboratory, 100 Bureau Drive, Stop 8930, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8930. It would be appreciated if 35 copies of written material were submitted for distribution to the Board and attendees no later than September 7, 2001. Approximately 15 seats will be available for the public and media.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Fran Nielsen, Board Secretariat, Information Technology Laboratory, National Institute of Standards and Technology, 100 Bureau Drive, Stop 8930, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8930, telephone: (301) 975-3669.

Dated: August 20, 2001.

**Karen H. Brown,**

*Acting Director, NIST.*

[FR Doc. 01-21579 Filed 8-24-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-CN-M**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 082201A]

##### Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Data Collection on Marine Protected and Managed Areas

**AGENCY:** National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506 (c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before October 26, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Madeleine Clayton, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6086, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington DC 20230 (or via Internet at [MClayton@doc.gov](mailto:MClayton@doc.gov)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Dan Farrow, Special Projects Office, National Oceanic and

Atmospheric Administration, SSMC4, 1305 East West Highway, Room 9515, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910, or via e-mail at [Dan.Farrow@noaa.gov](mailto:Dan.Farrow@noaa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Abstract

Executive Order 13158 directs the Department of Commerce and the Department of the Interior to work with partners to strengthen the protection of U.S. ocean and coastal resources by developing a national system of marine protected areas. The Departments of Commerce and the Interior plan to work closely with state, territorial, local, and tribal governments, as well as other stakeholders, to identify and inventory the Nation's existing marine protected areas. Toward this end, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and the Department of the Interior (DOI) have created a dataform to be used as a survey tool to collect and analyze information on these existing sites. This survey will allow NOAA and DOI to better understand the existing protections for marine resources within marine protected areas in the United States. This information would also support activities on marine protected areas by state and local governments, tribes, and other interested parties.

##### II. Method of Collection

NOAA and DOI propose to use a dataform as a survey tool to collect comprehensive information about state, local, and tribal marine managed areas. The survey tool is already being used to collect information about existing Federal sites. The collected information will be used to inventory the existing marine protected areas in the United States and its territories and to support the development of a nationwide network that will aid in the management and conservation of our Nation's marine resources.

The survey contains directed questions regarding the location, management and enforcement authorities, types of protections and restrictions, and the length of time those protections or restrictions are in place for each marine protected area. Basic information about the resources and activities at the sites will also be collected. It is expected that site managers from each marine protected area will fill out the survey. The collected information will be housed in a searchable database that will be made available to the public via the marine protected area website at [mpa.gov](http://mpa.gov).

The survey tool will be available in several formats to accommodate user needs. The primary collection tool will