

transp/, (once there, click on the "Conformity" button, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions for Conformity").

Michael Leslie, Environmental Engineer, Regulation Development Section (AR-18J), Air Programs Branch, Air and Radiation Division, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-6680, leslie.michael@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA Region 5 sent a letter to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources on July 25, 2001, stating that the Milwaukee, Manitowoc, and Sheboygan MVEBs in the submitted ozone attainment demonstration and ROP plan for 2002, 2005 and 2007 are adequate. This finding will also be announced on EPA's conformity website: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/transp/>, (once there, click on the "Conformity" button, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions for Conformity").

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Transportation conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the EPA may later disapprove the SIP.

We've described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in guidance (May 14, 1999 memo titled "Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999 Conformity Court Decision"). We followed the guidance in making our adequacy determination.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: August 6, 2001.

David A. Ullrich,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 01-20788 Filed 8-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6621-1]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated May 18, 2001 (66 FR 27164).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-L65384-OR Rating EC2, Drew Creek, Diamond Rock and Divide Cattle Allotments, Issuance of Term Grazing Permits on Livestock Allotments on Tiller Ranger District, Implementation, Umpqua National Forest, Douglas and Jackson Counties, OR.

Summary

EPA expressed environmental concerns for the Clean Water Act 303(d)-listed streams in the three remaining cattle allotments. EPA requested that the final EIS include costs to administer the proposed new grazing allotments and disclose impacts of grazing fewer cattle on aquatic and terrestrial resources as well as including details of how the Forest Service will meet the Aquatic Conservation Strategy to restore and maintain watersheds and aquatics.

ERP No. D-BPA-L08054-OR Rating LO, Condon Wind Project, Execution of One or More Power Purchase and Transmission Services Agreements to Acquire and Transmit up to the Full Electrical Output, NPDES Permits and Right-of-Way Permit for Public Land, Gilliam County, OR.

Summary

EPA commented that the EIS was well written and complete, and satisfactorily addressed EPA's scoping comments regarding the potential for avian

mortality. EPA requested additional clarification regarding cumulative effects and potential impacts to power rates.

ERP No. D-COE-K39066-CA Rating EC2, Port of Long Beach Pier J South Terminal, Redevelopment of two existing Marine Container Terminals into One Terminal, COE Section 404, 401 and 10 Permits, City of Long Beach, CA.

Summary

EPA expressed concerns, and requested additional information regarding: coordination of dredge and fill activities in the Port area, water quality impacts, compliance with Clean Water Act Section 404, and air quality impacts.

ERP No. D-GSA-C81032-NY Rating EC2, U.S. Mission to the United Nations (USUN), Demolition of Current USUN and the Construction of a New Facility on the Same Site, Located at 799 United Nations Plaza, New York, NY.

Summary

EPA expressed concerns regarding air quality conformity issues and requested that this issue be resolved in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-USA-J13000-CO Rating EC2, Pueblo Chemical Depot, Destruction of Chemical Munitions and Design, Construction, Operation and Closure of a Facility to Destroy the Mustard Chemical Agent and Munitions

Summary

EPA expressed concerns about the comparative analysis of the four alternatives for destroying chemical weapons at Pueblo. More information is also needed on air emissions and hazardous waste generation.

Dated: August 14, 2001.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 01-20820 Filed 8-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6620-9]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or www.epa.gov/oeqa/ofa. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements. Filed August 6, 2001 Through August 10, 2001. Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 010299, Final EIS, SFW, CA, Metro AirPark Habitat Conservation Plan, Issuance of an Incidental Take Permit, To Protect, Conserve and Enhance Fish, Wildlife and Plants and their Habitat, Natomas Basin, Sacramento County, CA, Wait Period Ends: September 17, 2001, Contact: Julie Concannon (503) 231-2068.

EIS No. 010300, Draft EIS, FRC, CA, Big Creek No. 4 Hydroelectric Project, Issuing New License, (FERC Project No. 2017), San Joaquin River Basin, Sierra National Forest, Fresno, Madera and Tulare Counties, CA, Comment Period Ends: October 16, 2001, Contact: John Ramer (202) 219-2833.

EIS No. 010301, Draft EIS, FTA, FL, Tampa Rail Project, Transportation Improvements, Light Rail Transit (LRT) or Diesel Multiple Unit (DMU) Vehicles, City of Tampa, Hillsborough County, FL, Comment Period Ends: October 5, 2001, Contact: Derek Robert Scott (404) 562-3524.

EIS No. 010302, Draft EIS, DOE, NM, ID, NV, Technical Area 18 (TA-18) Relocation of Capabilities and Materials at the Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), Operational Activities Involve Research in and the Design, Development, Construction, and Application of Experiments on Nuclear Criticality, NM, NV and ID, Comment Period Ends: October 5, 2001, Contact: James J. Rose (866) 357-4345.

EIS No. 010303, Draft EIS, AFS, WA, Crystal Mountain Master Development Plan, To Provide Winter and Summer Recreational Use, Special-Use-Permit, Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest, Silver Creek Watershed, Pierce County, WA, Comment Period Ends: October 16, 2001, Contact: Larry Donovan (425) 744-3403. This document is available on the Internet at: www.fs.fed.us/r6/mbs/.

EIS No. 010304, Final EIS, AFS, OR, Mill Creek Timber Sales and Related Activities, To Implement Ecosystem Management Activities, Prospect Ranger District, Rogue River National Forest, Jackson County, OR, Wait Period Ends: September 17, 2001, Contact: Joel T. King (541) 560-3400.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 010241, Draft EIS, FHW, RI, Sakonnet River Bridge Rehabilitation or Replacement Project, Portsmouth & Tiverton, Newport County, RI, Due: October 5, 2001, Contact: Daniel J. Berman (401) 528-4541. Revision of FR Notice Published on 7/13/2001: CEQ Review Period Ending 9/7/2001 has been Extended to 10/05/2001.

EIS No. 010229, Draft EIS, NOA, CA, San Francisco Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve, Proposes to Designate

Three Sites: China Camp State Park, Brown's Island Regional Parks District, and Rush Ranch Open Space Preserve, Contra Costa, Marin and Solano Counties, CA, Due: August 31, 2001, Contact: Nina Garfield (301) 713-3132. Revision of FR Notice Published on 7/13/2001: CEQ Review Period Ending 9/7/2001 to 10/5/2001 has been extended.

Dated: August 14, 2001.

Joseph C. Montgomery,
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 01-20821 Filed 8-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7035-5]

Proposed Prospective Purchaser Agreement Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of a prospective purchaser agreement and covenant not to sue the city of Vineland, New Jersey for a property within the vineland company chemical superfund site.

SUMMARY: The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to enter into a Prospective Purchaser Agreement to provide the City of Vineland, New Jersey, a covenant not to sue under the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended, in connection with its proposed purchase and development of a property related to the Vineland Chemical Company Superfund Site. The property is currently owned by the WaWa Corporation. This agreement is intended to resolve a potentially responsible party's liability for certain response costs incurred by the EPA at the Vineland Chemical Superfund Site in Vineland, New Jersey. Notice is being published to inform the public of the Proposed Prospective Purchaser Agreement and of the opportunity to comment.

DATES: Comments must be provided on or before September 17, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Regional Counsel, 290 Broadway—17th Floor, New York, NY 10007 and should refer to: In the Matter of the Vineland

Chemical Company Superfund Site: The City of Vineland, New Jersey, U.S. EPA Index No. CERCLA 2001-2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Regional Counsel, 290 Broadway—17th Floor, New York, NY 10007, Attention: Virginia Curry, Esq. (212) 637-3134, or curry.virginia@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given of a Proposed Prospective Purchaser Agreement with the City of Vineland, New Jersey resolving the City's potential liability for a property within the Vineland Chemical Company Superfund Site. CERCLA authorizes EPA to enter this agreement. The Department of Justice approved this agreement pursuant to the inherent settlement authority of the Attorney General to settle claims of the United States.

A copy of the Proposed Prospective Purchaser Agreement, as well as background information relating to the agreement, may be obtained by mail from EPA's Region II Office of Regional Counsel, 290 Broadway—17th Floor, New York, NY 10007.

Proposed Prospective Purchaser Agreement under CERCLA—Vineland Chemical Company Superfund Site.

Dated: June 6, 2001.

Kathleen C. Callahan,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 2.

[FR Doc. 01-20794 Filed 8-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7035-2]

Chemet Superfund Site; Notice of Proposed Settlement

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of proposed settlement.

SUMMARY: Under section 122(h)(1) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response and Liability Act (CERCLA), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has proposed to settle claims for response costs at the Chemet Site located in Moscow, Tennessee (Site), with ContiGroup Companies, Inc., Harcross Chemicals, Inc., Kewanee Industries, Inc. and Chevron Environmental Management Company and Pechiney World Trade (U.S.A.), Inc.

EPA will consider public comments on the proposed settlement for thirty (30) days. EPA may withdraw or modify the proposed settlement should such comments disclose facts or