

All of these meetings will be closed to the public. The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data; such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act. NSF will continue to review the agenda and merits of each meeting for overall compliance of the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

These closed proposal review meetings will no longer be announced on an individual basis in the **Federal Register**. NSF intends to publish a notice similar to this on a quarterly basis. For an advance listing of the closed proposal review meetings that include the names of the proposal review panel and the time, date, place, and any information on changes, corrections, or cancellations, please visit the NSF web-site: www.nsf.gov/home/pubinfo/advisory.htm. This information may also be requested by telephoning 703/292-8182.

Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 01-20267 Filed 8-10-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

Public Hearing

The National Transportation Safety Board will convene a public hearing beginning at 9 a.m., (Eastern Daylight Time) on Wednesday, August 22-23, 2001, at the NTSB Board Room and Conference Center, 429 L'Enfant Plaza, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20024, concerning Emery Worldwide Airlines, Inc., flight 17, McDonnell Douglas DC-8-71F, accident in Rancho Cordova, California, on February 16, 2000. For more information, contact Frank Hilldrup, NTSB Office of Aviation Safety at (202) 314-6100.

Individuals requesting specific accommodations should contact Ms. Carolyn Dargan on 202-314-6305 by Friday August 17, 2001.

Dated: August 8, 2001.

Vicky L. D'Onofrio,

Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 01-20228 Filed 8-10-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7533-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-423]

Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc., et al.; Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3 Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an exemption from certain requirements of Appendix G to Part 50 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR part 50) for Facility Operating License No. NPF-49, issued to Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc. (the licensee), for operation of the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3 (MP3), located in Waterford, Connecticut. Therefore, as required by 10 CFR 50.21, the NRC is issuing this environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would exempt the licensee from certain requirements of Appendix G to 10 CFR part 50 to allow the application of the methodology approved for determining the pressure-temperature (P-T) limit curves in the American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (ASME Code), Section XI, Code Case N-640 entitled, "Alternate Reference Fracture Toughness for Development of P-T Curves for ASME Section XI, Division I."

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for an exemption dated April 23, 2001, as supplemented by letter dated June 25, 2001.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action would modify the currently approved methodology for P-T limit calculations to incorporate the methodology approved for use in Code Case N-640. Code Case N-640 allows the use of the K_{IC} fracture toughness curve instead of the K_{IA} fracture toughness curve, as required by Appendix G to Section XI, for determining P-T limits for reactor pressure vessel (RPV) materials. The exemption is needed because Code Case N-640 uses this modification in the approved methodology in Appendix G of Section XI in determining P-T limits. The proposed action also supports the licensee's application for a license amendment, dated April 23, 2001, to revise the Technical Specifications (TSs) P-T limits.

The staff has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii), the underlying purpose of the regulation to protect the integrity of the reactor coolant pressure boundary will continue to be served by the implementation of the code case.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the exemption and implementation of the proposed alternative described above would provide an adequate margin of safety against brittle failure of the RPV at MP3.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action does not have a potential to affect any historic sites. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

The action does not involve the use of any different resources than those previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for MP3, dated December 1984.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on June 20, 2001, the staff consulted with the Connecticut State official, Michael Firsick of the Department of Environmental Protection, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated April 23, 2001, as supplemented by letter dated June 25, 2001.

Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov> (the Electronic Reading Room). If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, or 301-415-4737, or by e-mail at pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 8th day of August 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Victor Nerses, Sr.,

Project Manager, Section 2, Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 01-20235 Filed 8-10-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Privacy Act of 1974: New System of Records

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management (OPM)

ACTION: Notice of a new system of records.

SUMMARY: OPM proposes to add a new system of records to its inventory of records systems subject to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended. This action is necessary to meet the requirements of the Privacy Act to publish in the **Federal Register** notice of the existence and character of records maintained by the agency (5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(4)).

DATES: The new system will be effective without further notice on September 24, 2001, unless we receive comments that result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to the Office of Personnel Management,

ATTN: Mary Beth Smith-Toomey, Office of the Chief Information Officer, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 5415, Washington, DC 20415-7900.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Beth Smith-Toomey, 202-606-8358.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Adjudications Officer Control Files records system will contain records of individuals, other than OPM employees: (1) Who work on an OPM-Investigations Service (IS) contract; (2) who need to access IS facilities or use IS equipment; or (3) about whom OPM-IS has provided a suitability or security adjudication advisory opinion at the request of another Federal agency's adjudication or security office. OPM will collect data by compilation of various documents related to the process of adjudication.

Office of Personnel Management

Kay Coles James,

Director.

OPM INTERNAL 16

SYSTEM NAME:

Adjudications Officer Control Files.

SYSTEM LOCATION:

Office of Personnel Management (OPM), Investigations Service (IS), Federal Investigations Processing Center, PO Box 618, Boyers, Pennsylvania 16018-0618.

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

This system contains records on individuals, other than OPM employees: (1) Who work on an OPM-Investigations Service (IS) contract; (2) who need to access IS facilities or use IS equipment; or (3) about whom OPM-IS has provided a suitability or security adjudication advisory opinion at the request of another Federal agency's adjudication or security office.

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

The records in the system may contain the following:

- a. Documents completed by the individual.
- b. Dates and types of investigations.
- c. Investigative reports, including those from Federal investigative agencies, the Department of Defense, and internal and external inquiries.
- d. Records of suitability or security determinations.
- e. Dates and levels of security clearances and supporting documentation.
- f. Records of disclosures of information.
- g. Information related to an individual's work performance on an OPM-IS contract.

h. Documents concerning an individual's conduct problems or security and policy violations related to an OPM-IS contract or use of OPM equipment or facilities.

i. Correspondence between OPM-IS and an agency or an individual.

j. Correspondence related to administrative review procedures.

AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

The authorities for maintenance of the system include the following, with any revisions or amendments: Executive Orders 10450, 12958 and 12968.

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:

Information in these records may be used:

1. For Judicial/Administrative Proceedings—To disclose information to another Federal agency, to a court, or a party in litigation before a court or in an administrative proceeding being conducted by a Federal agency, when the Government is a party to the judicial or administrative proceeding. In those cases where the Government is not a party to the proceeding, records may be disclosed if a subpoena has been signed by a judge.

2. For National Archives and Records Administration—To disclose information to the National Archives and Records Administration for use in records management inspections.

3. Within OPM for Statistical/Analytical Studies—By OPM in the production of summary descriptive statistics and analytical studies in support of the function for which the records are collected and maintained, or for related workforce studies. While published studies do not contain individual identifiers, in some instances the selection of elements of data included in the study may be structured in such a way as to make the data individually identifiable by inference.

4. For Litigation—To disclose information to the Department of Justice, or in a proceeding before a court, adjudicative body or other administrative body before which OPM is authorized to appear, when: OPM, or any component thereof; or any employee of OPM in his or her official capacity; or any employee of OPM in his or her individual capacity where the Department of Justice or OPM has agreed to represent the employee; or the United States, when OPM determines that litigation is likely to affect OPM or any of its components; is a party to litigation, and the use of such records by the Department of Justice or OPM is