

IMPORT ASSESSMENT TABLE—  
Continued  
[Raw Cotton Fiber]

IMPORT ASSESSMENT TABLE—  
Continued  
[Raw Cotton Fiber]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Part 1230  
[No. LS-01-02]

**Pork Promotion, Research, and Consumer Information Order— Increase in Importer Assessments**

**AGENCY:** Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Pork Promotion, Research, and Consumer Information Act of 1985 (Act) and the Pork Promotion, Research, and Consumer Information Order (Order) issued thereunder, this proposed rule would increase by seven-hundredths to one-tenth of a cent per pound the amount of the assessment per pound due on imported pork and pork products to reflect an increase in the 2000 average price for domestic barrows and gilts. This proposed action would bring the equivalent market value of the live animals from which such imported pork and pork products were derived in line with the market values of domestic porcine animals. These proposed changes will facilitate the continued collection of assessments on imported porcine animals, pork, and pork products.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by September 12, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Send two copies of comments to Ralph L. Tapp, Chief; Marketing Programs Branch, Room 2627-S; Livestock and Seed Program; Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS), USDA; STOP 0251; 1400 Independence Avenue, SW.; Washington, DC 20250-0251. Comments will be available for public inspection during regular business hours at the above office in Room 2627 South Building; 14th and Independence Avenue, SW.; Washington, DC.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ralph L. Tapp, Chief, Marketing Programs Branch, 202/720-1115.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Executive Orders 12866 and 12988 and Regulatory Flexibility Act**

This proposed rule has been determined not significant for purposes of Executive Order 12866 and therefore has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

This proposed rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This proposal is not intended to have a retroactive effect.

HTS No.	Conv. fact.	Cents/kg.
6206303040	0.9961	0.9926
6206303050	0.9961	0.9926
6206303060	0.9961	0.9926
6206403010	0.3113	0.3102
6206403030	0.3113	0.3102
6206900040	0.249	0.2481
6207110000	1.0852	1.0814
6207199010	0.3617	0.3604
6207210010	1.1085	1.1046
6207210030	1.1085	1.1046
6207220000	0.3695	0.3682
6207911000	1.1455	1.1415
6207913010	1.1455	1.1415
6207913020	1.1455	1.1415
6208210010	1.0583	1.0546
6208210020	1.0583	1.0546
6208220000	0.1245	0.1241
6208911010	1.1455	1.1415
6208911020	1.1455	1.1415
6208913010	1.1455	1.1415
6209201000	1.1577	1.1536
6209203000	0.9749	0.9715
6209205030	0.9749	0.9715
6209205035	0.9749	0.9715
6209205040	1.2186	1.2143
6209205045	0.9749	0.9715
6209205050	0.9749	0.9715
6209303020	0.2463	0.2454
6209303040	0.2463	0.2454
6210109010	0.2291	0.2283
6210403000	0.0391	0.039
6210405020	0.4556	0.454
6211111010	0.1273	0.1269
6211111020	0.1273	0.1269
6211118010	1.1455	1.1415
6211118020	1.1455	1.1415
6211320007	0.8461	0.8431
6211320010	1.0413	1.0377
6211320015	1.0413	1.0377
6211320030	0.9763	0.9729
6211320060	0.9763	0.9729
6211320070	0.9763	0.9729
6211330010	0.3254	0.3243
6211330030	0.3905	0.3891
6211330035	0.3905	0.3891
6211330040	0.3905	0.3891
6211420010	1.0413	1.0377
6211420020	1.0413	1.0377
6211420025	1.1715	1.1674
6211420060	1.0413	1.0377
6211420070	1.1715	1.1674
6211430010	0.2603	0.2594
6211430030	0.2603	0.2594
6211430040	0.2603	0.2594
6211430050	0.2603	0.2594
6211430060	0.2603	0.2594
6211430066	0.2603	0.2594
6212105020	0.2412	0.2404
6212109010	0.9646	0.9612
6212109020	0.2412	0.2404
6212200020	0.3014	0.3003
6212900030	0.1929	0.1922
6213201000	1.1809	1.1768
6213202000	1.0628	1.0591
6213901000	0.4724	0.4707
6214900010	0.9043	0.9011
6216000800	0.2351	0.2343
6216001720	0.6752	0.6728
6216003800	1.2058	1.2016

HTS No.	Conv. fact.	Cents/kg.
6216004100	1.2058	1.2016
6217109510	1.0182	1.0146
6217109530	0.2546	0.2537
6301300010	0.8766	0.8735
6301300020	0.8766	0.8735
6302100005	1.1689	1.1648
6302100008	1.1689	1.1648
6302100015	1.1689	1.1648
6302215010	0.8182	0.8153
6302215020	0.8182	0.8153
6302217010	1.1689	1.1648
6302217020	1.1689	1.1648
6302217050	1.1689	1.1648
6302219010	0.8182	0.8153
6302219020	0.8182	0.8153
6302219050	0.8182	0.8153
6302222010	0.4091	0.4077
6302222020	0.4091	0.4077
6302313010	0.8182	0.8153
6302313050	1.1689	1.1648
6302315050	0.8182	0.8153
6302317010	1.1689	1.1648
6302317020	1.1689	1.1648
6302317040	1.1689	1.1648
6302317050	1.1689	1.1648
6302319010	0.8182	0.8153
6302319040	0.8182	0.8153
6302319050	0.8182	0.8153
6302322020	0.4091	0.4077
6302322040	0.4091	0.4077
6302402010	0.9935	0.99
6302511000	0.5844	0.5824
6302512000	0.8766	0.8735
6302513000	0.5844	0.5824
6302514000	0.8182	0.8153
6302600010	1.1689	1.1648
6302600020	1.052	1.0483
6302600030	1.052	1.0483
6302910005	1.052	1.0483
6302910015	1.1689	1.1648
6302910025	1.052	1.0483
6302910035	1.052	1.0483
6302910045	1.052	1.0483
6302910050	1.052	1.0483
6302910060	1.052	1.0483
6303110000	0.9448	0.9415
6303910010	0.6429	0.6406
6303910020	0.6429	0.6406
6304111000	1.0629	1.0592
6304190500	1.052	1.0483
6304191000	1.1689	1.1648
6304191500	0.4091	0.4077
6304192000	0.4091	0.4077
6304910020	0.9351	0.9318
6304920000	0.9351	0.9318
6505901540	0.181	0.1804
6505902060	0.9935	0.99
6505902545	0.5844	0.5824

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: August 7, 2001.  
**Kenneth C. Clayton,**  
*Acting Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*  
[FR Doc. 01-20188 Filed 8-10-01; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

The Act states that the statute is intended to occupy the field of promotion and consumer education involving pork and pork products and of obtaining funds thereof from pork producers and that the regulation of such activity (other than a regulation or requirement relating to a matter of public health or the provision of State or local funds for such activity) that is in addition to or different from the Act may not be imposed by a State.

The Act provides that administrative proceedings must be exhausted before parties may file suit in court. Under § 1625 of the Act, a person subject to an order may file a petition with the Secretary stating that such order, a provision of such order or an obligation imposed in connection with such order is not in accordance with the law; and requesting a modification of the order or an exemption from the order. Such person is afforded the opportunity for a hearing on the petition. After the hearing, the Secretary would rule on the petition. The Act provides that the district court of the United States in the district in which a person resides or does business has jurisdiction to review the Secretary's determination, if a complaint is filed not later than 20 days after the date such person receives notice of such determination.

This action also was reviewed under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 United States Code (U.S.C.) 601 *et seq.*). The effect of the Order upon small entities initially was discussed in the September 5, 1986, issue of the **Federal Register** (51 FR 31898). It was determined at that time that the Order would not have a significant effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Many of the estimated 500 importers may be classified as small entities under the Small Business Administration definition (13 CFR 121.201).

This proposed rule would increase the amount of assessments on imported pork and pork products subject to assessment by seven-hundredths to one-tenth of a cent per pound, or as expressed in cents per kilogram, fifteen-hundredths to twenty-two-hundredths of a cent per kilogram. This increase is consistent with the increase in the annual average price of domestic barrows and gilts for calendar year 2000. The average annual market price increased from \$31.46 in 1999 to \$42.70 in 2000, an increase of about 36 percent. Adjusting the assessments on imported pork and pork products would result in an estimated increase in assessments of \$713,000 over a 12-month period. Assessments collected on imported hogs, pork, and pork products for 2000 were \$3,384,096. Accordingly, the

Acting Administrator of AMS has determined that this action would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

The Act (7 U.S.C. 4801–4819) approved December 23, 1985, authorized the establishment of a national pork promotion, research, and consumer information program. The program was funded by an initial assessment rate of 0.25 percent of the market value of all porcine animals marketed in the United States and on imported porcine animals with an equivalent assessment on pork and pork products. However, that rate was increased to 0.35 percent in 1991 (56 FR 51635) and to 0.45 percent effective September 3, 1995 (60 FR 29963). The final Order establishing a pork promotion, research, and consumer information program was published in the September 5, 1986, issue of the **Federal Register** (51 FR 31898; as corrected, at 51 FR 36383 and amended at 53 FR 1909, 53 FR 30243, 56 FR 4, 56 FR 51635, 60 FR 29963, 61 FR 29002, 62 FR 26205, 63 FR 45936, and 64 FR 44643) and assessments began on November 1, 1986.

The Order requires importers of porcine animals to pay U.S. Customs Service (USCS), upon importation, the assessment of 0.45 percent of the animal's declared value and importers of pork and pork products to pay USCS, upon importation, the assessment of 0.45 percent of the market value of the live porcine animals from which such pork and pork products were produced. This proposed rule would increase the assessments on all of the imported pork and pork products subject to assessment as published in the **Federal Register** as a final rule August 17, 1999, and effective on September 16, 1999 (64 FR 44643). This increase is consistent with the increase in the annual average price of domestic barrows and gilts for calendar year 2000 as calculated by the Department of Agriculture's (Department), AMS, Livestock and Grain Market News (LGMN) Branch. This increase in assessments would make the equivalent market value of the live porcine animal from which the imported pork and pork products were derived reflect the recent increase in the market value of domestic porcine animals, thereby promoting comparability between importer and domestic assessments. This proposed rule would not change the current assessment rate of 0.45 percent of the market value.

The methodology for determining the per pound amount of assessments for imported pork and pork products was described in the Supplementary

Information accompanying the Order and published in the September 5, 1986, **Federal Register** at 51 FR 31901. The weight of imported pork and pork products is converted to a carcass weight equivalent by utilizing conversion factors that are published in the Department's Statistical Bulletin No. 697 "Conversion Factors and Weights and Measures." These conversion factors take into account the removal of bone, weight lost in cooking or other processing, and the nonpork components of pork products. Secondly, the carcass weight equivalent is converted to a live animal equivalent weight by dividing the carcass weight equivalent by 70 percent, which is the average dressing percentage of porcine animals in the United States. Thirdly, the equivalent value of the live porcine animal is determined by multiplying the live animal equivalent weight by an annual average market price for barrows and gilts as calculated by LGMN Branch. Finally, the equivalent value is multiplied by the applicable assessment rate of 0.45 percent due on imported pork and pork products. The end result is expressed in an amount per pound for each type of pork or pork product. To determine the amount per kilogram for pork and pork products subject to assessment under the Act and Order, the cent per pound assessments are multiplied by a metric conversion factor 2.2046 and carried to the sixth decimal.

Since 1999 when the last adjustment was made in the amount of the assessment due on live hogs and imported pork and pork products (64 FR 44643), there has been a change in the way LGMN Branch reports hog prices. For calendar year 1998, the annual average price for barrows and gilts was based on the average price for barrows and gilts at five terminal markets. LGMN Branch no longer reports the average price at terminal markets. When the Order was published on September 5, 1986, LGMN Branch reported an annual average price of barrows and gilts based on the seven major markets (East St. Louis, Illinois; Omaha, Nebraska; Peoria, Illinois; St. Joseph, Missouri; South St. Paul, Minnesota; Sioux City, Iowa; and Sioux Falls, South Dakota) and that price was used to calculate the equivalent live animal value of imported pork and pork products. In 1991, one of the seven markets, Peoria, Illinois, closed and LGMN Branch changed its report to include the annual average price from only six markets. Again in 1994, another market, East St. Louis, Illinois, closed and LGMN began reporting annual average price for barrows and gilts based

on five markets. In December 1998, two more of the original seven markets, Sioux City, Iowa, and Omaha, Nebraska, closed and LGMN Branch discontinued reporting market prices based on the three remaining markets because these markets did not have a sufficient volume of sales to accurately reflect a national average price for barrows and gilts.

In 1999, LGMN Branch replaced the five-market report with the Iowa-Southern Minnesota hog report as the source for the national average price for barrows and gilts. This average price, comparable to the former five-market annual average price, was quoted for 49–52 percent lean yield barrows and gilts weighing an average of 240–280 pounds live weight. LGMN Branch reported these prices daily as well as publishing a monthly average price in the “Livestock, Meat and Wool Weekly Summary and Statistics.” While LGMN Branch discontinued publishing an annual average price of barrows and gilts in the “Livestock, Meat and Wool Weekly Summary and Statistics,” they had calculated the annual average price for barrows and gilts based on the 12 monthly average prices in the Iowa-Southern Minnesota hog reports. This annual average price was used in the calculations for determining the per pound amount of assessments for imported pork and pork products.

Further changes are anticipated in the future due to implementation of the Livestock Mandatory Price Reporting program (65 FR 75464) on April 2, 2001.

The formula in the preamble for the Order at 51 FR 31901 contemplated that it would be necessary to recalculate the equivalent live animal value of imported pork and pork products to reflect changes in the annual average price of domestic barrows and gilts to maintain equity of assessments between domestic porcine animals and imported pork and pork products.

The last time the cent per pound assessments for imported pork and pork products listed in the table in § 1230.110(b) were adjusted was for calendar year 1998 (64 FR 44643). The equivalent live animal value of imported pork and pork products was recalculated for calendar year 1999 and when compared to the equivalent live animal value for calendar year 1998, no adjustments in the cents per pound assessments were necessary for imported pork and pork products subject to assessment under the Act and Order. In 1999 the average annual price for barrows and gilts was \$31.46 per hundredweight as determined by LGMN Branch based on monthly average prices for barrows and gilts published in the

“Livestock, Meat and Wool Weekly Summary and Statistics.” The 1998 average price for barrows and gilts was \$31.82 per hundredweight. The cents per pound assessments for calendar year 1999 remained the same as calendar year 1998.

The average annual market price increased from \$31.46 per hundredweight in 1999 to \$42.70 per hundredweight in 2000, an increase of about 36 percent. This increase would result in a corresponding increase in assessments for all HTS numbers listed in the table in § 1230.110(b), 64 FR 44643; August 17, 1999, of an amount equal to seven-hundredths to one-tenth of a cent per pound, or as expressed in cents per kilogram, fifteen-hundredths to twenty-two hundredths of a cent per kilogram. Based on the most recent available Department of Commerce, Bureau of Census, data on the volume of imported pork and pork products available for the period January 1, 2000, through September 30, 2000, the proposed increase in assessment amounts would result in an estimated \$713,000 increase in assessments over a 12-month period. The assessment rate for imported live hogs is not affected by the change in the cents per pound assessment rate for imported pork and pork products.

This proposed rule provides for a 30-day comment period. This comment period is appropriate because the proposed rule simply provides for an adjustment in the per pound assessment levels on imported pork and pork products to reflect changes in live hog prices which occurred from 1999 to 2000. These live hog prices form the basis for the assessments. This adjustment, if adopted, should be made effective as soon as possible to promote optimum equity.

**List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 1230**

Administrative practice and procedure, Advertising, Agricultural research, Marketing agreement, Meat and meat products, Pork and pork products.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, it is proposed that 7 CFR part 1230 be amended as follows:

**PART 1230—PORK PROMOTION, RESEARCH, AND CONSUMER INFORMATION**

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 1230 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 4801–4819.

**Subpart B—[Amended]**

2. In Subpart B—Rules and Regulations, § 1230.110 is revised to read as follows:

**§ 1230.110 Assessments on imported pork and pork products.**

(a) The following HTS categories of imported live porcine animals are subject to assessment at the rate specified.

Live porcine animals	Assessment
0103.10.0000	0.45 percent Customs Entered Value.
0103.91.0000	0.45 percent Customs Entered Value.
0103.92.0000	0.45 percent Customs Entered Value.

(b) The following HTS categories of imported pork and pork products are subject to assessment at the rates specified.

Pork and Pork Products	Assessment	
	cents/lb	cents/kg
0203.11.0000 .....	.27	.595242
0203.12.1010 .....	.27	.595242
0203.12.1020 .....	.27	.595242
0203.12.9010 .....	.27	.595242
0203.12.9020 .....	.27	.595242
0203.19.2010 .....	.32	.705472
0203.19.2090 .....	.32	.705472
0203.19.4010 .....	.27	.595242
0203.19.4090 .....	.27	.595242
0203.21.0000 .....	.27	.595242
0203.22.1000 .....	.27	.595242
0203.22.9000 .....	.27	.595242
0203.29.2000 .....	.32	.705472
0203.29.4000 .....	.27	.595242
0206.30.0000 .....	.27	.595242
0206.41.0000 .....	.27	.595242
0206.49.0000 .....	.27	.595242
0210.11.0010 .....	.27	.595242
0210.11.0020 .....	.27	.595242
0210.12.0020 .....	.27	.595242
0210.12.0040 .....	.27	.595242
0210.19.0010 .....	.32	.705472
0210.19.0090 .....	.32	.705472
1601.00.2010 .....	.38	.837748
1601.00.2090 .....	.38	.837748
1602.41.2020 .....	.41	.903886
1602.41.2040 .....	.41	.903886
1602.41.9000 .....	.27	.595242
1602.42.2020 .....	.41	.903886
1602.42.2040 .....	.41	.903886
1602.42.4000 .....	.27	.595242
1602.49.2000 .....	.38	.837748
1602.49.4000 .....	.32	.705472

Dated: August 3, 2001.

**Kenneth C. Clayton,**

*Acting Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[FR Doc. 01–20097 Filed 8–10–01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–02–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE****Food Safety and Inspection Service****9 CFR Part 327**

[Docket No. 99-018P]

**Addition of Slovakia to the List of Countries Eligible To Export Meat Products Into the United States****AGENCY:** Food Safety and Inspection Service, USDA.**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) is proposing to add Slovakia to the list of countries eligible to export meat and meat products to the United States. Reviews by FSIS of Slovakia's laws, regulations, and other written materials show that its meat processing system meets requirements that are equivalent to the relevant provisions of the Federal Meat Inspection Act (FMIA) and its implementing regulations.

Under this proposal, meat products processed in certified establishments in Slovakia will be permitted to be exported to the United States if these products are derived from cattle, sheep, swine, and goats slaughtered in federally inspected establishments in the United States, or in certified slaughter establishments in other countries eligible to export meat to the United States. All meat products exported from Slovakia to the United States will be reinspected at the U.S. ports-of-entry by FSIS inspectors as required by law.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before October 12, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Send an original and two copies of comments to:

FSIS Docket Clerk, Docket #99-018P, Room 102, Cotton Annex, 300 C Street, SW., Washington, DC 20250-3700. Reference materials cited in this document and any comments received will be available for public inspection in the FSIS Docket Room from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Sally Stratmoen, Acting Director, International Policy Development Staff, Office of Policy, Program Development and Evaluation; (202) 720-6400.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

FSIS is proposing to amend the Federal meat inspection regulations to add Slovakia to the list of countries eligible to export meat and meat products to the United States. In 1972, the country formerly known as

Czechoslovakia completed the eligibility process for exportation of meat products to the United States. The country maintained its eligibility until it split into two separate countries, the Czech Republic and Slovakia, on January 1, 1993. The part of the country that became the Czech Republic continued to maintain a meat inspection system under the same laws and regulations that existed when it was part of Czechoslovakia. Since FSIS had previously determined that these laws and regulations were equivalent to the meat inspection standards applied to products produced in the United States, the Agency determined that the newly formed Czech Republic would continue to be eligible to export meat and meat products to the United States. On February 24, 1995, FSIS published a direct final rule to amend section 327 of the meat inspection regulations (9 CFR part 327) to remove "Czechoslovakia" and add the "Czech Republic" to the list of countries eligible to export meat products to the United States (60 FR 10306).

The part of former Czechoslovakia that became Slovakia had never had any certified meat inspection plants, nor had it exported any meat products to the United States. Given this history and the lack of information about the Slovakian meat inspection system, FSIS was not certain that Slovakia's meat inspection system was equivalent to that of the United States. Therefore, FSIS decided to require that Slovakia request and receive approval from FSIS before it could be deemed eligible to have its meat products exported to the United States.

Section 20 of the FMIA (21 U.S.C. 620) prohibits the importation into the United States of carcasses, parts of carcasses, meat, or meat food products of cattle, sheep, swine, goats, horses, mules, or other equines that are capable for use as human food that are adulterated or misbranded. Imported meat products must be in compliance with the Federal meat inspection regulations to ensure that they meet the standards provided in the FMIA. 9 CFR 327.2 establishes the procedures by which foreign countries that want to export meat or meat products to the United States may become eligible to do so.

Section 327.2(a) requires that authorities in a foreign country's meat inspection system certify that (1) the system provides standards equivalent to those of the United States and (2) the legal authority for the system and its implementing regulations are equivalent to those of the United States. Specifically, a country's regulations

must impose requirements that are equivalent to those of the United States in the following areas: (1) Ante-mortem and post-mortem inspection; (2) official controls by the national government over plant construction, facilities, and equipment; (3) direct and continuous supervision of slaughter activities, where applicable, and product preparation by official inspection personnel; (4) separation of establishments certified to export from those not certified; (5) maintenance of a single standard of inspection and sanitation throughout certified establishments; and (6) official controls over condemned product.

Section 327.2 also requires that a meat inspection system maintained by a foreign country, with respect to establishments that prepare products in that country for export to the United States, ensure that those establishments and their meat products comply with requirements that are equivalent to the provisions of the FMIA and the meat inspection regulations. Foreign country authorities must be able to ensure that all certifications required under Part 327 of the meat inspection regulations (Imported Products) can be relied upon before approval to export meat products to the United States will be granted by FSIS. Besides relying on its initial determination of a country's eligibility, coupled with ongoing reviews to ensure that products shipped to the United States are safe, wholesome, and properly labeled and packaged, FSIS randomly samples imported meat and meat products for reinspection as they enter the United States.

In addition to meeting the certification requirements, a foreign country's inspection system must be evaluated by FSIS before it will be granted eligibility to export meat products to the United States. This evaluation consists of two processes: a document review and an on-site review. The document review is an evaluation of the laws, regulations, and other written materials used by the country to operate its inspection program. To help the country organize its materials, FSIS gives the country questionnaires that ask for detailed information about the country's inspection practices and procedures in five risk areas. These five risk areas, which are the focus of the evaluation, are sanitation, animal disease, slaughter/processing, residues, and enforcement. FSIS evaluates the information to verify that the critical points in the five risk areas are addressed satisfactorily with respect to standards, activities, resources, and enforcement. If the document review is satisfactory, an on-site review is