

silvicultural treatments, and grazing. Suggestions for alternatives that meet the purpose and need are welcome.

### Public Participation

Public participation will be an integral part of this project, beginning with the scoping process, which starts with publication of this notice. During the scoping process, the Forest Service will be seeking information, comments, and assistance from Federal, State, County, and local agencies, individuals, and organizations that may be interested in or affected by this project action. The scoping process will include: (1) Identification of potential issues, (2) identification of issues to be analyzed in depth, (3) elimination of insignificant issues or those which have been covered by a previous environmental review, (4) exploring additional alternatives, (5) identifying potential environmental effects, and (6) determining potential cooperating agencies. In addition to this notice, scoping comments will be solicited through a scoping package that will be sent to the project mailing list and those who otherwise request it; notice in the Southern Illinoisan newspaper, Carbondale IL, and an open house.

Comments concerning the proposed action and scope of the analysis should be received within 30 days of this notice to receive timely consideration in the Draft EIS. We may also meet with the public as needed. The Draft EIS is anticipated to be available by December 2001. The comment period on the Draft EIS will be 45 days from notice in the **Federal Register**.

### Reviewer's Obligation To Comment

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of Draft EISs must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal, so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553, (1978). Also, environmental objections that could have been raised at the Draft EIS stage, but were not raised until the completion of the final EIS, may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period on the Draft EIS, so

that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when they can be meaningfully considered and responded to in the Final EIS. To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns of the proposed action, comments on the Draft EIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may address the adequacy of the Draft EIS, or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act in 40 CFR 1503.3, in addressing these points.

### Lead and Cooperating Agencies

The Forest Service is the lead agency. The Forest will work in cooperation with the Illinois Department of Natural Resources in developing and evaluating issues and alternatives for the eradication of kudzu.

### Responsible Officials

Forrest L. Starkey, Forest Supervisor, Shawnee National Forest, is the responsible official for making a project-level decision on this project. If an amendment to the Forest Plan is necessary to implement the project-level decision, the Forest Supervisor will be responsible for that portion of the decision.

### Decision Space

Decision-making for this project is limited to the National Forest System lands administered by the Shawnee National Forest. Decision-making will be based on information in the Draft and Final EIS and supporting record, including consideration of all public comments. Decision-making will be limited to specific activities relating to the proposed action and its purpose and need. No decisions will be made for actions that are not responsive to the expressed purpose and need. The primary decision to be made will be whether or not to implement the proposed actions or an action alternative that responds to the purpose and need. If the proposed action or an action alternative is selected for implementation. The decision may include minor modifications or additional measures are appropriate as necessary. Documentation and rationale of the included modifications and additional measures would be made in a Record of Decision. If no action is selected for implementation, the

Responsible Official may either discontinue the planning effort or document the decision in a Record of Decision.

Dated: August 3, 2001.

**Forrest L. Starkey,**

*Forest Supervisor.*

[FR Doc. 01-19959 Filed 8-8-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3401-11-M

---

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Natural Resources Conservation Service

#### Notice of Request for Revision of a Currently Approved Information Collection

**AGENCY:** Natural Resources Conservation Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13) and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) regulations at 5 CFR part 1320 (60 FR 44978, August 29, 1995), this notice announces the Natural Resources Conservation Service's (NRCS) intention to request a revision to a currently approved information collection, Application for Payment.

**DATES:** Comments on this notice must be received on or before October 1, 2001, to be assured consideration.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Contact Phyllis I. Williams, Agency OMB Clearance Officer, Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 5601 Sunnyside Avenue, Mailstop 5460, Beltsville, MD 20705-5000, telephone number (301) 504-2170. Comments may also be submitted by e-mail to: phyllis.williams2@usda.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Risk Protection Programs.

*OMB Control Number:* 0578-0028.

*Expiration Date of Approval:* December 31, 2001.

*Type of Request:* Revision to a currently approved information collection.

*Abstract:* The primary objective of the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) is to work in partnership with the American people to conserve and sustain our natural resources. The purpose of the Risk Protection Program information collection is to provide NRCS program participants a method for making application for participation (CCC-1200 and Appendix) in the Agricultural Management Assistance

and Soil and Water Conservation Assistance programs. This information collection also includes an application for payment (CCC-1245) for participants to provide information regarding completion of conservation program contract activities, provide certification of work performed within the required standards, determine division of payment, ascertain the status of debt register collections, and provide the responsible NRCS official with authority to make Federal cost-share payments to the land user, or third party upon successful completion of a conservation program long-term contract.

Information collected is used by the NRCS to ensure the proper utilization of program funds. The *CCC-1200 and Appendix* and the *CCC-1245* are the basic documents used by USDA program participants to request assistance and payment through the local USDA Service Center in return for applying one or more conservation practices in a long-term contract (FR Notice fr06jn01-40 and fr06jn01-41). NRCS will ask for 3-year OMB approval within 60 days of submitting the request.

*Estimate of Burden:* Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 0.54 hours per response.

*Respondents:* Farms, individuals, or households, or State, local, or Tribal governments.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 5,000.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* 2,917.

Copies of this information collection and related instructions can be obtained without charge from Phyllis I. Williams, Directives Manager, NRCS, USDA, 5601 Sunnyside Avenue, Mailstop 5460, Beltsville, Maryland 20705-5000, telephone number (301) 504-2170, e-mail: [phyllis.williams2@usda.gov](mailto:phyllis.williams2@usda.gov).

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, such as through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technologic collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments may be sent to:

Phyllis I. Williams, Directives Manager, NRCS, USDA, 5601 Sunnyside Avenue, Mailstop 5460, Beltsville, Maryland 20705-5000, telephone number (301) 504-2170. Comments may also be submitted by e-mail to: [phyllis.williams2@usda.gov](mailto:phyllis.williams2@usda.gov).

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval.

All comments will become a matter of public record.

Signed at Washington, DC on August 3, 2001.

**P. Dwight Holman,**

*Deputy Chief for Management.*

[FR Doc. 01-19995 Filed 8-8-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-16-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-549-813]

#### **Canned Pineapple Fruit From Thailand: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 9, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Constance Handley or Charles Riggle, Office 5, Group II, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0631 and (202) 482-0650, respectively.

#### **Statutory Time Limits**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days and for the final determination to 180 days from the date of publication of the preliminary determination (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary determination).

## **Background**

On September 6, 2000, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on canned pineapple fruit from Thailand, covering the period July 1, 1999, through June 30, 2000 (65 FR 53980). On April 10, 2001, the Department published the preliminary results of its administrative review. *See Notice of Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Canned Pineapple Fruit From Thailand*, 66 FR 18596 (April 10, 2001). In our notice of preliminary results, we stated our intention to issue the final results of this review no later than August 8, 2001.

### **Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Review**

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the final results of this review within the original time limit. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the final results until no later than October 9, 2001. *See* Decision Memorandum from Gary Taverman to Bernard T. Carreau, dated concurrently with this notice, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Commerce building.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: August 2, 2001.

**Bernard T. Carreau,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 01-20020 Filed 8-8-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-831]

#### **Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review and the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit for the preliminary results of antidumping duty new shipper review and the preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the