

### Administrative Costs

Based on the administrative workload projected to result from this proposed rule (discussed above), VA estimates that full time employee (FTE) resources devoted to processing claims in years 1 through 10 would be 77, 113, 69, 64, 51, 40, 39, 35, 35, and 33 respectively. Estimated Government operating expenses (GOE) costs for the next 10 years are as follows: \$3,910,578, \$5,047,838, \$3,584,683, \$4,127,798, \$3,419,862, \$2,817,402, \$2,825,825, \$2,669,755, \$2,780,414 and \$2,750,142, for a total GOE cost of \$33,934,297 over 10 years.

### Paperwork Reduction Act

This document contains no provisions constituting a collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

### Executive Order 12866

This document has been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866.

### Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Secretary hereby certifies that this regulatory amendment will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as they are defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601–612. The reason for this certification is that these amendments would not directly affect any small entities. Only VA beneficiaries could be directly affected. Therefore, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), these amendments are exempt from the initial and final regulatory flexibility analysis requirements of sections 603 and 604.

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance program numbers are 64.100, 64.101, 64.104, 64.105, 64.106, 64.109, and 64.110.

### List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 3

Administrative practice and procedure, Claims, Disability benefits, Health care, Pensions, Radioactive materials, Veterans, Vietnam.

Approved: March 20, 2001.

**Anthony J. Principi,**

*Secretary of Veterans Affairs.*

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 38 CFR part 3 is proposed to be amended as follows:

## PART 3—ADJUDICATION

### Subpart A—Pension, Compensation, and Dependency and Indemnity Compensation

1. The authority citation for part 3, subpart A continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 38 U.S.C. 501(a), unless otherwise noted.

2. Section 3.309 is amended by:

A. Adding new paragraphs (d)(2)(xvii) through (d)(2)(xxi).

B. Adding new paragraph (d)(3)(ii)(D).

The additions read as follows:

#### § 3.309 Diseases subject to presumptive service connection.

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) *Diseases specific to radiation-exposed veterans.* \* \* \*

(2) \* \* \*

(xvii) Cancer of the bone.

(xviii) Cancer of the brain.

(xix) Cancer of the colon.

(xx) Cancer of the lung.

(xxi) Cancer of the ovary.

(3) \* \* \*

(ii) \* \* \*

(D)(1) Service in which the service member was, as part of his or her official military duties, present during a total of at least 250 days before February 1, 1992, on the grounds of a gaseous diffusion plant located in Paducah, Kentucky, Portsmouth, Ohio, or the area identified as K25 at Oak Ridge, Tennessee, if, during such service the veteran:

(i) Was monitored for each of the 250 days of such service through the use of dosimetry badges for exposure at the plant of the external parts of veteran's body to radiation; or

(ii) Served for each of the 250 days of such service in a position that had exposures comparable to a job that is or was monitored through the use of dosimetry badges; or

(2) Service before January 1, 1974, on Amchitka Island, Alaska, if, during such service, the veteran was exposed to ionizing radiation in the performance of duty related to the Long Shot, Milrow, or Cannikin underground nuclear tests.

(3) For purposes of paragraph (d)(3)(ii)(D)(1) of this section, the term "day" refers to all or any portion of a calendar day.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 01–19916 Filed 8–7–01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8320–01–P**

## POSTAL SERVICE

### 39 CFR Part 111

#### Domestic Mail Manual Revision to the 5% Error Limit for Sequenced Mailings

**AGENCY:** Postal Service.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Postal Service is seeking comments on the following proposed rule change to the Domestic Mail

Manual (DMM). Under this proposal, the 5% error limit for carrier route walk-sequenced mail is clarified to include line-of-travel (LOT)-sequenced mail. For all sequenced mail, no more than 5% of the total pieces in the entire carrier route mailing may be found out of sequence or sorted to the wrong carrier route.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before September 7, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments to the Manager, Business Mail Acceptance, U.S. Postal Service, 1735 North Lynn Street, Room 3011, Arlington, VA 22209–6030. Written comments may be submitted via fax to 703–292–3738. Copies of all written comments will be available for inspection and photocopying between 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, in Room 3011 at the above address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mary Bronson, 703–292–3539.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Postal Service requires all mail claimed at the Periodicals basic carrier route rate or the Standard Mail Enhanced Carrier Route rate to be sequenced in either walk-sequence or line-of-travel (LOT) order. Current standards state that for each carrier route receiving mail, no more than 5% of the total pieces may be found out of sequence or sorted to the wrong carrier route. The 5% limitation for missorted or missequenced mail is applied to an individual carrier route because, until recently, the Postal Service was able to detect such errors only at the delivery unit and could not easily determine an error percentage for the entire mailing.

Due to technological innovations, the Postal Service now can detect missequenced carrier route pieces at and prior to acceptance, where the entire mailing can be evaluated. Therefore, the Postal Service proposes to amend the current standards to apply the 5% limit for walk-sequence and LOT errors to the entire mailing, and not to an individual carrier route. This change will make how the Postal Service determines eligibility for carrier route rates consistent with how it determines eligibility for other postage discounts. The Postal Service will use the established statistically valid sampling methods for business mail entry unit (BMEU) acceptance procedures to determine whether the 5% error limit is exceeded for the carrier route mailing.

Although exempt from the notice and comment requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553(b), (c)) regarding proposed rulemaking by 39 U.S.C. 410(a), the

Postal Service invites comments on the following proposed revisions to the Domestic Mail Manual, incorporated by reference in the Code of Federal Regulations. See 39 CFR Part 111.

List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 111

Administrative practice and procedure, Postal Service.

PART 111—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 39 CFR Part 111 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552(a); 39 U.S.C. 101, 401, 403, 404, 414, 3001–3011, 3201–3219, 3403–3406, 3621, 3626, 5001.

2. Amend the following sections of the Domestic Mail Manual (DMM) as set forth below:

M Mail Preparation and Sortation

M000 General Preparation Standards

\* \* \* \* \*

M050 Delivery Sequence

\* \* \* \* \*

2.0 ACCURACY

2.1 Error Rate—Walk Sequence

For carrier routes sequenced in walk-sequence order, no more than 5% of the total pieces in the mailing may be found out of sequence or sorted to the wrong carrier route.

2.2 Error Rate—Line-of-Travel Sequence

For carrier routes sequenced in line-of-travel (LOT) order, no more than 5% of the total pieces in the mailing may be found out of sequence or sorted to the wrong carrier route.

2.3 Pieces in Error

For this standard, pieces are not considered missorted or missequenced because of USPS scheme changes not yet incorporated in the scheme that the mailer was authorized to use to prepare the mailing. When sortation or sequencing errors over the applicable 5% limit in 2.1 and 2.2 are detected, the mailer is notified that they must re-sequence the mail or pay the next higher rate for which the mail qualifies. The percent of mail determined to be missorted or missequenced within the mailing is subject to additional postage for the difference between the carrier route rate claimed and the next higher rate for which the mail qualifies.

\* \* \* \* \*

If this proposal is adopted, an appropriate amendment to 39 CFR 111.3 will be published to reflect this change.

Stanley F. Mires, Chief Counsel, Legislative.

[FR Doc. 01–19806 Filed 8–7–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MT–001–0038, CO–001–0065; FRL–7028–5]

Clean Air Act Determination of Attainment for PM10 Nonattainment Areas; Montana and Colorado

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to make determinations of attainment for the particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 microns (PM10) National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for the Whitefish, Montana, Thompson Falls, Montana and Steamboat Springs, Colorado moderate PM10 nonattainment areas. The Whitefish, Montana nonattainment area was required by the Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) of 1990 to attain the PM10 NAAQS by December 31, 1999. This proposed determination is based on complete, quality assured ambient air quality monitoring data for the years 1997, 1998, and 1999. The Thompson Falls, Montana and Steamboat Springs, Colorado nonattainment areas were required by the Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) of 1990 to attain the PM10 NAAQS as of December 31, 2000. These proposed determinations are based on complete, quality assured ambient air quality monitoring data for the years 1998, 1999, and 2000.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before September 7, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be mailed to Richard R. Long, Director, Air and Radiation Program, Mailcode 8P–AR, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado, 80202. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air and Radiation Program, Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado, 80202 and copies of the Incorporation by Reference material

are available at the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cindy Rosenberg, EPA, Region VIII, (303) 312–6436.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, wherever “we”, “us”, or “our” are used, we mean the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

Table of Contents

I. Background
A. Designation and Classification of PM10 Nonattainment Areas.
B. How Does EPA Make Attainment Determinations?
II. EPA’s Proposed Action
III. Basis for EPA’s Proposed Action
A. Whitefish, Montana
1. Determination that the Whitefish PM10 Nonattainment Area Attained the PM10 NAAQS as of December 31, 1999.
B. Thompson Falls, Montana
1. Determination that the Thompson Falls PM10 Nonattainment Area Attained the PM10 NAAQS as of December 31, 2000.
C. Steamboat Springs, Colorado
1. Determination that the Steamboat Springs PM10 Nonattainment Area Attained the PM10 NAAQS as of December 31, 2000.
IV. Administrative Requirements

I. Background

A. Designation and Classification of PM10 Nonattainment Areas

The Whitefish and Thompson Falls areas were designated nonattainment for PM10 and classified as moderate under section 107(d)(3) of the Clean Air Act, on October 19, 1993 and December 21, 1993 respectively. See 58 FR 36907 (July 9, 1993), 58 FR 53886 (October 19, 1993) and 40 CFR 81.327 (Flathead County (part)) in regards to Whitefish. See 57 FR 43846 (September 22, 1992), 58 FR 67334 (December 21, 1993) and 40 CFR 81.306 (Sanders County (part)) in regards to Thompson Falls. The Whitefish designation became effective on November 18, 1993 and the Thompson Falls designation became effective on January 20, 1994. The Steamboat Springs, Colorado area was designated nonattainment for PM10 and classified as moderate under section 107(d)(3) of the CAA, on December 21, 1993. See 57 FR 43846 (September 22, 1992), 58 FR 67334 (December 21, 1993) and 40 CFR 81.306 (Routt County (part)). The Steamboat Springs

2 The 1990 Amendments to the Clean Air Act made significant changes to the Act. See Public Law No. 101–549, 104 Stat. 2399. References herein are to the Clean Air Act, as amended (“the Act”). The Clean Air Act is codified, as amended, in the U.S. Code at 42 U.S.C. Sections 7401, et seq.