

Electronics Div., Tucson, AZ: May 8, 2000.
 NAFTA-TAA-04611; Stanley Fastening Systems, Single Wire Department, Hamlet, NC: March 1, 2000.
 NAFTA-TAA-04876; Jackets USA, Magazine, AR: May 3, 2000.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of July, 2001. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: July 23, 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-19608 Filed 8-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-39,461]

D'Clase Cutting Services, L.C.; Medley, FL; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on June 18, 2001, in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at D'Class Cutting Service, L.C., Medley, Florida.

The petition group of workers is subject to an ongoing investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued (TA-W-39,239). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 23rd day of July, 2001.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-19606 Filed 8-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

M. Fine & Sons Manufacturing Co., Inc., Greenhill Distribution Center, Killen, AL; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was

initiated on June 25, 2001, in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at M. Fine & Sons Manufacturing Co., Greenhill Distribution Center, Killen, Alabama.

All workers of the subject firm are covered under an existing certification under TA-W-39,286B. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 23rd day of July 2001.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-19607 Filed 8-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-NAFTA-04834]

Admiral Marine Construction, Inc., Port Angeles, WA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Public Law 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance, hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA), and in accordance with Section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273), an investigation was initiated on May 1, 2001 in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at Admiral Marine Construction, Inc., Port Angeles, Washington.

The petitioner requested that he petition for NAFTA-TAA be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 18th day of July, 2001.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-19609 Filed 8-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-277 and 50-278]

Exelon Generation Company, LLC; Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Unit Nos. 2 and 3 Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an exemption from Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) part 50, section 71(e)(4) to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-44 and DPR-56, issued to Exelon Generation Company, LLC, (the licensee), for operation of the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station (PBAPS), Unit Nos. 2 and 3, located in York County, Pennsylvania.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would exempt the licensee from some requirements of 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4) regarding submission of revisions to the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR). The proposed exemption would allow updates to the combined UFSAR for PBAPS, Unit Nos. 2 and 3, to be submitted within 6 months following completion of each PBAPS Unit 2 refueling outage, not to exceed 24 months from the previous submittal.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for exemption dated May 30, 2001.

The Need for the Proposed Action

10 CFR 50.71(e)(4), requires licensees to submit updates to their UFSAR annually or within 6 months after each refueling outage provided that the interval between successive updates does not exceed 24 months. Since Units 2 and 3 share a common UFSAR, the licensee must update the same document annually or within 6 months after a refueling outage for either unit. The last change to 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4) was published in the **Federal Register** (57 FR 39358) on August 31, 1992, and became effective on October 1, 1992. The underlying purpose of the rule change was to relieve licensees of the burden of filing annual UFSAR revisions while assuring that such revisions are made at least every 24 months. However, as written, the burden reduction can only be realized by single-unit facilities, or multiple-unit facilities that maintain separate UFSARs for each unit. In the Summary and Analysis of Public Comments accompanying the 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4)

rule change published in the **Federal Register** (57 FR 39355, 1992), the NRC acknowledged that the final rule did not provide burden reduction to multiple-unit facilities sharing a common UFSAR. The NRC stated: "With respect to the concern about multiple facilities sharing a common FSAR, licensees will have maximum flexibility for scheduling updates on a case-by-case basis." Granting this exemption would provide burden reduction to PBAPS while still assuring that revisions to the UFSAR are made at least every 24 months.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that it involves administrative activities unrelated to plant operation.

The proposed action will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released off site, and there is no increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action does not involve any historic sites. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (*i.e.*, the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for PBAPS.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on June 18, 2001, the NRC staff consulted with the Pennsylvania State official, Dennis Dyckman, of the Pennsylvania Department of

Environmental Protection, Nuclear Safety Division, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated May 30, 2001. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management Systems (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/ADAMS/index.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS may contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of July, 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John P. Boska,

Project Manager, Section 2, Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 01-19593 Filed 8-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Proposed Collection; Comments Request for Review of an Expiring Information Collection: OPM Form 1203-AW, Qualifications & Availability Form C, OPM Form 1203-FX, Qualifications & Availability Form C, and OPM Form 1203-EFX, Qualifications and Availability Form EZ

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) intends to submit to

the Office of Management and Budget a request for review of an expiring Form for information collection. The OPM Form 1203-AW, Qualifications & Availability Form C, is an optical scan form designed to collect applicant information and qualifications in a format suitable for automated processing and to create applicant records for an automated examining system. OPM uses the form to carry out its responsibility for open competitive examining for admission to the competitive service in accordance with 5 U.S.C. section 3304. The OPM Form 1203-FX, Qualifications & Availability Form C, and the OPM Form 1203-EFX, Qualifications and Availability Form EZ, are used to collect applicant information in a format suitable for automated processing, electronic transmission, and reproduction using a laser printer.

Approximately 500,000 of the OPM Form 1203 are completed annually. The public burden of information collection is estimated to vary from 20 minutes to 45 minutes to complete this form including time for reviewing instructions, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing entries. The average time to complete this form is 30 minutes. The annual estimated burden is 225,000 hours.

Comments on this proposed reinstatement are particularly invited on:

- Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of OPM, and whether it will have practical utility;
- Whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and is based on valid assumptions and methodology; and
- Ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of the appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

For copies of this proposal, contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey on 202-606-8358 or e-mail at mbtoomey@opm.gov.

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received on or before October 5, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to—U.S. Office of Personnel Management, Employment Service, ATTN: Tim Firlie, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 1425, Washington, DC 20415-9820.