

responsibilities to local governments. Reports will include sampling data and duration of water quality exceedance.

4. **Methods and Assessment Procedures**—Develop detailed methods and assessment procedures to detect levels of pathogens and pathogen indicators that are harmful to human health. The assessment procedures shall identify short-term increases in pathogens and pathogen indicators.

5. **Public Notification and Risk Communication Plan**—Develop an overall public notification and risk communication plan to describe notification efforts and measures to inform the public of potential risks associated with water contact in polluted waters.

6. **Measures to Notify EPA and Local Government**—Identify measures for prompt communication of the occurrence, nature, location, pollutants, and extent of or likelihood of exceeding applicable water quality standards for pathogens and pathogen indicators.

7. **Measures to Notify the Public**—Address the posting of signs or functional equivalent at beaches or similar points of access to give notice to the public which coastal recreation waters are not meeting or are not expected to meet applicable water quality standards for pathogens and pathogen indicators and on the risks of swimming in those waters.

8. **Notification Report Submission and Delegations**—Develop a mechanism to collect relevant information and submit timely reports to EPA and document any delegations of public notification responsibilities to local governments. Reports will include actions to take when water quality standards are exceeded.

9. **Public Review of Program**—Identify measures to provide an opportunity for the public to review the program through a process that provides for public notice and an opportunity for comment.

What Is the Purpose of This Notice?

EPA solicits comments on all aspects of the draft performance criteria and guidance. In particular, EPA requests comments and information on whether:

(1) EPA should define the scope of the program to provide a range of requirements and flexibility that would allow BEACH Act funding to support monitoring and notification at a greater number of beaches, or to establish very strict standards which would limit BEACH Act funding to a small number of priority beaches. The current draft would allow a greater number of beaches to be funded;

(2) A State should use its current water quality standards for pathogens and pathogen indicators as the basis for deciding to issue an advisory or close a beach, or should use EPA's new ambient water quality criteria as the threshold prior to their adoption into a State's water quality standards;

(3) The risk-based evaluation and beach classification approach provides sufficient guidance and flexibility for a State to administer a BEACH Act monitoring and notification program;

(4) EPA should provide more specificity on the definition of a beach;

(5) EPA should provide more specificity on the required elements of a monitoring plan, and in particular the sampling location, frequency, and depth;

(6) Only EPA's analytical promulgated methods should be used (once promulgated) to monitor pathogens at beaches, or should other scientifically valid methods be allowed. EPA has promulgated analytical methods for fecal and total coliform bacteria, and is considering to promulgate methods for enterococci and *E. coli*;

(7) Predictive methods (*e.g.*, water quality or empirical models) can be used to issue or remove advisories or closures;

(8) EPA should provide more specificity on the required elements of a notification plan, and in particular posting signs or functional equivalents, measures to report water quality exceedances to EPA, State agencies, beach managers, and the public; and

(9) A State should require an advisory or closure for any exceedance of a water quality standard, or may it allow for immediate re-sampling to verify the initial sample.

Is There Other Related Information?

EPA will host five outreach sessions to explain the document and answer questions about it. These sessions are in Wilmington, DE on July 31, San Diego, CA on August 3, Jacksonville, FL on August 21, New Orleans, LA on August 23, Chicago, IL on August 23. These meetings were announced in the **Federal Register** on July 20, 2001 and on EPA's website at <http://www.epa.gov/ost/beaches/meetings/>.

How Can You Submit Comments?

You may submit comments by mail, e-mail, or delivered by hand to the addresses shown in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice. EPA will not accept facsimiles (faxes). If you mail or hand deliver comments, please send an original and three copies of your comments and enclosures (including references). If you want receipt of your

comments acknowledged, you must include a self-addressed, stamped envelope. You may also submit your comments by sending an e-mail to owdocket@epa.gov or by disk. If you do, you must submit electronic comments as an ASCII file, or a WordPerfect 5.1, WordPerfect 6.1, or WordPerfect 8 file avoiding the use of special characters and any form on encryption, and identify these comments by the docket number W-01-08 on the subject line. You may file electronic comments on this notice at many Federal Depository Libraries. You should not send confidential business information by e-mail. The information received in response to this notice will be filed under docket number W-01-08, and include referenced documents as well as printed, paper versions of electronic comments. The record is available for inspection from 9 to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays at the Water Docket, EB57, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Headquarters, 401 M St., Washington, DC. For access to docket materials, please call (202) 260-3027 to schedule an appointment.

Dated: July 26, 2001.

Geoffrey H. Grubbs,

Director, Office of Science and Technology.

[FR Doc. 01-19150 Filed 7-30-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval

July 24, 2001.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commissions, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility;

(b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before August 30, 2001. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-A804, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to lesmith@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Les Smith at (202) 418-0217 or via the Internet at lesmith@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060-0971.

Title: Numbering Resource Optimization, Second R&O, Order on Reconsideration in CC Docket No. 96-98 and CC Docket No. 99-200, and Second FNPRM in CC Docket No. 99-200 (Second R&O).

Form Number: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit entities; and State, local, or tribal governments.

Number of Respondents: 2,050.

Estimated Time per Response: 0.25 to 3 hrs.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement; third party disclosure.

Total Annual Burden: 14,000 hrs.

Total Annual Costs: None.

Needs and Uses: The Second Report and Order in CC Docket Nos. 99-200 and 96-98, released December 29, 2000 requires that carriers, which report forecast and utilization data semi-annually to the North American Numbering Plan Administrator (NANPA) or the Pooling Administrator, duplicate the data for state commissions upon request, and that to request a "for cause" audit of a carrier, the NANPA, the Pooling Administrator, or a state commission must draft a request to the auditor stating the reason for the request, *i.e.*, as misleading or inaccurate data, and attach supporting documentation. The FCC, state commissions, the NANPA, and the Pooling Administrator use this

information to verify the validity and accuracy of the data and to assist state commissions in carrying out their numbering responsibilities, *i.e.*, as area code relief.

OMB Control Number: 3060-0960.

Title: Application of Network Non-duplication Protection, Syndicated Exclusivity, and Sports Blackout Rules to Satellite Retransmissions.

Form Number: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Businesses or other for-profit entities.

Number of Respondents: 1,407.

Estimated Time per Response: 30 mins. to 1 hr.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirements; third party disclosure.

Total Annual Burden: 63,992 hours.

Total Annual Costs: None.

Needs and Uses: The Commission adopted a Report and Order (R&O), FCC 00-388, on October 27, 2000 to implement the SHVIA regulations that apply to network non-duplication, syndicated exclusivity, and sports blackout requirements to satellite carriers. This R&O protects the exclusive contract rights negotiated between broadcasters, distributors, and rights holders for the retransmission of network, syndicated, and sports programming in the broadcasters' recognized market areas. The R&O carries out Congress' intent in enacting SHVIA—to keep the competitive marketplace in balance by protecting the broadcasters' private contractual arrangements and ensuring that satellite carriers have regulatory obligations that are as similar as possible to cable operators.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-19064 Filed 7-30-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

July 24, 2001.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction

Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before August 30, 2001. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Judy Boley, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-C804, 445 12th Street, SW., DC 20554 or via the Internet to jboley@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judy Boley at 202-418-0214 or via the Internet at jboley@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No.: 3060-0715.

Title: Implementation of the Telecommunications Act of 1996: Telecommunications Carrier's Use of Customer Proprietary Network Information and Other Customer Information, CC Docket No. 96-115.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Businesses or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 6,832.

Estimated Time Per Response: .25—78 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement and recordkeeping requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 613,616 hours.

Total Annual Cost: \$229,520.

Needs and Uses: The requirements implement the statutory obligations of section 222 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Among other things, carriers are permitted to use CPNI,