

The NPRM should include the preamble and the rule language along with any supporting legal analysis.

**Schedule:** ARAC must complete this task no later than 18 months after the FAA publishes the task in the **Federal Register**.

#### ARAC Acceptance of Task

ARAC accepted the task and assigned the task to the Critical Parts Harmonization Working Group, Rotorcraft Issues. The working group serves as staff to ARAC and assists in the analysis of assigned tasks. ARAC must review and approve the working group's recommendations. If ARAC accepts the working group's recommendations, it will forward them to the FAA. Recommendations that are received from ARAC will be submitted to the agency's Rulemaking Management Council to address the availability of resources and prioritization.

#### Working Group Activity

The Critical Parts Harmonization Working Group is expected to comply with the procedures adopted by ARAC. As part of the procedures, the working group is expected to:

1. Recommend a work plan for completion of the task, including the rationale supporting such a plan for consideration at the next meeting of the ARAC on rotorcraft issues held following publication of this notice.
2. Give a detailed conceptual presentation of the proposed recommendations prior to proceeding with the work stated in item 3 below.
3. Draft the appropriate documents and required analyses and/or any other related materials or documents.
4. Provide a status report at each meeting of the ARAC held to consider rotorcraft issues.

#### Participation in the Working Group

The Critical Parts Harmonization Working Group is composed of technical experts having an interest in the assigned task. A working group member need to be a representative or a member of the full committee.

An individual who has expertise in the subject matter and wishes to become a member of the working group should write to the person listed under the caption **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** expressing that desire, describing his or her interest in the task, and stating the expertise he or she would bring to the working group. All requests to participate must be received no later than August 13, 2001. The requests will be reviewed by the assistant chair, the assistant executive

director, and the working group co-chairs. Individuals will be advised whether or not their request can be accommodated.

Individuals chosen for membership on the working group will be expected to represent their aviation community segment and actively participate in the working group (e.g., attend all meetings, provide written comments when requested to do so, etc.). They also will be expected to devote the resources necessary to support the working group in meeting any assigned deadlines. Members are expected to keep their management chain and those they may represent advised of working group activities and decisions to ensure that the proposed technical solutions do not conflict with their sponsoring organization's position when the subject being negotiated is presented to ARAC for approval.

Once the working group has begun deliberations, members will not be added or substituted without the approval of the assistant chair, the assistant executive director, and the working group co-chairs.

The Secretary of Transportation determined that the formation and use of the ARAC is necessary and in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the FAA by law.

Meetings of the ARAC will be open to the public. Meetings of the Critical Parts Harmonization Working Group will not be open to the public, except to the extent that individuals with an interest and expertise are selected to participate. The FAA will make no public announcement of working group meetings.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 24, 2001.

**Anthony F. Fazio,**

*Executive Director, Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee.*

[FR Doc. 01-18923 Filed 7-27-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

[Summary Notice No. PE-2001-58]

#### Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Dispositions of Petitions Issued

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of dispositions of prior petitions.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions

for exemption part 11 of Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR), this notice contains a summary of dispositions of certain petitions previously received. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Forest Rawls (202) 267-8033, Sandy Buchanan-Sumter (202) 267-7271, or Vanessa Wilkins (202) 267-8029, Office of Rulemaking (ARM-1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85 and 11.91.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 25, 2001.

**Donald P. Byrne,**

*Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.*

#### Dispositions of Petitions

*Docket No.:* 29434.

*Petitioner:* The Boeing Company.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 21.325(b)(3).

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To allow Boeing to issue export airworthiness approvals for Class II and Class III products that are located at and manufactured by Boeing Arnprior as an approved supplier to Boeing under Boeing's PC No. 700. *Grant, 06/26/2001, Exemption No. 7552*

*Docket No.:* FAA-2001-9922.

*Petitioner:* Daedalus, Inc. dba Business Aviation Services.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit BAS to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO-C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft. *Grant, 07/09/2001, Exemption No. 7569*

*Docket No.:* FAA-2001-10017.

*Petitioner:* Fairfield County Pilot Association.

*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 135.251, 135.255, 135.353, and appendixes I and J to part 121.

*Description of Relief Sought/*

*Disposition:* To permit FCPA to conduct local sightseeing flights at the Fairfield County Airport, Lancaster, Ohio, for compensation or hire, without complying with certain anti-drug and alcohol misuse prevention requirements of part 135. *Grant, 07/12/2001, Exemption No. 7570*

*Docket No.:* FAA-2001-8786 (previously Docket No. 29492).

*Petitioner:* Lynden Air Cargo.  
*Section of 14 CFR Affected:* 14 CFR 121.344

*Description of Relief Sought/Disposition:* To permit LAC to operate its 4 Lockheed Martin 382G Hercules (L382G) airplanes (Registration Nos. N401LC, N402LC, N403LC, and N404LC; Serial Nos. 4606, 4698, 4590, and 4763, respectively) under part 121 without those aircraft being equipped with an approved flight data recorder.  
*Grant, 07/13/2001, Exemption no. 6921A*

[FR Doc. 01-18927 Filed 7-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### Notice of Intent To Rule on Application 01-06-U-00-SEA To Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Seattle-Tacoma International Airport, Submitted by the Port of Seattle, Seattle-Tacoma International Airport, Seattle, WA

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to use PFC revenue at Seattle-Tacoma International Airport under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 40117 and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 158).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before August 29, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Mr. J. Wade Bryant, Manager; Seattle Airports District Office, SEA-ADO; Federal Aviation Administration; 1601 Lind Avenue SW, Suite 250, Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Ms. Gina Marie Lindsey, Director of Aviation Division, at the following address: Seattle-Tacoma International Airport, Port of Seattle, P.O. Box 68727, Seattle, WA 98168.

Air Carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to Seattle-Tacoma International Airport, under section 158.23 of part 158.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Suzanne Lee-Pang, (425) 227-2654, Seattle Airports District Office, SEA-ADO; Federal Aviation Administration;

1601 Lind Avenue SW, Suite 250, Renton, Washington 98055-4056. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application 01-06-U-00-SEA to use PFC revenue at Seattle-Tacoma International Airport, under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 40117 and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On July 20, 2001, the FAA determined that the application to use the revenue from a PFC submitted by Port of Seattle, Seattle-Tacoma International Airport, Seattle, Washington, was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than October 20, 2001.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

*Level of the previously approved PFC:* \$3.00.

*Actual approved charge-effective date for impose authority:* January 1, 2004.

*Proposed charge-expiration date:* January 1, 2023.

*Total requested for use approval:* \$50,000,000.

*Brief description of proposed project:* Noise Remedy Program.

*Class or classes of air carriers, which the public agency has requested not to be required to collect PFC's:* None.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** and at the FAA Regional Airports Office located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Northwest Mountain Region, Airports Division, ANM-600, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Suite 315, Renton, WA 98055-4056.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Seattle-Tacoma International Airport.

Issued in Renton, Washington on July 20, 2001.

**David A. Field,**

*Manager, Planning, Programming and Capacity Branch, Northwest Mountain Region.*

[FR Doc. 01-18926 Filed 7-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Railroad Administration

#### Notice of Application for Approval of Discontinuance or Modification of a Railroad Signal System or Relief From Requirements

Pursuant to Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 235 and 49 U.S.C. 20502(a), the following railroads have petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) seeking approval for the discontinuance or modification of the signal system or relief from the requirements of 49 CFR part 236 as detailed below.

#### Docket Number FRA-2001-9806

##### *Applicants:*

CSX Transportation, Incorporated, Mr. Eric G. Peterson, Assistant Chief Engineer, Signal Design and Construction 4901 Belfort Road, Suite 130 (S/C J-370), Jacksonville, Florida 32256

Norfolk Southern Corporation, Mr. Brian L. Sykes, Chief Engineer C&S Engineering, 99 Spring Street, S.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30303

CSX Transportation, Incorporated (CSX) and Norfolk Southern Corporation (NS) jointly seek approval of the proposed discontinuance of the automatic block signal system rules on the CSX single main track between milepost BJ152.3 and milepost BJ155.6 on the Great Lakes Division, CL&W Subdivision near Elyria, Ohio, where the CSX single main track crosses at grade the double main track of the NS's Chicago Line. The proposed changes include conversion of the operative approach signals to inoperative type signals equipped with "APP Markers," retention of the interlocking at the rail crossing at grade and reduction of the maximum authorized timetable speed from 25 mph to 20 mph.

The reason given for the proposed changes is that present day operation does not warrant retention of the signal system as only one train a day operates between Lester and Lorain.

Any interested party desiring to protest the granting of an application shall set forth, specifically, the grounds upon which the protest is made and contain a concise statement of the interest of the party in the proceeding. Additionally, one copy of the protest shall be furnished to the applicant at the address listed above.

All communications concerning this proceeding should be identified by the docket number and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PI-401,