

and 516(b)(1) of the Clean Water Act. It is a periodic inventory of existing and proposed publicly owned wastewater treatment works (POTWS) and other water pollution control facilities in the United States, as well as an estimate of how many POTWS are needed to be built. The Clean Water Needs Survey is a voluntary joint effort of EPA and the States. The Survey records cost and technical data associated with all POTWS and other water pollution control facilities, existing and proposed, in the United States. The States provide this information to EPA. No confidential information is used, nor is sensitive information protected from release under the Public Information Act, used. EPA achieves national consistency in the final results through the application of uniform guidelines and validation techniques. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The "Clean Water Needs Surveys" OMB Control No. 2040-0050, the EPA ICR No. 0318.09.

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and record keeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 11,011 hours.

The frequency of response is annual with an estimated number of 56 respondents. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain or disclose, or provide information to or for a Federal Agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information; adjust the

existing data to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; and search data sources, complete and review the collection of information, and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: July 20, 2001

Michael B. Cook,

Director, Office of Wastewater Management.

[FR Doc. 01-18824 Filed 7-26-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6620-3]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa
Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements
Filed July 16, 2001 Through July 20, 2001

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 010253, Draft Supplement EIS, NPS, AZ, Organ Pipe Cactus National Monument General Management Plan and Development Concept Plan, Updated Information concerning Re-Analysis of Cumulative Effects on the Sonoran Pronghorn portion of the Sonoran Desert, Pima County, AZ, Comment Period Ends: September 14, 2001, Contact: Bill Wellman (520) 3877661 Ext. 7500.

EIS No. 010257, Draft Supplement, NOA, NC, FL, SC, GA, South Atlantic Region Shrimp Fishery Management Plan, Amendment 5, Additional Information concerning Rock Shrimp in the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ), NC, SC, FL and GA, Comment Period Ends: September 04, 2001, Contact: Dr. Joseph E. Powers (727) 570-5305. This EIS should have appeared in the FR on 07/20/2001. The 45-Day Comment Period is Calculated from 07/20/2001.

EIS No. 010262, Draft EIS, FHW, IL, U.S. 67 (FAP-310) Expressway from Jacksonville to Macomb Transportation Improvements, NPDES and COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Morgan, Cass, Schuyler and McDonough Counties, IL, Comment Period Ends: September 10, 2001, Contact: Norman Stoner (217) 492-4640.

EIS No. 010263, Draft EIS, AFS, CO, Bark Beetle Analysis, Proposal to Reduce Infestation of Trees by Tree-Killing Bark Beetles, Medicine Bow-

Routt National Forests, Hahans Peak/Bears Ears Ranger District, Routt, Grand, Jackson and Moffat Counties, CO, Comment Period Ends:

September 10, 2001, Contact: Andy Cadenhead (970) 870-2220.

EIS No. 010264, Draft EIS, TPT, CA, Presidio Trust Implementation Plan (PTIP), An Updated Plan for the Area B of the Presidio of San Francisco, Implementation, San Francisco Bay Area, Marin County, CA, Comment Period Ends: September 25, 2001, Contact: John Polka (415) 561-5300.

EIS No. 010265, Final EIS, BOP, AZ, Southern Arizona Federal Correctional Facility, Construction and Operation, Pima and Yuma Counties, AZ, Wait Period Ends: August 27, 2001, Contact: David J. Dorworth (202) 514-6470.

EIS No. 010266, Draft EIS, COE, SD, Title VI Land Transfer South Dakota, Transfer of 91,178 Acres of Land at Lake Oahe, Lake Sharp, Lake Francis Case, and Lewis & Clark Lake, from the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to the South Dakota Department of Game, Fish and Parks (SDGFP), SD, Comment Period Ends: September 10, 2001, Contact: Patsy Freeman (402) 221-3803.

EIS No. 010267, FINAL EIS, GSA, DC, Department of Transportation Headquarters, Proposal to Lease 1.3 to 1.35 Million Rentable Square Feet of Consolidated and Upgraded Space, Five Possible Sites, Located in the Central Employment Area, Washington, D.C., Wait Period Ends: September 04, 2001, Contact: John Simeon (202) 260-5786.

EIS No. 010268, FINAL EIS, FHW, CA, CA-58 Transportation Corridor, Route Adoption and Purchases Right-of-Way Acquisition Project, between CA-99 in the Bakerfield Metropolitan Area and Interstate 5 in Kern County, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Kern County, CA, Wait Period Ends: August 27, 2001, Contact: C. Glenn Clinton (916) 498-5041.

EIS No. 010269, FINAL EIS, AFS, MT, NB, WY, ND, SD, Dakota Prairie Grasslands, Nebraska National Forest Units and Thunder Basin National Grassland, Land and Resource Management Plans 1999 Revisions, Implementation, MT, NB, WY, ND and SD, Wait Period Ends: January 22, 2002, Contact: Carla Loop (308) 432-0300. This document is available on the Internet at www.fs.fed.us/ngp.

EIS No. 010270, DRAFT EIS, FRC, AZ, CA, North Baja Pipeline Project, Docket Nos. CP01-22-000 and CP01-23-000, Construction and Operation A New Natural Gas Transmission Pipeline, Land Use Plan Amendment,

Right-of-Way Grant, NPDES, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, La Praz and Yuma Counties, AZ and Imperial, Kern, Riverside, Palo Verde, San Bernardino and San Diego Counties, CA, Comment Period Ends: October 25, 2001, Contact: Paula Felt (202) 208-1088. This document is available on the Internet at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm>.

EIS No. 010271, Draft Supplement, USA, CA, Oakland Army Base Disposal and Reuse Plan, Implementation, City of Oakland, Alameda County, CA, Comment Period Ends: September 10, 2001, Contact: Theresa Persick Arnold (703) 697-0216.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 010258, Final EIS, GSA, DC, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms National Headquarters Building, Site Acquisition, Design and Construction, Washington, D.C., Due: August 20, 2001, Contact: Dawud Abdur-Rahman (202) 260-3368. Revision of FR notice published on 07/20/2001: CEQ Comment Period Ending 08/27/2001 has been Corrected to 08/20/2001.

EIS No. 010024, Draft EIS, FAA, CA, Los Angeles International Airports, Proposed Master Plan Improvements on Runway, New Taxiways, New Terminal, New Air Cargo and Maintenance, Funding, Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, CA, Due: September 24, 2001, Contact: David B. Kessler (310) 725-3615. Revision of FR Notice Published on 02/02/2001: CEQ Review Period Ending 07/25/2001 has been Extended to 09/24/2001.

Dated: July 24, 2001.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 01-18827 Filed 7-26-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6620-4]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed

to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-J65344-MT Rating EC2, Burned Area Recovery, Proposal to Reduce Fuels, Improve Watershed Conditions and Reforest Burned Lands, Sula, Darby, West Fork and Stevensville Ranger Districts, Bitterroot National Forest, Ravalli County, MT.

Summary: While EPA supports proposed watershed and road system improvements, but expressed environmental concerns about sediment production and increased water yield from fuels reduction treatment, particularly in watersheds with existing water quality impairments that were severely impacted by fire. EPA also notes the need for consistency of proposed actions with State TMDL development needs for 303(d) listed streams in the project area.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65383-ID Rating EC1, Hidden Cedar Project, Road Construction and Watershed Restoration, Idaho Panhandle National Forest, St. Joe Ranger District, Benewah, Shoshone, Clearwater and Latah Counties, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about activities taking place in a watershed with impaired waters before a water quality restoration plan has been developed.

ERP No. D-BOP-E81039-00 Rating EC2, Criminal Alien Requirement (CAR) II, To Contract for a Private Contractor-Owned/Contractor-Operated Correctional Facility in Florida, Mississippi, Georgia and Alabama to House Adult-Male and Non-US Citizen, AL, FL, GA and/or MS.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential wetland impacts and Environmental Justice issues. EPA requested additional information on these issues.

ERP No. D-COE-C39015-NJ Rating EC2, Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, Storm Damage Reduction for Ocean City and Ludlam Island Utilizing Beachfill to Construct a Protective Berm and Dune, City of Ocean City, Strathmere (Township of Upper), City of Sea Isle City, Cape May County, NJ.

Summary: EPA raised concerns about potential cumulative impacts associated with the current and future Federal erosion/storm damage protection projects. EPA requested that additional information to address the issue of

cumulative effects be included in the Final EIS.

ERP No. D-NPS-D61053-VA Rating EC2, Green Spring Colonial National Historical Park Management Plan, Implementation, James City County, VA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the loss of approximately 20 acres of forested resources, including 8 acres of forested wetlands, impacts to groundwater quality and flow that may affect a spring and an adjacent wetland. EPA suggests minimizing impacts by siting facilities on already disturbed areas to the extend practical.

ERP No. D-TVA-E05098-TN Rating EC2, Addition of Electric Generation Baseload Capacity, Proposal to Construct a Natural Gas Fired Combined Cycle Power Plant, Franklin County, TN.

Summary: EPA's concerns related to PSD and other air quality issues, water quality, and hazardous materials. EPA requested that TVA provide additional information regarding the design and use of non-fossil fuels such as renewables in their new and repowered power plants to further minimize emissions, including greenhouse gases.

ERP No. D-USN-K11105-CA Rating LO, Point Molate Property Naval Fuel Depot (NFD) for the Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, Fleet and Industrial Supply Center, City of Richmond, Contra Costa County, CA.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the preferred disposal and reuse alternative.

ERP No. DS-FAA-B51017-MA Rating EO2, Logan Airside Improvements Planning Project (EOEA #10458), Construction and Operation of a new Unidirectional Runway ¹⁴/₃₂, Centerfield Taxiway and Add'l Taxiway Improvements, New Information Providing Clarification of the Delay Problems, Airport Layout Plan, Federal Funding and NPDES Permit, Boston Logan Int'l Airport, Boston, MA

Summary: EPA raised environmental objections to the proposed runway expansion project due to noise impacts to low income and minority communities and questioned whether the proposed runway can accommodate aircraft projected to use the airport in the future. EPA recommended peak period pricing to help alleviate congestion at the airport.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-L65341-OR Tower Fire Recovery Project, Restoration and Salvage, Implementation, Umatilla National Forest, North Fork John Day Ranger District, Umatilla and Grant Counties, OR.