

product labels, and provides examples of resistance management labeling. EPA believes that this approach to resistance management is sound and would be highly beneficial to pesticide manufacturers and pesticide users. EPA is hopeful that registrants will embrace this approach and work with EPA to implement it for all relevant products. EPA believes this approach is an important element of international harmonization.

B. PR Notices are Guidance Documents

The PR Notice discussed in this notice is intended to provide guidance to EPA personnel and decision-makers and to pesticide registrants. This notice is not binding on either EPA or pesticide registrants, and EPA may depart from the guidance where circumstances warrant and without prior notice. Likewise, pesticide registrants may always assert that the guidance is not appropriate generally or not applicable to a specific pesticide or situation. For the matters covered by this particular PR Notice, EPA also does not expect to require that any registrant adopt the labeling set forth here as part of any individual licensing decision or action. However, if any registrant seeks to use the language set forth here in the manner and circumstances described here, EPA does generally expect to find such language acceptable in any licensing proceeding.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: June 19, 2001.

Marcia E. Mulkey,

Director, Office of Pesticide Programs

[FR Doc. 01-18199 Filed 7-19-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-S

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7016-2]

Proposed CERCLA Administrative Cost Recovery Settlement; Budd Brothers, d/b/a/ Century 21 Paint, Inc.

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as

amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i), notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative settlement for recovery of past response costs concerning the Century 21 Paint, Inc. site in Mahoning County, Austintown, Ohio with the following settling party: Budd Brothers, d/b/a Century 21 Paint, Inc. The settlement requires the settling party to pay \$120,000 to the Hazardous Substance Superfund. The conditions of the Agreement may be summarized as follows: Within 30 days of the effective date of this Agreement, the settling party will make an initial down payment of \$50,000. The settling party agrees to pay the outstanding balance of \$70,000 in three (3) equal installments, plus accrued interest on the unpaid balance, over a period of eighteen (18) months. The interest rate on the outstanding balance shall be the interest rate established under Subchapter A of Chapter 98 of Title 26 of the U.S. Code, compounded on October 1 of each year, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. 9607(a). The settlement includes a covenant not to sue the settling party pursuant to section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a). For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the settlement. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlement if comments received indicate that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. The Agency's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at the U.S. EPA Records Center Room 714, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before August 20, 2001.

ADDRESSES: The proposed settlement is available for public inspection at the U.S. EPA Records Center Room 714, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. A copy of the proposed settlement may be obtained from the Office of Regional Counsel, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. Comments should reference the Century 21 Paint, Inc. site in Mahoning County, Austintown, Ohio and EPA Docket No. V-W-01-C-650 and should be addressed to Ms. Joanna Glowacki, Associate Regional Counsel, U.S. EPA Office of Regional Counsel, 77 West Jackson Boulevard (C-14J), Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Joanna Glowacki, Associate Regional Counsel, U.S. EPA Office of Regional Counsel, 77 West Jackson Boulevard (C-

14J), Chicago, Illinois 60604, at (312) 353-3757.

Dated: July 3, 2001.

William E. Munro,

Director, Superfund Division, Region 5, Environmental Protection Agency.

[FR Doc. 01-18192 Filed 7-19-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[CC Docket No. 96-45; DA 01-1647]

The Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice; comments requested.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Commission invites interested parties to update the record pertaining to petitions for reconsideration filed with respect to the rules the Commission adopted in the *Universal Service First Report and Order*.

DATES: Comments are due on or before August 20, 2001. Reply comments are due on or before September 4, 2001.

ADDRESSES: See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for where and how to file comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sheryl Todd, Management Analyst, or Richard Smith, Attorney, Common Carrier Bureau, Accounting Policy Division, (202) 418-7400, TTY: (202) 418-0484.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 8, 1997, the Commission released the *Universal Service First Report and Order*, 62 FR 32862, May 8, 1997, as required by the 1996 Telecommunications Act. Many parties filed petitions for reconsideration of that order. Since then, there has been substantial litigation concerning many of the rules adopted in the *Universal Service First Report and Order*. As a result, many of the issues raised in the petitions for reconsideration may no longer remain in dispute.

The Commission has refrained from considering many of the petitions for reconsideration of the rules adopted in the *Universal Service First Report and Order* until most of the litigated issues were resolved. Now that issues in dispute have narrowed, the Commission will proceed to address petitions for reconsideration relating to rules that are not the subject of pending litigation.

Because these petitions were filed several years ago, the passage of time and intervening developments may have