

avoidance of unnecessarily entering the action statements in LCO 3.1.5 and 3.1.6. There are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

*Alternative to the Proposed Action:* Since there is no significant environmental impact associated with the proposed action, alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact are not evaluated. The alternative to the proposed action would be to deny approval of the exemption and use the TS times in the current Certificate. Denial of the exemption could potentially lead into unnecessarily entering the TS LCO action statements 3.1.5 and 3.1.6 resulting in increased radiation doses to workers.

*Agencies and Persons Consulted:* On June 22, 2001, Mr. Jim Muckerhide, Nuclear Engineer, Nuclear Safety, of Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency was contacted about the Environmental Assessment for the proposed action and had no comments.

#### Finding of No Significant Impact

The environmental impacts of the proposed action have been reviewed in accordance with the requirements set forth in 10 CFR part 51. Based upon the foregoing EA, the Commission finds that the proposed action of granting an exemption from 10 CFR 72.212(a)(2), 72.212(b)(2)(i)(A), and 72.214 so that YAEC may use revised TS time at YNPS ISFSI will not significantly impact the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

The NRC maintains an Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. These documents may be accessed through the NRC's Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/ADAMS/index.html>. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737 or by e-mail to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 12th day of July 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission

**E. William Brach,**

*Director Spent Fuel Project Office, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 01-18176 Filed 7-19-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Working Group on Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP) Lessons Learned

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of formation of working group and public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is announcing a meeting and the formation of a working group on Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP) Lessons Learned. The working group will provide recommendations to the NRC on enhancements and lessons learned to strengthen the IMPEP process. The working group is composed of representatives from the NRC and Agreement States.

**DATES:** The first meeting will be held on July 31–August 2, 2001, from 8 am to 5 pm.

**ADDRESSES:** NRC Headquarters, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O-3-B-6, Rockville, Maryland, 20852. These meetings will be open to the public. Future meetings will be announced on the NRC public meeting web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/PUBLIC/meet.html>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kathleen Schneider, Senior Health Physicist, Office of State and Tribal Programs, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC, 20555-0001. Telephone: 301-415-2320; e-mail: [kxs@nrc.gov](mailto:kxs@nrc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In FY 1996, NRC began implementation of IMPEP in the evaluation of Agreement State and Regional materials programs to assure that public health and safety are adequately protected from the hazards associated with the use of radioactive materials and that Agreement State programs are compatible with NRC's programs. The IMPEP process employs a team of NRC and Agreement State staff to assess both Agreement State and NRC Regional Office radioactive materials licensing and inspection programs. All reviews use common criteria in the assessment and place primary emphasis on performance. Additional areas have been identified as non-common performance indicators and are also addressed in the assessment. The final determination of adequacy of each NRC Regional Office and both adequacy and compatibility of each Agreement State program, based on the review team's report, is made by a Management

Review Board (MRB) composed of NRC managers and an Agreement State program manager who serves as the Agreement State liaison to the MRB.

At the end of FY1999, NRC completed its first round of IMPEP reviews for all Agreement States. Regional reviews originally were performed every 2 years and are now performed every 4 years. Agreement State reviews occur at frequencies of 2–4 years. From its inception, IMPEP has been an iterative process. As the program progressed from the pilot, through interim implementation to final implementation, NRC staff has factored in experience, comments and suggestions to enhance IMPEP. At the completion of this first cycle of reviews, NRC believes that an independent examination by a working group of the IMPEP experiences to date could further enhance this program. The working group will evaluate IMPEP experiences for additional enhancements and lessons learned to strengthen the IMPEP process.

A copy of the working group charter is available through the NRC's Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS) at <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/ADAMS/index.html>, where the accession number is ML011930478. Copies may also be obtained by contacting the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) by calling (800) 397-4209, faxing a request to (301) 415-3548, or sending a request by electronic mail to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 16th day of July 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Paul H. Lohaus,**

*Director, Office of State and Tribal Programs.*

[FR Doc. 01-18175 Filed 7-19-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 1-11344]

### Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application To Withdraw From Listing and Registration on the American Stock Exchange LLC (Intermagnetics General Corporation, Common Stock, \$.10 Par Value)

July 16, 2001.

Intermagnetics General Corporation, a New York corporation ("Issuer"), has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of

1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 12d2-2(d) thereunder,<sup>2</sup> to withdraw its Common Stock, \$.10 par value ("Security"), from listing and registration on the American Stock Exchange LLC ("Amex").

The Issuer states in its application that it has met the requirements of Amex Rule 18 by complying with all applicable laws in effect in the state of New York, in which it was incorporated, and with the Amex's rules governing an issuer's voluntary withdrawal of a security from listing and registration.

On May 30, 2001, the Board of Directors of the Issuer unanimously adopted resolutions to withdraw the Issuer's Security from listing on the Amex and, instead, list it on the Nasdaq Stock Market. In its application, the Issuer states that trading in the Security on the Amex will cease on July 10, 2001 and trading in the Security is expected to begin on the Nasdaq at the opening of business on July 11, 2001.

In making the decision to withdraw the Security from listing on the Exchange, the Issuer represents that (i) listing on the Nasdaq will be more beneficial to the Issuer's shareholders than the present listing on the Amex because of the Issuer's emergence and growing recognition as a technology-driven company; (ii) the Issuer's peers and similar companies are listed on Nasdaq; and (iii) the move to Nasdaq will further enhance the liquidity of the Issuer's stock, making it more attractive to institutional investors.

The Issuer's application relates solely to the Security withdrawal from listing on the Amex and from registration under section 12(b) of the Act<sup>3</sup> and shall affect neither its approval for trading on the Nasdaq, nor its obligation to be registered under section 12(g) of the Act.<sup>4</sup>

Any interested person may, on or before July 30, 2001 submit by letter to the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609, facts bearing upon whether the application has been made in accordance with the rules of protection of investors. The Commission, based on the information submitted to it, will issue an order granting the application after the date mentioned above, unless the Commission determines to order a hearing on the matter.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>5</sup>

**Jonathan G. Katz,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-18168 Filed 7-19-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-M**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 35-27426]

### Filings Under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as Amended ("Act")

July 13, 2001.

Notice is hereby given that the following filing(s) has/have been made with the Commission pursuant to provisions of the Act and rules promulgated under the Act. All interested persons are referred to the application(s) and/or declaration(s) for complete statements of the proposed transaction(s) summarized below. The application(s) and/or declaration(s) and any amendment(s) is/are available for public inspection through the Commission's Branch of Public Reference.

Interested persons wishing to comment or request a hearing on the application(s) and/or declaration(s) should submit their views in writing by August 7, 2001, to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, DC 20549-0609, and serve a copy on the relevant applicant(s) and/or declarant(s) at the address(es) specified below. Proof of service (by affidavit or, in the case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. Any request for hearing should identify specifically the issues of facts or law that are disputed. A person who so requests will be notified of any hearing, if ordered, and will receive a copy of my notice or order issued in the matter. After August 7, 2001, the application(s) and/or declaration(s), as filed or as amended, may be granted and/or permitted to become effective.

#### Alliant Energy Corporation, et al. (70-9891)

Alliant Energy Corporation ("Alliant Energy"), a registered holding company, and Alliant Energy's direct nonutility subsidiary, Alliant Energy Resources, Inc. ("AER"), and AER's direct nonutility subsidiaries, Alliant Energy Integrated Services Company, Alliant Energy Investments, Inc., Alliant Energy Transportation, Inc., and Whiting

Petroleum Corporation (collectively, "Applicants"),<sup>1</sup> on behalf of itself and its direct and indirect nonexempt nonutility subsidiary companies, both located at 222 West Washington Avenue, Madison, Wisconsin 53703, have filed an application-declaration under sections 6(a), 7, 9(a), 10, 12(b), 13(b), 32, 33, and 34 of the Act and rules 43, 45(a), 46(a), 53, 54, 58, and 80-92 under the Act.

Applicants request authority to engage in a variety of financing transactions, credit support arrangements, and other related proposals, as more fully discussed below, commencing on the effective date of an order issued under this filing and ending December 31, 2004 ("Authorization Period"). In addition, Alliant Energy seeks authority to finance exempt wholesale generator ("EWG") and foreign utility company ("FUCO") investments in an aggregate outstanding amount of up to \$1.75 billion. This new proposal would replace certain authorizations that the Commission has previously granted to Alliant Energy.<sup>2</sup> Applicants state that the proceeds from the financings will be used for general corporate purposes, including: (1) Financing, in part, investments by and capital expenditures of Alliant Energy, AER and AER's current and future direct and indirect nonutility subsidiaries ("Nonutility Subsidiaries"), including, without limitation, the funding of future investments in EWGs, FUCOs, and companies engaged or formed to engage in energy-related activities; (2) acquiring, retiring or redeeming by Alliant Energy or any Nonutility Subsidiary of any of its own securities; and (3) financing working capital requirements of Alliant Energy as well as Alliant Energy's utility subsidiaries,

<sup>1</sup> AER is the holding company for substantially all of Alliant Energy's nonutility investments and subsidiaries.

<sup>2</sup> Alliant Energy's current financing authority is contained in three separate orders: *WPL Holdings, Inc., et al.*, HCAR No. 26856 (April 14, 1998) ("Merger Order"); *Alliant Energy, et al.*, HCAR No. 26956 (December 18, 1998), as modified by *Alliant Energy, et al.*, HCAR No. 27304 (December 15, 2000) (collectively, "Current Money Pool Order"), and *Alliant Energy, et al.*, HCAR No. 27069 (August 26, 1999), as modified by *Alliant Energy, et al.*, HCAR No. 27130 (February 4, 2000) and *Alliant Energy, et al.*, HCAR No. 27344 (February 12, 2001) (collectively, "Current Financing Order"). Applicants request that authorization granted in this proceeding replace the authorizations under the Merger Order (as it relates to the issuance of Common Stock under shareholder and employee plans) and the Current Financing Order. Applicants state that the Money Pool Order is unaffected by this application-declaration, except that Alliant Energy's utilization of proceeds of short-term debt to make investments in EWGs and FUCOs would be subject to the EWG/FUCO investment limitation proposed in this proceeding.

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 781(d).

<sup>2</sup> 15 CFR 240.12d2-2(d).

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 781(b).

<sup>4</sup> 15 U.S.C. 781(g).

<sup>5</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(1).