

Environmental Protection Agency, Collection Strategies Division (Mail Code 2822), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; and to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For a copy of the ICR contact Sandy Farmer at EPA by phone at (202) 260-2740, by E-mail at Farmer.Sandy@epamail.epa.gov, or download off the Internet at <http://www.epa.gov/icr> and refer to EPA ICR No. 2021.01. For technical questions about the ICR contact Lynn Vendinello on (202) 564-7066.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Compliance Assistance Surveys for the Marina, Metal Finishing, Construction Site, and Auto Salvage Yard Sectors (EPA ICR Number 2021.01). This is a new collection.

Abstract: EPA's Office of Compliance (OC) is interested in testing methods for collecting outcome data from their compliance assistance efforts. OC is planning to conduct surveys to three sectors to compare two survey methodologies: mailed surveys using the "total design method" and surveys conducted as on-site visits. EPA will also use the survey results to evaluate the impact of compliance assistance activities on facilities in these sectors. These surveys will support OC in collecting statistically valid compliance assistance outcome data needed to present the impacts of compliance assistance for Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) reporting purposes. OC is interested in conducting the surveys for sectors in three stages of analysis/activity: (1) A sector for which OC is beginning a compliance assistance effort (marinas); (2) a sector for which OC/EPA have conducted several compliance assistance activities (metal finishing); and (3) a sector for which OC doesn't have sufficient information to determine compliance assistance needs (either construction sites or auto salvage yards).

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The **Federal Register** document required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on March 23, 2001 (66 FR 16223). EPA received two written comments; one from the

Automotive Recyclers Association and the other from the Institute of Scrap Recycling Industries. EPA also met with and received comment from Christian Richter of The Policy Group which represents the Association of Electroplaters and Surface Finishers, National Association of Metal Finishers, and Metal Finishers Suppliers Association.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and record keeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response for the mailed surveys in each sector and 4 hours per response for the site visit surveys in each sector. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Marina, Metal Finishing, Construction Site, and Auto Salvage Yard Sectors.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 700.

Frequency of Response: Once.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 1,900 hours.

Estimated Total Annualized Capital, Operating/Maintenance Cost Burden: 0.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the addresses listed above. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 2021.01 in any correspondence.

Dated: July 10, 2001.

Oscar Morales,

Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. 01-18096 Filed 7-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7013-2]

Proposed Settlement Agreement, Clean Air Act Citizen Suit

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of proposed settlement agreement; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act, as amended ("Act"), 42 U.S.C. 7413(g), notice is hereby given of a proposed Settlement Agreement, which was filed with the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") on June 29, 2001, to address a lawsuit filed by the Clean Air Implementation Project and the National Environmental Development Association's Clean Air Regulatory Project (collectively referred to as the "Project"). The Project filed a petition for review pursuant to section 307(b) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 7607(b), challenging EPA's policy, "State Implementation Plans: Policy Regarding Excess Emissions During Malfunction, Startup and Shutdown," ("1999 Policy"), which is dated September 20, 1999. *Clean Air Implementation Project v. EPA*, No. 99-1470 (D.C. Cir.). The 1999 Policy clarifies the types of provisions addressing emissions in excess of applicable emission limits that EPA believes may appropriately be approved as part of a state implementation plan ("SIP").

DATES: Written comments on the proposed Settlement Agreement must be received by August 20, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to Jan M. Tierney, Air and Radiation Law Office (2344A), Office of General Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC. 20460. Copies of the proposed Settlement Agreement are available from Phyllis J. Cochran, (202) 564-5566. A copy of the proposed Settlement Agreement was filed with the Clerk of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit on June 29, 2001.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Project alleges that EPA's issuance of the 1999 Policy was arbitrary and capricious and in excess of EPA's statutory authority. EPA issued the 1999 Policy to clarify issues that had arisen since the Agency's pronouncement on the same issues in 1982 and 1983 in two memoranda issued by Kathleen Bennett, who at that time was the Assistant

Administrator for Air, Noise and Radiation.

The proposed Settlement Agreement provides for the Project to dismiss its challenge if EPA issues a brief memorandum clarifying certain issues in the 1999 Policy and if that brief memorandum is substantially similar to what is set forth as Attachment A to the proposed Settlement Agreement. In general, the brief memorandum would provide that the 1999 Policy was intended to provide the parameters for EPA review of future SIP submissions and was not intended to be legally dispositive when interpreting existing approved SIPs in the context of enforcement proceedings.

For a period of thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the proposed Settlement Agreement from persons who were not named as parties or interveners to the litigation in question. EPA or the Department of Justice may withdraw or withhold consent to the proposed Settlement Agreement if the comments disclose facts or considerations that indicate that such consent is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Act. Unless EPA or the Department of Justice determine, following the comment period, that consent is inappropriate, the proposed Settlement Agreement will be final.

Dated: July 9, 2001.

John T. Hannon,

Acting Associate General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 01-17908 Filed 7-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7014-6]

Notice of Public Meetings; Extension of Intel Project XL

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces that Intel and EPA plan to continue their innovative partnership under the Excellence and Leadership Program (XL) through renewal of an existing agreement. Because the Intel XL Project is based on strong stakeholder involvement, Intel and EPA are announcing a series of public meetings at which extension of the Intel XL Project will be discussed. Intel and EPA are inviting public participation at those meetings for those people interested in

the Intel XL Project, or the XL Program in general. Public participation is also encouraged via the internet.

DATES AND ADDRESSES: All public meetings will be held at the Chandler Public Library, City Council Chamber, 2nd Floor, 222 E. Commonwealth Ave., Chandler, Arizona at 6:30 p.m. Meetings will be held on the following dates:
July 24, 2001
August 21, 2001
September 18, 2001
October 16, 2001
November 13, 2001

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Colleen McKaughan, Associate Director, Air Division (AIR-1), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105; Telephone: (520) 498-0118; Email: mckaughan.colleen@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In March of 1995, EPA announced a new environmental program called Project XL, or Excellence and Leadership. The purpose of this program is to work with interested companies, state and local agencies, and communities to develop innovative approaches for addressing environmental issues. Among the qualifications for an XL Project, a company's innovative ideas must provide better environmental performance compared to compliance with both current and future regulations, produce cost savings, and significantly involve the community.

Intel Corporation was an early volunteer for this program and sponsor of one of the first eight projects selected by EPA in November 1995. Intel convened a stakeholder team made up of representatives of EPA, the Gila River Indian Community, the State of Arizona, Maricopa County, the City of Chandler, and four members of the public. The stakeholder team developed a project that provided operational flexibility for Intel while providing greater environmental protection to the community. The details of the project are spelled out in the Final Project Agreement (FPA) dated November 19, 1996 (available on EPA's website at <http://www.epa.gov/ProjectXL/intel/index.htm> and Intel's website at <http://www.intel.com/intel/other/ehs/projectxl>). The FPA was signed by the stakeholders, and has been implemented successfully as a pilot project over the last 5 years.

Intel and EPA would like to extend the Intel XL Project for another 5 years, based on the successful pilot program. This extension is also supported by the stakeholders, most of whom have participated in the project's

implementation over the past 5 years. In order to extend the project, the FPA and Intel's operating permit need to be renewed. Intel and EPA would like to invite the public to participate in the discussions related to these renewals.

There are several ways to participate. People can attend the meetings which are listed in this notice, or they can participate through the internet. Comments can be posted to the Intel website at <http://www.alt-path.com/ocotillo>. If you wish to speak to someone in person regarding participating in this effort, you may also contact Colleen McKaughan of EPA at: 520-498-0118 or mckaughan.colleen@epa.gov.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: July 12, 2001.

Elizabeth A. Shaw,

Director, Office of Environmental Policy Innovation.

[FR Doc. 01-18095 Filed 7-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-34225G; FRL-6791-9]

Diazinon; Products Cancellation Order

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces EPA's cancellation order for the product and use cancellations as requested by three companies (Drexel Chemical Co., Aventis Environmental Science and Gowan Co., hereafter collectively referred to as the "MUP Registrants") that hold the registrations of pesticide manufacturing-use and end-use products (MUPs and EUPs) containing the active ingredient diazinon and accepted by EPA, pursuant to section 6(f) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). This order follows up a May 30, 2001, notice of receipt of the three companies' requests for cancellations and amendments of their diazinon product registrations to terminate all indoor uses and certain agricultural uses. In the May 30, 2001 notice, EPA indicated that it would issue an order confirming the voluntary product and use registration cancellations unless the Agency received any substantive comment within the comment period that would merit its further review of these requests. The Agency received comments on outdoor non-agricultural uses. This notice addresses these comments, which do not effect the