

Kern County Air Pollution Control District, 2700 M Street, Suite 302, Bakersfield, CA 93301; Modoc County Air Pollution Control, 202 West 4th Street, Alturas, CA 96101; and, Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution District, 24580 Silver Cloud Court, Monterey, CA 93940-6536.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jerald S. Wamsley, Rulemaking Office (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 744-1226.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This proposal addresses the following local rules: KCAPCD Rule 401—Visible Emissions, MCAPCD Rule 4.1—Visible Emissions, and MBUAPCD Rule 400—Visible Emissions. In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving these local rules in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe these SIP revisions are not controversial. However, if we receive adverse comments, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: June 8, 2001.

**Keith Takata,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 01-17703 Filed 7-16-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 241-0239b; FRL-7005-2]

#### Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Bay Area Air Quality Management District and El Dorado County Air Pollution Control District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) and El Dorado County Air Pollution Control District (EDCAPCD) portions of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from polyester resin operations and the

manufacture of foam products composed of polystyrene, polyethylene or polypropylene. We are proposing to approve local rules to regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

**DATES:** Any comments on this proposal must arrive by August 13, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

You can inspect copies of the submitted SIP revisions and EPA's technical support documents (TSDs) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted SIP revisions at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board,  
Stationary Source Division, Rule  
Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street,  
Sacramento, CA 95814.

Bay Area Air Quality Management  
District, 939 Ellis Street, San  
Francisco, CA 94109.

El Dorado County Air Pollution Control  
District, 2830 Fairlane Ct., Bldg. C,  
Placerville, CA 95667.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christine Vineyard, Rulemaking Office (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 744-1197.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This proposal addresses the following local rules: BAAQMD 8-52 and EDCAPCD 240. In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving these local rules in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe these SIP revisions are not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: June 6, 2001.

**Jane Diamond,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 01-17701 Filed 7-16-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[AZ 063-0042; FRL-7013-8]

#### Revisions to the Arizona State Implementation Plan, Pinal County Air Quality Control District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the Pinal County Air Quality Control District (PCAQCD) portion of the Arizona State Implementation Plan (SIP). This revision concerns volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from organic solvents, dry cleaners, coating operations, and degreasers. We are proposing to remove from the SIP a local rule regulating these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). This action is a reproposal of EPA's July 14, 2000 proposed rule (65 FR 43727) to disapprove this revision to the Arizona SIP. We do not plan to finalize our July 14, 2000 proposed disapproval. We are taking comments on this proposal and plan to follow with a final action.

**DATES:** Any comments must arrive by August 16, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

You can inspect copies of the submitted SIP revision and EPA's technical support document (TSDs) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted SIP revision at the following locations:

Arizona Department of Environmental  
Quality, 3033 North Central Avenue,  
Phoenix, AZ 85012  
Pinal County Air Quality Control  
District, 31 North Pinal Street,  
Building F, Florence AZ 85232

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Yvonne Fong, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 744-1199.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document, "we," "us" and "our" refer to EPA.

#### Table of Contents

- I. The State's Submittal
  - A. What rule is the State requesting to be rescinded?
  - B. Are there other versions of this rule?
  - C. What is the purpose of the submitted rule revision?