

Reclamation for water supplies (municipal and industrial contracts over 2,000 acre-feet and agricultural contracts over 2,000 irrigable acres) must prepare Plans that contain the following information:

1. Description of the District
2. Inventory of Water Resources
3. Best Management Practices (BMPs) for Agricultural Contractors
4. BMP's for Urban Contractors
5. Plan Implementation
6. Exemption Process
7. Regional Criteria
8. Five Year Revisions

Reclamation will evaluate Contra Costa Water District's Plan based on these Criteria. Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowably by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

A copy of the Plan will be available for review at Reclamation's Mid-Pacific (MP) Regional Office located in Sacramento, California, and MP's South-Central California Area Office located in Fresno, California. If you wish to review a copy of the plan, please contact Ms. Billingsley to find the office nearest you.

Dated: May 30, 2001.

**John F. Davis,**

*Regional Resources Manager.*

[FR Doc. 01-16925 Filed 7-9-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-MN-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

**[Investigations Nos. 701-TA-364 (Review) and 731-TA-711 and 713-716 (Review)]**

### Oil Country Tubular Goods From Argentina, Italy, Japan, Korea, and Mexico

#### Determinations

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year reviews, the

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.2(f)).

United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the countervailing duty order on oil country tubular goods other than drill pipe from Italy, and the antidumping duty orders on oil country tubular goods other than drill pipe from Argentina, Italy, Japan, Korea, and Mexico would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. The Commission further determines<sup>2</sup> that revocation of the antidumping duty order on drill pipe from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. The Commission also determines<sup>3</sup> that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on drill pipe from Argentina and Mexico would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

#### Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on July 3, 2000 (65 FR 41088) and determined on October 5, 2000, that it would conduct full reviews (65 FR 63889, October 25, 2000). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on January 26, 2001 (66 FR 7941). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on May 8, 2001, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on June 28, 2001. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3434 (June 2001), entitled Oil Country Tubular Goods from Argentina, Italy, Japan, Korea, and Mexico: Investigations Nos. 701-TA-364 (Review) and 731-TA-711 and 713-716 (Review).

Issued: July 3, 2001.

<sup>2</sup> Vice Chairman Deanna Tanner Okun dissenting.

<sup>3</sup> Commissioners Lynn M. Bragg and Dennis M. Devaney dissenting.

By order of the Commission.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-17111 Filed 7-9-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of the Secretary

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

June 25, 2001.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection requests (ICRs) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor. To obtain documentation contact Darrin King at (202) 693-4129 or E-Mail *King-Darrin@dol.gov*.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: Stuart Shapiro, OMB Desk Officer for MSHA, Office of Management and Budget, Room, 10235, Washington, DC 20503 ((202) 395-7316) within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Agency:* Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA).

*Title:* Program to Prevent Smoking in Hazardous Areas.

*OMB Number:* 1219-0041.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit.

*Frequency:* On occasion.

*Number of Respondents:* 188.

*Number of Annual Responses:* 188.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 30 minutes.

*Total Burden Hours:* 94.

*Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs:* \$0.

*Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services):* \$0.

*Description:* 30 CFR 75.1702 requires operators of underground coal mines to develop programs to ensure that any person entering a mine does not carry smoking materials, matches or lighters.

**Ira Mills,**

*Department Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 01-17211 Filed 7-9-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-43-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. ICR-1218-0115(2001)]

#### Cranes and Derricks Standard for Construction: Notification of Operational Specifications and Hand Signals; Extension of the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) Approval of Information-Collection (Paperwork) Requirements

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice of an opportunity for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** OSHA solicits public comment concerning its request to increase the total burden-hour estimate for, and to extend OMB approval of, the collection-of-information requirements specified by paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(4), and (a)(16) of the Cranes and Derricks Standard for Construction (29 CFR 1926.550). These paragraphs require employers to provide notification of specified operating characteristics pertaining to cranes and derricks using documentation, posting, or revised maintenance-instruction plates, tags, or decals, and to notify employees of hand signals used to communicate with equipment operators by posting an illustration of applicable signals at the worksite.

**DATES:** Submit written comments on or before September 10, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit written comments to the Docket Office, Docket No. ICR-1218-0115(2001), OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-2625,

200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693-2350. Commenters may transmit written comments of 10 pages or less by facsimile to (202) 693-1648.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kathleen M. Martinez, Directorate of Policy, Office of Regulatory Analysis, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-3609, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693-1953. A copy of the Agency's Information-Collection Request (ICR) supporting the need for the information collections specified by paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(4), and (a)(16) of § 1926.550 is available for inspection and copying in the Docket Office, or by requesting a copy from Todd Owen at (202) 693-2444. For electronic copies of the ICR contact OSHA on the Internet at <http://www.osha.gov/comp-links.html> and select "Information Collection Requests."

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent (i.e., employer) burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing information-collection requirements in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA-95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program ensures that information is in the desired format, reporting burden (time and cost) is minimal, collection instruments are understandable, and OSHA's estimate of the information-collection burden is correct.

Several paragraphs of the Cranes and Derricks Standard for Construction (§ 1926.550) contain notification requirements, including paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(4), and (a)(16). If an equipment manufacturer's specifications are not available, paragraph (a)(1) requires employers to operate a crane or derrick using specifications determined and recorded by a qualified engineer who is competent to make such determinations. Under paragraph (a)(2), employers must post on each crane and derrick its rated load capacities, and recommended operating speeds, special hazard warnings, or instruction. Paragraph (a)(4) requires employers to post at the worksite an illustration of the hand signals prescribed by the applicable ANSI standard for that type of crane or derrick. According to paragraph (a)(16), employers must revise as appropriate the capacity, operation, and maintenance-instruction plates, tags, or

decals if they make alterations that involve the capacity or safe operation of a crane or derrick.

In summary, these provisions require employers to provide notification of specified operating characteristics through documentation, posting, or revising maintenance-instruction plates, tags, or decals, and to notify employees of hand signals used to communicate with equipment operators by posting an illustration of applicable signals at the worksite. These paperwork requirements ensure that employers operate a crane or derrick according to the limitations and specifications developed for that equipment, and that hand signals used to communicate with equipment operators are clear and correct. Therefore, these requirements prevent employers from exceeding the operating specifications and limitations of cranes and derricks, and ensure that they use accurate hand signals regarding equipment operation. By operating the equipment safely and within specified parameters, and communicating effectively with equipment operators, employers will prevent serious injury and death to the equipment operators and other employees who use or work near the equipment.

##### II. Special Issues for Comment

OSHA has a particular interest in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the proposed information-collection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency's functions, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of OSHA's estimate of the burden (time and cost) of the information-collection requirements, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden on employers who must comply; for example, by using automated or other technological information-collection and -transmission techniques.

##### III. Proposed Actions

OSHA is requesting OMB to increase the total burden hours estimated for, and to extend its approval of, the collection-of-information requirements specified by paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(4), and (a)(16) of § 1926.550. Specifically, the Agency is requesting to increase the estimated total burden hours from 4,996 to 5,644 hours, an increase of 648 hours. These additional burden hours resulted from an increase in the estimated number of cranes and derricks covered by the paperwork requirements.