EPA. The notice provides an opportunity for public comment on the application.

[^]The Agency, will review and consider all comments received during the comment period in determining whether to issue the specific exemption requested by the Hawaii Department of Agriculture.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: June 26, 2001.

James Jones,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 01–17205 Filed 7–9–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7009-1]

Internet Availability of Data on a Subset of Federal Facilities in the Sector Facility Indexing Project

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is announcing the Internet release of data on a subset of federal facilities in the Sector Facility Indexing Project (SFIP). Based upon the positive results of an Agency study that evaluated the progress of this project, the EPA announced on May 17, 2000 that the SFIP would be expanding to include a subset of federal facilities. (See 65 FR 34704, May 31, 2000). The new federal facility subset includes all federal facilities which are considered to be major facilities under at least two of the three following statutes: the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. This new subset joins the approximately 625 facilities already profiled in SFIP. The existing facilities address five industry sectors: Automobile assembly; petroleum refining; pulp manufacturing; iron and steel manufacturing; and primary smelting and refining of aluminum, copper, lead, and zinc (nonferrous metals).

DATES: The SFIP was expanded to include data on a subset of federal facilities on June 25, 2001, and the data is currently available to the public. **ADDRESSES:** Data may be accessed electronically via the Internet at the following address: http://www.epa.gov/oeca/sfi. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Lischinsky, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Compliance (2223–A), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone: (202)564–2628, fax: (202)564–0050; email: lischinsky.robert@epa.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As a community right-to-know project, SFIP is a computerized database of environmental information that provides the public with facility-level compliance data in one location on the Internet. This database makes it possible for anyone to easily find and compare information on the environmental record of many industrial facilities. Government agencies can use the information as a planning tool, and facilities can benchmark their data against those of other facilities, or simply monitor their own regulatory performance. SFIP includes such information as a facility's compliance and enforcement history; information on pollutant releases and spills; and demographics of the surrounding community.

When EPA launched the SFIP website (www.epa.gov/oeca/sfi) in May 1998 (see 63 FR 27281, May 18, 1998), the Agency made a commitment to monitor and evaluate the progress of this project. User groups both inside and outside the Agency were consulted in our evaluation and the results were positive. SFIP has been extensively accessed by a variety of users. They have found the site easy to navigate and the information useful, resulting in numerous analyses. Users have commented that SFIP has met the challenge of summarizing complex compliance and pollutant release information from multiple statutory programs. They also have stated that the project serves as an incentive to achieve and maintain compliance while helping to improve data quality in the underlying databases.

During the evaluation of SFIP, EPA received feedback that the project should provide for additional sectors to make the project more useful to a broader audience. Prior to expanding the project, the Agency consulted with various groups, including environmental organizations, industry trade associations, several States, and The Environmental Council of the States. The expansion to federal facilities has been viewed as a positive step as it will provide the public with additional information on the U.S. government's own environmental performance. With this expansion, we have continued to ensure that we maintain the public's confidence in the integrity of the data. Regions, States and the affected facilities were given the opportunity to review the data and resolve any data quality issues through a coordinated EPA/State effort prior to release.

Dated: June 29, 2001.

Ken Gigliello,

Acting Chief, Air, Hazardous Waste, & Toxics Branch, Compliance Assessment & Media Programs Div., Office of Compliance. [FR Doc. 01–17203 Filed 7–9–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7009-7]

Notice of Proposed Purchaser Agreement Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, As Amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice; Request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the **Comprehensive Environmental** Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9601-9675, notice is hereby given that a proposed prospective purchaser agreement ("Purchaser Agreement") associated with the North Penn Area 7 Superfund Site, Lansdale Borough and Upper Gwynedd Township, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania was executed by the Environmental Protection Agency and the Department of Justice and is now subject to public comment, after which the United States may modify or withdraw its consent if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the Purchaser Agreement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. The Purchaser Agreement would resolve certain potential EPA claims under sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606, 9607, against 1190 Church Road Associates, L.P. ("Purchaser"). The settlement would require the Purchaser to, among other things, reimburse the **Environmental Protection Agency** \$90,000.00 for response costs incurred and to be incurred at the Site.

For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the Purchaser Agreement. The Agency's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103. DATES: Comments must be submitted on

or before August 9, 2001.

Availability

The Purchaser Agreement and additional background information relating to the Purchaser Agreement are available for public inspection at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103. A copy of the Purchaser Agreement may be obtained from Thomas A. Cinti (3RC42), Senior Assistant Regional Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103. Comments should reference the "North Penn Area 7 Superfund Site, Prospective Purchaser Agreement" and "EPA Docket No. CERC-PPA-2001-0003," and should be forwarded to Thomas A. Cinti at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas A. Cinti (3RC42), Senior Assistant Regional Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103, Phone: (215) 814–2634.

Dated: June 25, 2001.

William C. Early,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III. [FR Doc. 01–17202 Filed 7–9–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

OFFICE OF NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL POLICY

Meeting of the Drug Control Research, Data, and Evaluation Committee

AGENCY: Office of National Drug Control Policy.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: A meeting of the Drug Control Research, Data, and Evaluation Committee will be held on July 26–27, 2001 at the Office of National Drug Control Policy in the 5th Floor Conference Room, 750 17th Street NW., Washington, DC. The meeting will begin promptly each day at 9:00 a.m. and adjourn at 4:00 p.m. the agenda will include: review and discussion of the National Academy of Science's Report entitled "Informing American's Policy on Illegal Drugs, What We Don't Know Keeps Hurting Us." The agenda will also cover the following: Drug Free Communities Grant Program: Administration activities related to:

prevention, families, schools, and workplaces; treatment initiatives: closing the treatment gap, keeping prisons drug free, faith-based programs related to prevention and treatment; Youth Anti-Drug Media Campaign; interagency activities related to public safety and public. There will be an opportunity for public comment from 11:30 to 12:00 on Thursday, July 26, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Linda V. Priebe, (202) 395–6622.

Dated: June 25, 2001.

Linda V. Priebe,

Assistant General Counsel. [FR Doc. 01–17215 Filed 7–9–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3180–02–M

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY: Farm Credit Administration.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3)), of the forthcoming regular meeting of the Farm Credit Administration Board (Board).

DATE AND TIME: The regular meeting of the Board will be held at the offices of the Farm Credit Administration in McLean, Virginia, on July 12, 2001, from 9:00 a.m. until such time as the Board concludes its business.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kelly Mikel Williams, Secretary to the Farm Credit Administration Board, (703) 883–4025, TDD (703) 883–4444.

ADDRESSES: Farm Credit Administration, 1501 Farm Credit Drive, McLean, Virginia 22102–5090.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This meeting of the Board will be open to the public (limited space available). In order to increase the accessibility to Board meetings, persons requiring assistance should make arrangements in advance. The matters to be considered at the meeting are:

Open Session

A. Approval of Minutes

• June 21, 2001 (Open).

B. Reports

• Corporate Approvals.

C. New Business—Regulation

• Termination [12 CFR Parts 611 and 614] (Proposed).

Dated: July 5, 2001. **Kelly Mikel Williams,** *Secretary, Farm Credit Administration Board.* [FR Doc. 01–17290 Filed 7–6–01; 10:49 am] **BILLING CODE 6705–01–P**

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[CC Docket No. 94-102; DA 01-1520]

Petition for Reconsideration Regarding Allocation of Costs of E911 Implementation; Comments Invited

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Solicitation of comments.

SUMMARY: This document invites comment on a Petition for Reconsideration (Petition) filed June 6, 2001, by four wireless carriers (Nextel Communications, Inc., Qwest Wireless, LLC, Verizon Wireless, and VoiceStream Wireless Corporation) operating in King County, Washington. The Petition challenges the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau's May 7, 2001 response to a letter from the E911 Program Manager for the King Country, Washington E–911 Program Office concerning the proper allocation of E911 implementation costs. In that letter, the Bureau clarifies that the proper demarcation point for allocating costs between wireless carriers and Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs) is the input to the 911 Selective Router maintained by the Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier. The petitioners argue, among other things, that King County's request constituted an untimely request for reconsideration of the Second Memorandum Opinion and Order (64 FR 72951, December 29, 1999) in the ongoing E911 proceeding and an impermissible collateral attack on the Commission's decisions in that proceeding; that the Bureau's decision exceeds its delegated authority; and that the scope of the inquiry and conclusion reached require a notice-and-comment rulemaking proceeding. The full text of the petition is available for public inspection during regular business hours in the FCC Public Reference Room, Room CY-A257, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. Pursuant to 47 CFR 1.1200(a), this proceeding is designated as a "permit but disclose" proceeding and subject to § 1.1206 of the Commission's rules. Presentations to or from Commission decision making personnel are permissible, provided that ex parte presentations are disclosed pursuant 47 CFR 1.1206(b).

Interested parties may file comments or oppositions responding to the