

addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, United States Department of Justice, PO Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States and the State of Indiana v. Guide Corporation and Crown EG, Inc.*, Civil Action No. IP00-0702-C-D/F (S.D. Ind.), and DOJ Reference Numbers 90-5-2-1-07043 and 90-5-2-1-07043/1.

An electronic copy of the proposed consent decree is posted on the Indiana Department of Environmental Management's website at www.IN.gov/ident/macsfactsheets/whiteriver. A signed copy of the proposed consent decree, including all appendices, may be examined at: (1) The Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of Indiana, 10 West market Street, Suite 2100, Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 (contact Thomas E. Kieper (317-226-6333)); and (2) the United States Environmental Protection Agency (Region 5), 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604 (contact Nicole Cantello (312-886-2870)). Copies of the proposed consent decree may also be obtained by mail from the Department of Justice Consent Decree Library, PO Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611. In requesting copies, please refer to the above-referenced case name and DOJ Reference Numbers, and enclose a check made payable to the Consent Decree Library for \$18.75 (75 pages at 25 cents per page reproduction cost).

William D. Brighton,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 01-16823 Filed 7-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

United States v. Sterling Minter and JoAnn Minter; Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Sterling Minter and JoAnn Minter* (W.D.Va.), C.A. No. 7:01CV00449, was lodged on June 19, 2001, with the United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia. The Consent Decree resolves the United States' claims against Sterling Minter and Joan Minter with respect to response costs incurred, pursuant to Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability

Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9607, in connection with the clean-up of the Old Salem Tannery Site, located near Salem, Roanoke County, Virginia. The Consent Decree also resolves the United States' civil penalty claim, pursuant to section 106(b) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606(b), related to an administrative clean-up order dated November 24, 1992.

Under the Consent Decree, Sterling Minter and JoAnn Minter will pay the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") \$100,000 in reimbursement of response costs incurred by EPA in connection with the clean-up of the site. In addition, Sterling Minter will pay \$10,000 to resolve EPA's claim, pursuant to section 106(b) Of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606(b), related to Sterling Minter's failure to comply fully with the November 24, 1992 administrative order.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication, comments relating to proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States Sterling v. Minter and JoAnn Minter*, C.A. No. 7:01CV00449, DOJ Reference No. 90-11-3-06312/1.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 105 Franklin Road, SW., Suite One, Roanoke, Virginia 24011-2305; and the Region III Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained by mail from the Department of Justice Consent Decree Library, PO Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044. In requesting a copy, please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$9.00 (.25 cents per page production costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Robert D. Brook,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 01-16771 Filed 7-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[AAG/A Order No. 237-2001]

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

Pursuant to the provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a),

notice is hereby given that the Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR), Department of Justice, proposes modifying "Records and Management Information System (JUSTICE/EOIR-001)," last published October 10, 1995 (60 FR 52690, 52695), to add two new routine use provisions.

The first routine use allows contractors and others working on behalf of EOIR to have access to the information in the records to properly assist in the completion of EOIR functions. The second routine use allows disclosure to former employees for purposes of responding to official inquiries by government entities or professional licensing authorities in accordance with the Department of Justice's regulation governing access under such circumstances, 28 CFR 16.300-01. This routine use also allows disclosure to former employees where the Department requires information and/or consultation assistance from the former employee that is necessary for personnel-related or other official purposes.

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(4) and (11), the public is given a 30-day period in which to comment on the system of records. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB), which has oversight responsibility under the Act, requires a 40-day period in which to conclude its review of the system. Therefore, please submit any comments by August 6, 2001. The public, OMB and the Congress are invited to submit any comments to Mary Cahill, Management Analyst, Management and Planning Staff, Justice Management Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530 (Room 1400, National Place Building).

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552a(r) the Department has provided a report to OMB and the Congress.

Dated: June 22, 2001.

Janis A. Sposato,

Acting Assistant Attorney General for Administration.

Justice/EOIR-001

SYSTEM NAME:

Records and Management Information System.
* * * * *

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:

* * * * *
* * * may be disseminated to the appropriate Federal, State or local agency charged with the responsibility of investigating or prosecuting such

violation or with enforcing or implementing such law.

[Following this sentence insert the two new paragraphs below.]

Relevant information contained in this system of records may also be released to contractors, grantees, experts, consultants, students, and others performing or working on a contract, service, grant, cooperative agreement, or other assignment for the Federal Government, when necessary to accomplish an agency function related to this system of records.

Pursuant to subsection (b)(3) of the Privacy Act, the Department of Justice may disclose relevant and necessary information to a former employee of the Department for purposes of: Responding to an official inquiry by a federal, state, or local government entity or professional licensing authority, in accordance with applicable Department regulations; or facilitating communications with a former employee that may be necessary for personnel-related or other official purposes where the Department requires information and/or consultation assistance from the former employee regarding a matter within that person's former area of responsibility.

[The following section of the text and thereafter does not change.]

Release of information to the news media and the public:

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 01-16825 Filed 7-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Personalization Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on June 1, 2001, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Personalization Consortium, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Guardant, Inc., Waltham, MA; and 180 Solutions, Inc., Bothell, WA have been added as parties to this venture. Also, SPSS, Chicago, IL;

eCustomers, Austin, TX; NextClick: The Personalization Agency, Calgary, Alberta, Canada; Yo.com, New York, NY have been dropped as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Personalization Consortium, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On June 15, 2000, Personalization Consortium, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on August 11, 2000 (65 FR 49266).

The last notification was filed with the Department on March 5, 2001. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 29, 2001 (66 FR 17202).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 01-16772 Filed 7-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Salutation Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on May 23, 2001, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Salutation Consortium, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Square USA, Inc., Ramsey, NJ has been dropped as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Salutation Consortium, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On March 30, 1995, Salutation Consortium, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of

the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on June 27, 1995 (60 FR 33233).

The last notification was filed with the Department on March 2, 2001. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 29, 2001 (66 FR 17203).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 01-16773 Filed 7-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Aseel, Incorporated, Wholesale Division; Denial of Application

On or about May 8, 2000, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause (OTSC) by certified mail to Aseel Incorporated, Wholesale Division (Aseel), located in Dallas, Texas, notifying it of an opportunity to show cause as to why the DEA should not deny its application, dated July 7, 1998, for a DEA Certificate of Registration as a distributor of the List I chemicals ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, and phenylpropanolamine, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823(h), as being inconsistent with the public interest. The order also notified Aseel that, should no request for hearing be filed within 30 days, the right to hearing would be waived.

The DEA mailed the show cause order on May 11, 2000, to Aseel at the proposed registered location in Dallas, Texas by certified mail. At the same time, a copy of the show cause order was sent by regular first class mail to the Murphy, Texas home address of Aseel's President, Mr. Husham Awadelkariem. The certified letter was returned to DEA by the U.S. Postal Service, marked "moved, left no address." The copy sent by first class mail was not returned, and presumably was delivered.

Subsequently, on May 25, 2000, a DEA Diversion Investigator in the Dallas, Texas office, received a telephone call from Mr. Awadelkariem, who stated he received the show cause order and inquired whether he could limit his distribution of chemicals to convenience stores without a DEA registration. Since that time, no response has been received from the applicant nor any person purporting to represent the applicant. Therefore, the Administrator of the DEA, finding that (1) thirty days have passed since receipt