

OMB Comment: A comment is best assured of having its full effect if OMB receives it as soon as possible after its publication. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent to the following address within 30 days of the publication of this notice: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Allison Herron Eydt, AoA Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: June 28, 2001.

Norman L. Thompson,
Acting Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Aging.
[FR Doc. 01-16830 Filed 7-3-01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4154-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60Day-01-52]

Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of

the data collection plans and instruments, call the CDC Reports Clearance Officer on (404) 639-7090.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Send comments to Anne O'Connor, CDC Assistant Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS-D24, Atlanta, GA 30333. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Proposed Project

Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS) Methodological Study—New—National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (NCCDPHP), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, (CDC). The purpose of this request is to obtain OMB clearance to conduct a methodological study in the Spring of 2002 to assess the contributions of question wording, questionnaire context, and appeals for honesty on prevalence and, thereby, to provide methodological guidance for future surveys, especially surveys of adolescents. In 2000, the Office of the

Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation (ASPE) commissioned five expert papers written on the topic "Examining Substance Abuse Data Collection Methodologies." The papers focused on the YRBS, the National Household Survey of Drug Abuse (NHSDA), and Monitoring the Future (MTF). A consensus among the authors was that disparate results across the studies are most likely a product of methodological differences across the surveys. This YRBS Methodological Study is designed to measure the effect of several critical aspects of the data collection protocol: (1) Question wording, (2) questionnaire context, (3) appeals for honesty, and (4) students' perception of their honesty and accuracy. Approximately 100 students in 40 high schools will be given one of four questionnaires. Elucidation of the impact of these factors on prevalence will assist in reducing response effects and improving the quality of the YRBS data.

The total estimated cost to student respondents is \$15,750, which is calculated in terms of their time spent in responding to the survey and is based on an assumed minimum wage of \$5.25/hour for the 2001-2002 school year. The total estimated cost to school administrators is \$1,400 which is calculated in terms of their time spent in recruitment and is based on an assumed average hourly rate of \$34. Thus, the total costs to respondents, based on the costs of their time, are \$17,150.

Respondents	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondents	Burden per response (in hrs.)	Total burden hours
High school student	4,000	1	45/60	3,000
School administrators	80	1	30/60	40

Dated: June 27, 2001.

Nancy Cheal,
Acting Associate Director for Policy, Planning and Evaluation, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
[FR Doc. 01-16735 Filed 7-3-01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4163-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Title: Refugee Resettlement Program Estimates: CMA.

OMB No.: 0970-0030.

Description: ORR reimburses, to the extent of available appropriations, certain non-Federal costs for the provision of cash and medical assistance to refugees, along with allowable expenses in the administration of the Refugee Resettlement Program. ORR needs sound State estimates of likely expenditures for refugee cash, medical, and administrative (CMA) expenditures so that it can anticipate Federal costs in upcoming quarters. If Federal costs are anticipated to exceed budget allocations, ORR must take steps to reduce Federal expenses, such as limiting the number of months of eligibility for Refugee Cash Assistance

(RCA) and Refugee Medical Assistance (RMA).

To meet the need for reliable State estimates of anticipated expenses, ORR has developed a single-page form in which States estimate the average number of recipients for each category of assistance, the average unit cost over the next 12 months, and the expense for the overall administration of the program. This form, the ORR-1 must be submitted prior to the beginning of each Federal fiscal year. Without this information, ORR would be out of compliance with the intent of its legislation and otherwise unable to estimate program costs adequately.

In addition, the ORR-1 serves as the State's application for reimbursement of

its CMA expenses. Submission of this form is thus required by section 412(a)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, which provides that

“no grant or contract may be awarded under this section unless an appropriate proposal and application * * * are

submitted to, and approved by, the appropriate administering official.”
Respondents: State, Local, or Tribal Govt.

ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES

Instrument	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total burden hours
ORR-1	48	1	.5	24
Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours	24

Additional Information: Copies of the proposed collection may be obtained by writing to The Administration for Children and Families, Office of Information Services, 370 L’Enfant Promenade, SW., Washington, DC 20447, Attn: ACF Reports Clearance Officer.

OMB Comment: OMB is required to make a decision concerning the collection of information between 30 and 60 days after publication of this document in the **Federal Register**. Therefore, a comment is best assured of having its full effect if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent directly to the following: Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attn: Desk Officer for ACF.

Dated: June 28, 2001.

Bob Sargis,

Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 01-16751 Filed 7-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 01N-0280]

Beverages: Bottled Water

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that it does not need to issue a standard of quality regulation for bottled water in response to the Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA’s) issuance of National Primary Drinking Water Regulations (NPDWRs) for the control of *Cryptosporidium* contamination in surface water sources for public drinking water, to protect the public

health. This action is in accordance with the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the FFDC), which requires that, whenever EPA issues NPDWRs for a contaminant in public drinking water, FDA must issue a standard of quality regulation for the same contaminant in bottled water or make a finding that such a regulation is not necessary to protect the public health because the contaminant is contained in water in public water systems but not in water used for bottled drinking water.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul South, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-306), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-358-3571.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

In the **Federal Register** of December 16, 1998 (63 FR 69478), EPA published the Interim Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule (IESWTR) that established NPDWRs consisting of treatment technique requirements for reduction of *Cryptosporidium* in surface water and in ground water under the direct influence of surface water that public water systems serving 10,000 people or more use as their source water. This rulemaking finalized a proposed rule that EPA published in the **Federal Register** on July 29, 1994 (59 FR 38832).

Cryptosporidium is a gastrointestinal illness caused by ingestion of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts. The mode of transmission for *Cryptosporidium* is through the fecal-oral route and occurs by ingestion of infective oocysts from contaminated water or food, or by direct or indirect contact with infected persons or animals. While cryptosporidiosis generally is considered a self-limiting disease, it can be chronic and life threatening in immunocompromised individuals. Recently, a waterborne outbreak of *Cryptosporidium* was documented in association with public drinking water (Ref. 1).

Under the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), as amended in 1996, EPA issues NPDWRs to protect the public health from the adverse effects of contaminants in public drinking water. NPDWRs specify maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) or treatment techniques for public drinking water contaminants. At the same time that it issues NPDWRs, EPA publishes maximum contaminant level goals (MCLGs), which are not regulatory requirements, but rather nonenforceable health goals that are based solely on considerations of protecting the public from adverse health effects of public drinking water contamination.

Under section 410(b)(1) of the FFDC (21 U.S.C. 349(b)(1)), not later than 180 days before the effective date of a NPDWR issued by EPA for a contaminant under section 1412 of the SDWA (42 U.S.C. 300g-1)¹, FDA is required to issue a standard of quality regulation for the contaminant in bottled water or make a finding that such a regulation is not necessary to protect the public health because the contaminant is contained in water in public water systems, but not in water used for bottled drinking water. The effective date for any such standard of quality regulation is to be the same as the effective date of the NPDWR. In addition, section 410(b)(2) of the FFDC provides that a quality standard regulation issued by FDA shall include monitoring requirements that the agency determines to be appropriate for bottled water. Further, section 410(b)(3) of the FFDC requires a quality standard regulation for a contaminant in bottled water to be no less stringent than EPA’s MCL and no less protective of the public

¹FDA considers EPA’s compliance date for subpart H public water systems (systems using surface water or ground water under the direct influence of surface water) that serve a population of 10,000 or more to be the effective date for purposes of section 410 of the FFDC. The compliance date was set at December 16, 2001, in the IESWTR (63 FR 69478, December 16, 1998) and revised in a subsequent rule to January 1, 2002 (65 FR 20304, April 14, 2000).