

California 92704, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of methylphenidate (1724), a basic class of controlled substance listed in schedule II.

The firm plans to manufacture the listed controlled substance to make finished dosage forms for distribution to its customers.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in Title 21, United States Code, section 823(a) and determined that the registration of Medeva Pharmaceuticals CA, Inc. to manufacture the listed controlled substance is consistent with the public interest at this time. DEA has investigated Medeva Pharmaceuticals CA, Inc. on a regular basis to ensure that the company's continued registration is consistent with the public interest. These investigations have included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, audits of the company's records, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823 and 28 CFR 0.100 and 0.104, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, hereby orders that the application submitted by the above firm for registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic class of controlled substance listed above is granted.

Dated: June 19, 2001.

**Laura M. Nagel,**

*Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.*

[FR Doc. 01-16680 Filed 7-2-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-09-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Drug Enforcement Administration**

**Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Application**

Pursuant to § 1301.33(a) of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), this is notice that on January 18, 2001, National Center for Natural Products Research-NIDA MProject University of Mississippi, 135 Coy Waller Complex, University, Mississippi 38677, made application to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) for registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed below:

Drug	Schedule
Marihuana (7360) .....	I

Drug	Schedule
Tetrahydrocannabinols (7370) .....	I

The firm will cultivate marihuana for the National Institute of Drug Abuse for research approved by the Department of Health and Human Services.

Any other such applicant and any person who is presently registered with DEA to manufacture such substance may file comments or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration.

Any such comments or objections may be addressed, in quintuplicate, to the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20537, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative (CCR), and must be filed no later than September 4, 2001.

Dated: June 19, 2001.

**Laura M. Nagel,**

*Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.*

[FR Doc. 01-16682 Filed 7-2-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-09-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Drug Enforcement Administration**

**Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration**

By Notice dated December 5, 2000, and published in the **Federal Register** on January 10, 2001 (66 FR 2004), the National Center for Development of Natural Products, the University of Mississippi, 135 Coy Waller Complex, University, Mississippi 38677, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the controlled substances listed below:

Drug	Schedule
Marihuana (7360) .....	I
Tetrahydrocannabinols (7370) .....	I

The firm plans to bulk manufacture for product development.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in Title 21, United States Code, section 823(a) and determined that the registration of National Center for Development of Natural Products to manufacture the listed controlled substances is consistent with the public interest at this time. This determination was based on, among other things, DEA's on-site investigation of the National Center for Development for

Natural Products. The investigation included inspection and testing of the applicant's qualifications and experience, verification of the applicant's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the firm's background and history. DEA has further determined that the registration will be consistent with United States obligations under international treaties. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823 and 28 CFR 0.100 and 0.104, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, hereby orders that the application submitted by the above firm for registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed above is granted.

Dated: June 19, 2001.

**Laura M. Nagel,**

*Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.*

[FR Doc. 01-16683 Filed 7-2-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-09-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Drug Enforcement Administration**

**Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration**

By Notice dated December 5, 2000, and published in the **Federal Register** on January 10, 2001, (66 FR 71), Norac Company, Inc., 405 S. Motor Avenue, Azusa, California 91702, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of tetrahydrocannabinols (7370), a basic class of controlled substance listed in Schedule I.

The firm plans to manufacture tetrahydrocannabinols (THC) for use in treatment of AIDS wasting syndrome and as an antiemetic.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in Title 21, United States Code, section 823(a) and determined that the registration of Norac Company, Inc. to manufacture tetrahydrocannabinols is consistent with the public interest at this time. DEA has investigated Norac Company, Inc. on a regular basis to ensure that the company's continued registration is consistent with the public interest. These investigations have included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, audits of the company's records, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore,