

2634.403(b), 2634.404(c), 2634.408 and 2634.409 (the nine implementing forms are the: (A) Blind Trust Communications (Expedited Procedure for Securing Approval of Proposed Communications); (B) Model Qualified Blind Trust Provisions; (C) Model Qualified Diversified Trust Provisions; (D) Model Qualified Blind Trust Provisions (For Use in the Case of Multiple Fiduciaries); (E) Model Qualified Blind Trust Provisions (For Use in the Case of an Irrevocable Pre-Existing Trust); (F) Model Qualified Diversified Trust Provisions (Hybrid Version); (G) Model Qualified Diversified Trust Provisions (For Use in the Case of Multiple Fiduciaries); (H) Model Qualified Diversified Trust Provisions (For Use in the Case of an Irrevocable Pre-Existing Trust); (I) Model Confidentiality Agreement Provisions (For Use in the Case of a Privately Owned Business); and (J) Model Confidentiality Agreement Provisions (For Use in the Case of Investment Management Activities)).

The various model trust certificates and model trust documents as proposed to be modified are available without charge to the public upon request as indicated in the "For Further Information Contact" section above.

The communications formats and the confidentiality agreements (items ii (A), (I) and (J) above) would not be available to the public because they contain sensitive, confidential information. All the other completed model trust certificates and model trust documents (except for any trust provisions that relate to the testamentary disposition of trust assets) are publicly available based upon a proper Ethics Act request (by filling out an OGE Form 201 access form).

The total annual public reporting burden represents the time involved for completing qualified trust certificates and model trust documents which are processed by OGE. The burden is based on the amount of time imposed on private citizens. Virtually all filers/document users are private trust administrators and other private representatives who help to set up and maintain the qualified blind and diversified trusts. The detailed paperwork estimates below for the various trust certificates and model trust documents, which remain the same as for the last paperwork clearance three years ago, are based primarily on OGE's experience with administration of the qualified trust program.

i. Trust Certificates:

A. Certificate of Independence: Total filers (executive branch): 10; Private citizen filers (100%): 10; OGE-processed

certificates (private citizens): 10; OGE burden hours (20 minutes/certificate): 3.

B. Certificate of Compliance: Total filers (executive branch): 35; Private citizen filers (100%): 35; OGE-processed certificates (private citizens): 35; OGE burden hours (20 minutes/certificate): 12; and

ii. Model Qualified Trust Documents:

A. Blind Trust Communications: Total Users (executive branch): 35; Private citizen users (100%): 35; OGE-processed documents (private citizens): 210 (based on an average of six communications per user, per year); OGE burden hours (20 minutes/communication): 70.

B. Model Qualified Blind Trust: Total Users (executive branch): 10; Private citizen users (100%): 10; OGE-processed models (private citizens): 10; OGE burden hours (100 hours/model): 1,000.

C. Model Qualified Diversified Trust: Total users (executive branch): 15; Private citizen users (100%): 15; OGE-processed models (private citizens): 15; OGE burden hours (100 hours/model): 1,500.

D.-H. Each of the five remaining model qualified trust documents: Total users (executive branch): 2; Private citizen users (100%): 2; OGE-processed models (private citizens): 2, multiplied by 5 (five different models) = 10; OGE burden hours (100 hours/model): 200, multiplied by 5 (five different models) = 1,000.

I.-J. Each of the two model confidentiality agreements: Total users (executive branch): 2; Private citizens users (100%): 2; OGE-processed agreements (private citizens): 2, multiplied by 2 (two different models) = 4; OGE burden hours (50 hours/agreement): 100, multiplied by 2 (two different models) = 200.

Based on these estimates, the total number of forms expected annually at OGE is 294 with a cumulative total of 3,785 burden hours.

Public comment is invited on each aspect of the model qualified trust certificates and model trust documents, and underlying regulatory provisions, as set forth in this notice, including specifically views on the need for and practical utility of this set of collections of information, the accuracy of OGE's burden estimate, the potential for enhancement of quality, utility and clarity of the information collected, and the minimization of burden (including the use of information technology).

Comments received in response to this notice will be summarized for, and may be included with, the OGE request for extension of the OMB paperwork approval for the set of the various existing qualified trust model certificates, the model communications

package, and the model trust documents as updated. The comments will also become a matter of public record.

Approved: June 25, 2001.

Amy L. Comstock,

Director, Office of Government Ethics.

[FR Doc. 01-16619 Filed 7-2-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6345-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation; Notice of a Cooperative Agreement With the Manpower Demonstration Research Corporation

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation (ASPE).

The Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation's (ASPE) Office of Human Services Policy announces its intention to award a non-competitive continuation to a cooperative agreement with the Manpower Demonstration Research Corporation (MDRC) in support of the Project on Devolution and Urban Change.

The purpose of this cooperative agreement is to support research to understand the impacts of welfare reform and welfare to work programs on low-income individuals, families, and the communities in which they live, with an emphasis on urban areas.

ASPE will have substantial involvement in all stages of the project, including: identifying potential questions that could be answered using the data; prioritizing among them based on the available resources; determining appropriate methods of data analysis; reviewing draft papers and reports; and assisting in their dissemination.

The goal of ASPE in entering into this cooperative agreement is to improve our understanding of the impact of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 in high-poverty urban areas.

Authorizing Legislation

This cooperative agreement is authorized under Section 1110 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1310) and the Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act of 2001 (P.L. 106-387).

Background

Assistance will be provided to MDRC. No other applications are solicited. ASPE is committed to supporting high-quality research in the area of welfare

policy, and has a particular interest in understanding the effects of welfare reform in urban areas. Most welfare reform studies to date have not been in large cities, and thus have not addressed the challenges posed by high levels of unemployment and by concentrated poverty. These questions are critical because caseloads have not declined as much in cities as in other parts of the country, and also because the lessons from urban areas may be applicable elsewhere in the case of an economic downturn.

ASPE believes that MDRC is uniquely qualified to work with ASPE to meet this goal for the following reasons:

1. The Project on Devolution and Urban Change presents a unique opportunity to learn about the implementation and impacts of welfare reform in four large urban areas—Cleveland, Philadelphia, Los Angeles, and Miami. MDRC has an ongoing working relationship with key officials in each city and has already obtained commitments from the state and local governments in these areas to provide extensive longitudinal administrative data for research purposes.

2. This project brings together data from an unusually wide array of sources: longitudinal administrative data for all families receiving AFDC/TANF or Food Stamps dating back to 1992; survey data; an implementation study; neighborhood indicators; an institutional study focusing on local service providers; and an ethnographic study of a limited number of families. This will allow the researchers to capture effects that might be missed in one approach, and to improve our understanding of the strengths and weaknesses of each approach. It is unlikely that this breadth of sources could be replicated. MDRC has assembled a multi-disciplinary team of distinguished researchers to collect and analyze this data.

3. This project leverages a substantial commitment of private sector funding. Of the total \$20.4 million cost of the Project on Devolution and Urban Change, over \$16 million has already been committed by private funders, with an additional \$1.7 million in pending proposals. This funding allows for a breadth of research far beyond what could be obtained through the federal support alone.

4. MDRC is one of the pre-eminent institutions in the area of welfare and welfare-to-work research, having conducted projects in over 400 communities in 40 states. MDRC has developed a reputation for objective, high-quality work. This project will involve several of MDRC's senior

researchers, as well as consultants who are recognized as leaders in their areas of concentration.

Approximately \$600,000 is available in FY 2001 for a one-year project period for this cooperative agreement. A portion of this support is provided by the Administration for Children and Families, DHHS, and the Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Where To Obtain Additional Information

If you are interested in obtaining additional information regarding this project, contact Ms. Elizabeth Lower-Basch, Office of Human Services Policy, ASPE, 200 Independence Ave. SW, Room 404E, Washington, DC, 20201 or telephone: 202 690-6808.

Dated: June 25, 2001.

William F. Raub,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation.

[FR Doc. 01-16622 Filed 7-2-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4154-05-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation; Notice of an Extension of Cooperative Agreements for National Poverty Research Centers

The Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation (OASPE) announces its intention to award a one year non-competitive extension of its poverty research center cooperative agreements. In FY 1996 the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) awarded two five-year cooperative agreements to support national poverty research centers. The poverty center program provides basic and applied research into the causes, consequences and remedies of poverty as well as provide for the mentoring and training of poverty scholars, and a forum for dissemination of research and evaluation findings. The recipients of the cooperative agreements were the Joint Center for Poverty Research at Northwestern University/University of Chicago (JCPR) and the Institute for Research on Poverty at the University of Wisconsin (IRP). The current grant period expires in late FY 2001.

OASPE intends to extend the cooperative agreements for one-year at current funding levels: \$1,500,000 to JCPR and \$500,000 to IRP. The extension will allow sufficient time for OASPE to reexamine the purposes and

goals of its poverty center program and provide ample time for a new full and open competition. This extension will allow the current national poverty research centers to continue to provide high quality basic and applied poverty research, mentoring and training activities, and dissemination of policy relevant findings as specified in the cooperative agreements.

Authorizing Legislation

These cooperative agreements are authorized under Section 1110 of the Social Security Act.

Nothing in this announcement commits OASPE to renewing or re-competing the poverty center program.

Comments and questions related to this extension will be accepted until July 18, 2001. Written comments should be directed to Donald T. Oellerich, OASPE Project Officer by e-mail at doelleri@osaspe.dhhs.gov or fax at 202-690-6562 or by mail at DHHS, OASPE, 200 Independence Av. SW., Room 404E, Washington, DC 20201.

Dated: June 25, 2001.

William F. Raub,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation.

[FR Doc. 01-16621 Filed 7-2-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4154-05-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

[Program Announcement 01103]

Alaska Traditional Diet Project; Notice of Availability of Funds

A. Purpose

The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) announces the availability of fiscal year (FY) 2001 funds for a grant program for the Alaska Traditional Diet Project. This program addresses the "Healthy People 2010" focus areas for Environmental Health; Maternal, Infant, and Child Health; and Educational and Community-Based Programs.

Congress has directed ATSDR to identify and study contaminants in the environment, subsistence resources, and people in Alaska Native populations. The scope of the project covers all users of Alaska traditional foods, including subsistence, commercial and recreational, and does not focus solely on Alaska Native users.

The purpose of the program is to begin defining the dietary consumption patterns of rural Alaska subsistence diet