

DATES: Written comments on this draft FONSI/HCP should be received on or before July 19, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Persons wishing to review the FONSI/HCP may obtain a copy by written or telephone request to John Wolflin, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 177 Admiral Cochrane Drive, Annapolis, MD 21401 (410-573-4500). Documents will be available for public inspection by written request or by appointment only during normal business hours (8:00 to 4:30) at the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Annapolis, MD. Data or comments concerning the FONSI/HCP should be submitted in writing to the Project Leader, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Office, Annapolis, MD at the above address. Please refer to permit number TE041642-0 when submitting comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Wolflin at the above U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Office, Annapolis, MD.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Section 9 of the Act prohibits the "taking" of endangered and threatened species such as the bald eagle. However, the Service, under limited circumstances, may issue permits to take endangered or threatened wildlife species where the taking is incidental to, and not the purpose of, an otherwise lawful activity. Regulations governing permits for endangered species are at 50 CFR 17.22.

Applicant: Mr. John Taylor plans to construct and sell a single-family home in an existing subdivision. After the initial judicial determination that the Service had effectively denied the inadequate applications submitted by the applicant, the Service has developed the draft FONSI/HCP that meets the statutory application and issuance criteria required for the issuance of this permit. Five alternatives were developed.

Alternative 1, The No Action Alternative. Under this Alternative, the lot would remain in its existing naturally wooded condition. Since the lot would not be cleared for the construction of a single family home, this alternative would avoid all take of bald eagles and no ITP permit would be necessary. However, the stated purpose of acquiring the land by the applicant was to build a house and sell the lot. Therefore, this alternative would not satisfy the needs and purpose of the proposed project and was rejected.

Under Alternative 2, the Proposed Action. The Service would issue a section 10(a)(1)(B) ITP for the take of bald eagles resulting from clearing,

construction, and occupancy of the house. The proposed HCP includes implementation of a time of year restriction on development activities, measures to minimize impacts to on-site bald eagle habitat, protection of forested buffers on-site, and in addition to the minimization measures, a private conservation organization will provide/insure funding for off-site artificial nest construction to mitigate for the possible abandonment of the nest by the resident nesting eagles.

Alternative 3 is the Alternate Development Configuration Alternative. If the local zoning authority does not issue the variances to the extent envisioned by this HCP, it might require that the house be placed further back on the lot thus reducing the amount of a front lot setback variance, or moving the house further forward, or turning the house at 90 degrees to comply with side lot setbacks. The Service will need to re-analyze the specific impacts on the bald eagles anticipated from the site plan as approved and determine whether or not it could still address the standards of minimization of impacts to the maximum extent practicable under this alternative.

Alternative 4 is the Increased Impact Alternative. The applicant initially proposed placing a Mod-u-Kraf modular home comprising 1560 square feet on the site which would have entailed cutting the majority of the trees on the site and maintaining little or no vegetative buffer on the property. The applicant's initial and October, 1998 HCP's provided no specific site plan detailing the exact configuration he envisioned, no analysis of the impacts anticipated from development within 250 feet of the nest tree, and no specific mitigation or minimization proposals other than construction outside of the nesting season. Therefore, the Service determined that these requests for an HCP were incomplete and could not meet the issuance criteria of section 10(a)(2)(A) of the Act. The Service has developed Alternative 2 in order to fulfill the goal of constructing a house of at least 1560 square feet which would also minimize the impacts of normal human occupancy activities on the site which likely would disturb, harm or harass the bald eagles.

Alternative 5 is the Conservation Acquisition Alternative under which the site would be acquired by a government, private association, or lands trust organization to be retained in its natural condition. Although the project site is located within ½ mile of the Mason Neck National Wildlife Refuge and county and state parks, the parcel is an isolated block that is less

than ½ acre of habitat within a residential community, and therefore has not been considered a priority for acquisition by various public or private land protection programs or organizations or the Service's National Wildlife Refuge System.

Public Comments Solicited

The Service solicits written comments on the draft FONSI/HCP described. All comments received by the date specified above will be considered prior to approval of the FONSI/HCP.

Authority

The authority for this action is section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. 1539(a)(B).

Dated: May 1, 2001.

Richard O. Bennett,

Acting Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 01-15390 Filed 6-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[ES-930-01-1310-EI-241A: MSES 46739 and MSES 46740]

Notice of Proposed Reinstatement of Terminated Oil and Gas Leases; Correction

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management Interior.

ACTION: Correction to Notice of Proposed Reinstatement of Terminated Oil and Gas Leases.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Land Management published in the **Federal Register** on March 29, 2001, a notice announcing the proposed reinstatement of oil and gas leases MSES 46739 and MSES 46740. That notice contained errors which this notice corrects.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ida V. Doup, Chief, Branch of Use Authorization, Division of Resources Planning, Use and Protection at (703) 440-1541.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Bureau of Land Management published in the **Federal Register** on March 29, 2001 (66 FR 17196), a notice announcing the proposed reinstatement of oil and gas leases MSES 46739 and MSES 46740. That notice incorrectly stated the increased rental for reinstated leases as \$10. The correct rental rate for the reinstated leases would be \$5. The notice also incorrectly stated the cost of publishing the notice as \$148. The correct publication cost is \$158.

Dated: May 31, 2001.

Ida V. Doup,

Chief, Branch of Use Authorization, Division of Resources Planning, Use and Protection.

[FR Doc. 01-15367 Filed 6-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-84-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Arrowrock Dam Outlet Works Rehabilitation

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Availability of Record of Decision.

SUMMARY: This notice is issued under authority of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969. The Record of Decision (ROD) signed on April 30, 2001 contains the decision of the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) Pacific Northwest Region, to select and implement the Preferred Alternative (Alternative A), as described in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS). Alternative A was identified as the environmentally preferred alternative in the FEIS. This alternative best achieves the project objectives and meets the purpose and need of the project in an environmentally sensitive manner.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the ROD may be requested from the following locations:

- Bureau of Reclamation, Pacific Northwest Region, 1150 North Curtis Road, Suite 100, Boise, ID 83706-1234.
- Bureau of Reclamation, Snake River Area Office, 214 Broadway Ave, Boise, ID 83702-7298.
- The ROD is also available for viewing or downloading on the internet at <http://www.pn.usbr.gov/project/arrowrock/arrowrock.shtml>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steve Dunn, Environmental Specialist, (208) 334-9844.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, Reclamation prepared a FEIS to examine the impacts of alternative methods to rehabilitate the outlet works at Arrowrock Dam by removing the 10 lower level Ensign valves and replacing them with clamshell gates. Two action alternatives were identified that differed only in the timing of reservoir drawdown and the elevation of Arrowrock Reservoir and Lucky Peak Lake in the third construction season. The preferred alternative (alternative A) requires a longer period of drawdown of

Arrowrock Reservoir, but both Arrowrock Reservoir and Lucky Peak Lake would remain at a higher elevation than with the other action alternative. Based on comments received on the Draft EIS concerning impacts to water quality and bull trout, the preferred alternative was modified so that the probability of sluice gate use, which could release large amounts of sediment form Arrowrock Reservoir, was reduced from 42% to 15%. The No Action Alternative, which included actions that would be required for an intensive maintenance program if the Ensign valves were not replaced, was also evaluated.

Dated: May 24, 2001.

Kenneth R. Pedde,

Acting Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 01-15411 Filed 6-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[WITC SC-01-024]

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission.

TIME AND DATE: June 29, 2001 at 2:00 p.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agenda for future meeting: none
 2. Minutes
 3. Ratification List
 4. Inv. Nos. 701-TA-415 and 731-TA-933-934 (Preliminary)(Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India and Taiwan)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination to the Secretary of Commerce on July 2, 2001; Commissioners' opinions are currently scheduled to be transmitted to the Secretary of Commerce July 10, 2001.)
 5. Outstanding action jackets: none
- In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 13, 2001.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-15485 Filed 6-15-01; 12:19 pm]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice of Information Collection Under Review; Revision of a Currently Approved Collection Drug Court Grantee Data Collection Survey.

The Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs has submitted the following information collection request for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. Office of Management and Budget approval is being sought for the information collection listed below. These proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on March 21, 2001, allowing for a 60-day public comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comment until July 19, 2001. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in each notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20530.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the function of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.