

- 23, 2001, Contact: David J. Dorworth (202) 514-6470.
EIS No. 010198, Draft EIS, GSA, NY, U.S. Mission to the United Nations (USUN), Demolition of Current USUN and the Construction of New Facility on the Same Site, Located at 799 United Nations Plaza, New York, NY, Comment Period Ends: July 23, 2001, Contact: Peter Sneed (212) 264-3581.
EIS No. 010199, Final EIS, RUS, KY, Jackson County Lake Project, Implementation, To Provide Adequate Water Supplies for the Projected Residential, Commercial and Industrial Needs, Funding and Possible COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Jackson County, KY, Wait Period Ends: July 09, 2001, Contact: Mark S. Plank (202) 720-1649.
EIS No. 010200, Final EIS, IBR, CA, Grassland Bypass Project (2001 Use Agreement), To Implement the New Use Agreement for the period from October 1, 2001 through December 21, 2009, San Joaquin River and Merced River, Fresno, Merced and Stanislaus Counties, CA, Wait Period Ends: July 09, 2001, Contact: Michael Delamore (559) 487-5039.
EIS No. 010201, Final EIS, AFS, NV, CA, Northern Sierra Amendment to the Toiyabe Land and Resource Management, To Unify and Revise Management Direction, Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest, Carson Ranger District, Stanislaus National Forest, Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit, Douglas and Washoe Counties, NV and Alpine and Toulomne Counties, CA, Wait Period Ends: July 09, 2001, Contact: Dave Loomis (775) 882-2766.
EIS No. 010202, Final Supplement, COE, KY, Lower Cumberland and Tennessee Rivers Navigation Improvements, Kentucky Lock Addition, Implementation, Nashville District, Marshall and Livingston Counties, KY, Wait Period Ends: July 09, 2001, Contact: Tim Higgs (615) 736-7863.
EIS No. 010203, Final EIS, AFS, CA, Fuels Reduction for Community Protection Phase 1 Project on the Six Rivers National Forest, Proposes to Reduce Fuels High Severity Burned Stands, Lower Trinity Ranger District, Humboldt and Trinity Counties, CA, Wait Period Ends: July 09, 2001, Contact: David Webb (707) 457-3131.

Amended Notices

- EIS No. 010128, Draft EIS, FHW, MT*, Montana State Primary Route 78 (P-78), Reconstruction, Widening and Realignment, from the junction with State Secondary Route 419 (S-419) which is just South of Abarokee, to

the Southern end of the Yellowstone River Bridge which is just south of Columbus, MT, Due: June 25, 2001, Contact: Dale W. Paulson (406) 449-5302. Revision of FR Notice Published on 04/27/2001: CEQ Review Period Ending 06/11/2001 has been Extended to 06/25/2001.

- EIS No. 010172, Draft EIS, FHW, MD*, MD-210 (Indian Head Highway) Multi-Modal Study, MD-210 Improvements between I-95/I-495 (Capitol Beltway) and MD-228 Funding and US COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Prince George's County, MD, Due: July 23, 2001, Contact: Nelson Castellanos (410) 962-4342. Revision of FR notice published on 05/18/2001: CEQ Due Date Corrected from 09/23/2001 to 07/23/2001.

Dated: June 4, 2001.

Joseph C. Montgomery,
 Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities

[FR Doc. 01-14512 Filed 6-7-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6992-6]

Notice to Existing Assistance Agreement Recipients Funded With Fiscal Year (FY) 2000 or 2001 Appropriations: New Requirements Regarding Litigation and Lobbying Certification

ACTION: Notice.

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

SUMMARY: The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circulars A-21, A-87, and A-122, which establish the principles for determining allowable costs under Federal assistance agreements, prohibit the use of Federal assistance funds for certain lobbying and litigation costs.

In addition, Section 424 of the Departments of Veterans Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 2001, Public Law 106-277, requires that: "A chief executive officer of any entity receiving funds under this Act shall certify that none of the funds have been used to engage in the lobbying of the Federal Government or in litigation against the United States unless authorized under existing law." Section 426 of the Department of Veterans Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 2000,

Public Law 106-74, contains a similar provision. This requirement applies to not-for-profit institutions, educational institutions, state, local or tribal governments and other entities receiving assistance awards under EPA's FY 2000 and 2001 Appropriations Acts.

The Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), 44 U.S.C.A. 3500 et seq., requires that a Federal agency intending to request information from ten or more persons must obtain OMB approval before requesting that information. The appropriations act provisions described above impose additional information collection requirements on EPA assistance agreements. Therefore, EPA is currently seeking OMB approval of an information collection request for a certification document to be distributed and signed by a chief executive officer representing each entity. The certification document will not be disseminated until it has been approved by OMB.

Even though OMB has not yet approved the information collection request for the certification document, the mandates in the appropriations acts remain in effect because they are imposed directly by statute. Thus, recipients with assistance agreements funded with FY 2000 or FY 2001 appropriations must comply with this certification requirement. However, a particular format is not required until that form is approved by OMB. Until that time, each entity may provide this certification in any reasonable manner of choice. The certification must be submitted to EPA after the funds received under those appropriations have been expended.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William Hedling, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Grants and Debarment, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460, phone: (202) 564-5377, FAX: (202) 565-2470, or e-mail at www.hedling.william@epa.gov.

Howard F. Corcoran,

Director Office of Grants & Debarment.

[FR Doc. 01-14483 Filed 6-7-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6993-2]

Science Advisory Board; Notification of Public Advisory Committee Meetings

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given of four meetings

of the Surface Impoundments Study Subcommittee (SISS) of the US EPA Science Advisory Board's (SAB) Environmental Engineering Committee (EEC). The meetings are open to the public, however, seating is limited and available on a first come basis.

Important Notice: Documents that are the subject of SAB reviews are normally available from the originating EPA office and are not available from the SAB Office—information concerning availability of documents from the relevant Program Office is included below.

1. Surface Impoundments Study Subcommittee (SISS)—First Teleconference Meeting—June 26, 2001

The Surface Impoundments Study Subcommittee (SISS) will meet by conference call from noon–2 pm Eastern time on June 26, 2001. Members of the public wishing to participate in the teleconference must make arrangements with Ms. Mary Winston by noon the Wednesday *before* the meeting. Instructions about how to participate in the conference call can be obtained by calling Ms. Mary Winston, Management Assistant, at (202) 564–4538, or via e-mail at: winston.mary@epa.gov.

The SISS welcomes written public comment and will accept oral comments during a portion of this conference call. The comment period will be limited to approximately 30 minutes in total with about five minutes allowed for per speaker. Additional opportunities for public comment will be provided at the July 19 and August 27 conference call meetings as well as the September 17–19, 2001 face-to-face meeting.

*Purpose of the Meeting—*The purpose of the conference call meeting is to allow the Committee and the Agency to discuss and refine, if necessary, the charge for the review of the Surface Impoundments Study and to make plans for the face-to-face meeting on September 17–19, 2001.

Availability of Materials and Contact Information—See below.

2. Surface Impoundments Study Subcommittee (SISS)—Teleconference Meeting—July 19, 2001

The Surface Impoundments Study Subcommittee (SISS) will meet by conference call from 1–3 pm Eastern time on July 19, 2001. Members of the public wishing to participate in the teleconference must make arrangements with Ms. Mary Winston by noon the Wednesday *before* the meeting. Instructions about how to participate in the conference call can be obtained by calling Ms. Mary Winston, Management

Assistant, at (202) 564–4538, or via e-mail at: winston.mary@epa.gov.

The SISS welcomes written public comment and will accept oral comments during a portion of this conference call. The comment period will be limited to approximately 30 minutes in total with about five minutes allowed for per speaker. Additional opportunities for public comment will be provided at the August 27 conference call meeting as well as the September 17–19, 2001 face-to-face meeting.

*Purpose of the Meeting—*The purpose of the conference call meeting is to allow the Subcommittee and the Agency to continue preparations for the face-to-face meeting. The chair plans to make writing assignments to the panelists and confirm that they have the materials necessary to complete them.

Availability of Materials and Contact Information—See below.

3. Surface Impoundments Study Subcommittee (SISS)—Teleconference Meeting—August 27, 2001

The Surface Impoundments Study Subcommittee (SISS) will meet by conference call from 1–3 pm Eastern time on August 27, 2001. Members of the public wishing to participate in the teleconference must make arrangements with Ms. Mary Winston by noon the Wednesday *before* the meeting. Instructions about how to participate in the conference call can be obtained by calling Ms. Mary Winston, Management Assistant, at (202) 564–4538, or via e-mail at: winston.mary@epa.gov.

The SISS welcomes written public comment and will accept oral comments during a portion of this conference call. The comment period will be limited to approximately 30 minutes in total with about five minutes allowed for per speaker. Additional opportunities for public comment will be provided at the September 17–19, 2001 face-to-face meeting.

*Purpose of the Meeting—*The purpose of the conference call meeting is to allow the Subcommittee and the Agency to complete preparations for the face-to-face meeting. Panelists will discuss their preliminary, individual writings and accept comments on them.

Availability of Materials and Contact Information—See below.

4. Surface Impoundments Study Subcommittee (SISS)—September 17–19, 2001

The Surface Impoundments Study Subcommittee (SISS) will meet Monday September 17 through Wednesday September 19, 2001, room 6530 of the Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania

Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The meeting will convene at 9:30 Eastern time on Monday September 17 and will adjourn no later than 3:00 pm Wednesday September 19, 2001.

*Purpose of the Meeting—*The Subcommittee will review the Office of Solid Waste's Surface Impoundments Study and plans to prepare a draft report of the consensus findings, conclusions and recommendations resulting from that review. The Subcommittee may schedule a subsequent public conference call meeting to approve final language of its draft report before submitting it to the Environmental Engineering Committee for consideration. If so, that will be announced separately.

Background: Under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), EPA allowed land placement of "decharacterized" wastes that were formerly characteristic hazardous wastes managed in wastewater systems, but had been treated or diluted to remove the characteristic hazard. The Land Disposal Program Flexibility Act of 1996 (LDPFA) required that EPA study the two types of land placement of these wastes: underground injection, and placement (storage, treatment or disposal) in surface impoundments. This peer review concerns only the surface impoundment waste management technique.

The study of surface impoundments is also the subject of other regulatory and judicial developments. For certain types of facilities EPA was required to study human health risks from air inhalation of 105 chemical constituents present in surface impoundments.

Industrial Surface Impoundments in the United States is the report that discusses EPA's estimated risks to human health and the environment that may be posed by managing industrial nonhazardous wastes in surface impoundments. It provides estimates of cancer and non-cancer human health risks for individuals (receptors) who may be exposed to releases from surface impoundments used to manage wastewaters and wastewater treatment sludges, a screening analysis of other indirect pathway human health risks, and a screening analysis of the potential risks to ecological receptors. EPA will use the risk results, along with the analysis of existing regulatory and nonregulatory programs designed to address the risks (described in Chapter 4 of the report) to decide whether, and if so, how, to apply the land disposal restrictions or take other appropriate actions to address risks found.

In 1997 a subcommittee of the Environmental Engineering Committee

Subcommittee reviewed the draft structure for this study. The Subcommittee commented on: a) the technical merits of the overall study structure; b) the technical merits of the proposed risk assessment; and c) the involvement of technical experts, affected facilities and the public at critical points in the study's design and implementation. This report (EPA-SAB-EEC-98-009) can be found in the Fiscal Year 1998 Reports section of the SAB's website (www.epa.gov/sab).

Charge to the Committee—The full charge will be posted at the SAB's website (www.epa.gov/sab). In summary, the Subcommittee is charged to address the following questions:

(1)(a) Does the Science Advisory Board believe that the general methodology we chose for developing our risk analysis was appropriate for the policy questions posed in the statute and consent decree.

(b) Regarding the overall study implementation, from design through sample selection, data collection and analysis, what areas of strength do you see in the overall methodology, and what areas of potential improvement or additional analysis do you recommend?

(c) Did EPA adequately characterize the risks? Are the risk analysis and findings transparent? That is, are they explicit in:

- Describing the assessment approach, assumptions, extrapolations and use of models
- Describing plausible alternative assumptions
- Identifying data gaps
- Distinguishing science from policy
- Describing uncertainty, and
- Describing the relative strength of the assessment?

(d) Please provide your assessment of the accuracy of EPA's overall study conclusions regarding risks to human health and the environment. Were the conclusions either false positive or false negative conclusions (finding risks of greater or lesser magnitude than the risks that likely exist)?

(2)(a) Should EPA have performed a more in-depth evaluation of abnormal operating condition events? If so, what methods or approaches would the SAB recommend regarding collecting more reliable data, and modeling the probability and impacts of such events?

(3)(a) For the indirect human health and ecological screening-level analyses, in the SAB's view, do the results point to areas of potential future research? If so, do you have recommendations on prioritizing future studies in these areas?

(b) Based on the screening-level estimates we developed for other

indirect and ecological risks, did it appear that we overlooked potential problem areas?

(c) Did we clearly describe and properly characterize the other indirect human health and ecological risk analyses?

(4)(a) Is it likely that EPA's data imputation protocol, or "surrogate data protocol" for imputing waste composition data markedly affected the ultimate conclusions regarding potential risks? If so, in what direction did the protocol probably bias the conclusions?

(b) Should EPA have used any other approaches for qualifying or presenting the data?

(c) Was using the assumption that a chemical could be present up to the detection limit, when it was reported as being present below a detection limit, a reasonable concentration to choose for risk screening purposes?

(d) Did the EPA-generated default detection limit protocol provide reasonable approximations of likely detection limits encountered in the field by the facilities, when the detection limits were not reported in the laboratory analysis?

(e) Do the results that are based on imputed/detection limit data suggest that further analysis is needed?

(5)(a) Although there are limitations of performing the comparison of survey and field sampling waste composition data, what is the SAB's view on EPA's conclusions about the accuracy of the reported survey data on chemical constituent concentrations/quantities?

(b) What is the SAB's view on EPA's conclusion on the potential incomplete reporting of chemical constituents present?

(c) Would the SAB recommend alternate approaches, in order to obtain the best possible information regarding the exact chemical constituents present, given the same budget and time constraints?

(6)(a) Would the SAB recommend another approach for representing the groundwater source term, for example, performing a bounding analysis, using the sludge data, where available, to represent an upper bound of the groundwater source term, and using wastewater data as the lower bound, for those chemical constituents for which this situation may be an issue?

(b) Compared to other sources of uncertainty in the groundwater and groundwater to surface water pathway analyses, how large a source of uncertainty does the decision to use wastewater composition data appear to introduce into the overall study conclusions?

Availability of Materials—The background materials provided to the Subcommittee are available at <http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/ldr/icr/ldr-impd.htm>. A limited number of paper copies can be obtained by contacting Shannon Sturgeon, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste (5307W), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460. Ms. Sturgeon may also be contacted at 703 605 0509 or via e-mail at sturgeon.sharon@epa.gov. The draft meeting agenda may be obtained from Ms. Mary Winston, Management Assistant, at (202) 564-4538, or via e-mail at winston.mary@epa.gov approximately two weeks before the meeting.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Any member of the public wishing further information concerning this meeting or wishing to submit brief oral comments (10 minutes or less) must contact Ms. Kathleen White, Designated Federal Officer, Science Advisory Board (1400A), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone (202) 564-4559; fax (202) 501-0582; or via e-mail at conway.kathleen@epa.gov. Requests for oral comments must be *in writing* (e-mail, fax or mail) and received by Ms. White no later than noon Eastern Standard Time on the Wednesday before the scheduled meeting.

Providing Oral or Written Comments at SAB Meetings

It is the policy of the Science Advisory Board to accept written public comments of any length, and to accommodate oral public comments whenever possible. The Science Advisory Board expects that public statements presented at its meetings will not be repetitive of previously submitted oral or written statements. *Oral Comments:* In general, each individual or group requesting an oral presentation at a face-to-face meeting will be limited to a total time of ten minutes. For these teleconference meetings, opportunities for oral comment have been expanded to no more than five minutes per speaker and no more than thirty minutes total. Deadlines for getting on the public speaker list for a meeting are given above. Speakers should bring at least 35 copies of their comments and presentation slides for distribution to the reviewers and public at the meeting. *Written Comments:* Although the SAB accepts written comments until the date

of the meeting (unless otherwise stated), written comments should be received in the SAB Staff Office at least one week prior to the meeting date so that the comments may be made available to the committee for their consideration. Comments should be supplied to Ms. White at the address/contact information noted above in the following formats: one hard copy with original signature, and one electronic copy via e-mail (acceptable file format: WordPerfect, Word, or Rich Text files (in IBM-PC/Windows 95/98 format). Those providing written comments and who attend the meeting are also asked to bring 25 copies of their comments for public distribution.

General Information—Additional information concerning the Science Advisory Board, its structure, function, and composition, may be found on the SAB Website (<http://www.epa.gov/sab>) and in The FY2000 Annual Report of the Staff Director which is available from the SAB Publications Staff at (202) 564-4533 or via fax at (202) 501-0256. Committee rosters, draft Agendas and meeting calendars are also located on our website.

Meeting Access—Individuals requiring special accommodation at this meeting, including wheelchair access to the conference room, should contact Ms. Winston at least five business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

Dated: May 31, 2001.

John R. Fowle, III,

Acting Staff Director, Science Advisory Board.
[FR Doc. 01-14475 Filed 6-7-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPPTS-59376; FRL-6787-1]

Approval of Test Marketing Exemption for a Certain New Chemical With Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces EPA's approval of an application for test marketing exemption (TME) under section 5(h)(1) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) and 40 CFR 720.38. EPA has designated this application as TME-01-0010. The test marketing conditions are described in the TME application and in this notice.

DATES: Approval of this TME is effective May 31, 2001. Written comments will be received until June 25, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted by mail, electronically, or in person. Please follow the detailed instructions for each method as provided in Unit III. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.** To ensure proper receipt by EPA, it is imperative that you identify docket control number OPPTS-59376 and the TME number TME-01-0010 in the subject line on the first page of your response.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: *For general information contact:* Barbara Cunningham, Director, Office of Program Management and Evaluation (7401), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 554-1404; e-mail address: TSCA-Hotline@epa.gov.

For technical information contact: Andrea Conrath, New Chemicals Prevention Branch, Chemical Control Division (7405), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 260-2721; e-mail address: conrath.andrea@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Does this Action Apply to Me?

This action is directed in particular to the chemical manufacturer and/or importer who submitted the TME to EPA. This action may, however, be of interest to the public in general. Since other entities may also be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.**

II. How Can I Get Additional Information, Including Copies of this Document or Other Related Documents?

1. *Electronically.* You may obtain electronic copies of this document, and certain other related documents that might be available electronically, from the EPA Internet Home Page at <http://www.epa.gov/>. To access this document, on the Home Page select "Laws and Regulations," "Regulations and Proposed Rules," and then look up the entry for this document under the "Federal Register—Environmental Documents." You can also go directly to the **Federal Register** listings at <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/>.

2. *In person.* The Agency has established an official record for this action under docket control number OPPTS-59376. The official record

consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments received during an applicable comment period, and other information related to this action, including any information claimed as confidential business information (CBI). This official record includes the documents that are physically located in the docket, as well as the documents that are referenced in those documents. The public version of the official record does not include any information claimed as CBI. The public version of the official record, which includes printed, paper versions of any electronic comments submitted during an applicable comment period, is available for inspection in the TSCA Nonconfidential Information Center, North East Mall Rm. B-607, Waterside Mall, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC. The Center is open from noon to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number of the Center is (202) 260-7099.

III. How and to Whom Do I Submit Comments?

The notice of receipt was published late in the 45-day review period; however, an opportunity to submit comments is being offered at this time. You may submit comments through the mail, in person, or electronically. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, it is imperative that you identify docket control number OPPTS-59376 in the subject line on the first page of your response. The complete nonconfidential document is available in the TSCA Nonconfidential Information Center at the address in Unit II.B. between noon and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. EPA may modify or revoke the test marketing exemption if comments are received which cast significant doubt on its finding that the test marketing activities will not present an unreasonable risk of injury.

1. *By mail.* Submit your comments to: Document Control Office (7407), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460.

2. *In person or by courier.* Deliver your comments to: OPPT Document Control Office (DCO) in East Tower Rm. G-099, Waterside Mall, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC. The DCO is open from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the DCO is (202) 260-7093.

3. *Electronically.* You may submit your comments electronically by e-mail to: oppt.ncic@epa.gov or mail your computer disk to the address identified above. Do not submit any information